FILED

JAN - 2 1979

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By _____ Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-1

(Resolution Approving Agreement Relating to Urban/Structural Pest Use Enforcement)

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, has determined that it is in the best interests of the people of the County of Yolo to enter that certain agreement dated December 19, 1978, by and between the State of California and the County of Yolo providing for services relating to urban/structural pest use enforcement (attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference);

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED as follows:

1. The Board of Supervisors hereby approves the terms of the above referenced agreement and authorizes its Chairman to execute said agreement on behalf of the County of Yolo.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 2nd day of January, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Duncan, Barton, Thompson, Marchand, Edmonds.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, CLERK

By: _____ Deputy

(SEAL)

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO. (916) 666-8211

County of Yolo
Board of Supervisors

FILED
JAN - 9 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Barbara A Bridges
Deputy

Resolution No. 79-2

WHEREAS, William E. Duncan, has faithfully represented and served the people of the Second Supervisorial District of Yolo County for the past sixteen years;

WHEREAS, Supervisor Duncan devoted a great deal of his efforts to the Capital Construction Program in Yolo County and these efforts have resulted in new Jail facilities, Public Works complex, Health and Welfare buildings, as well as an Agricultural complex;

WHEREAS, Supervisor Duncan was instrumental in improving County Library Services and the construction of the new Davis and West Sacramento Branch libraries;

WHEREAS, Supervisor Duncan applied his fiscal expertise to County budgetary matters striving, at all times, to provide the highest quality services in the most efficient and economic manner;

WHEREAS, Supervisor Duncan ably and effectively represented the interest of Yolo County and local government while serving on the Revenue and Taxation Committee of the County Supervisors' Association of California and the Sacramento Regional Area Planning Commission;

WHEREAS, Supervisor Duncan has played an active role in protecting and preserving the water resources of Yolo County;

WHEREAS, Supervisor Duncan has been an advocate of controls on government spending long before Proposition 13 came on the horizon; and

WHEREAS, Supervisor Duncan brought a refreshing candor to the functioning of local government during his tenure as a member of the Yolo County Board of Supervisors.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors wishes to express its appreciation and congratulations to William E. Duncan for his invaluable and dedicated service to Yolo County government. Passed and adopted this 9th day of January, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Edmonds, Black, DeMars, Thompson and Marchand

NOES: None

ABSTAINING: None

ABSENT: None

COUNTY OF YOLO

By: Arthur H. Edmonds

ARTHUR H. EDMONDS, CHAIRMAN
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

County of Yolo
Board of Supervisors

FILED
JAN - 9 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By _____ Deputy

Resolution No. 79-3

WHEREAS, David L. Barton has faithfully served the people of Yolo County as the representative of the Third Supervisorial District of Yolo County for the past four years;

WHEREAS, Supervisor Barton has been instrumental in improving fire services in Yolo County and has worked for the consolidation of fire districts in East Yolo area;

WHEREAS, Supervisor Barton's efforts and diligence as a Supervisor have resulted in improvements in housing, drainage and streets for the East Yolo area;

WHEREAS, Supervisor Barton has worked for the conservation and enhancement of Yolo County's water supplies;

WHEREAS, Supervisor Barton's efforts and actions as a Supervisor were key factors in the establishment of the East Yolo Health Care Center;

WHEREAS, Supervisor Barton has contributed greatly to the planned and orderly growth of governmental services as a representative of the Board of Supervisors on the Local Agency Formation Commission;

WHEREAS, Supervisor Barton has worked to hold government spending to the absolute minimum necessary for the effective operation of County government; and

WHEREAS, Supervisor Barton brought a common sense, business-oriented approach to his position as a Supervisor.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of Yolo County wishes to express its gratitude to David L. Barton for his invaluable and dedicated service to Yolo County government. Passed and adopted this 9th day of January, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: EDMONDS, BLACK, DEMARS, THOMPSON AND MARCHAND .

NOES: NONE

ABSTAINING: NONE

ABSENT: NONE

COUNTY OF YOLO

BY _____

ARTHUR H. EDMONDS, CHAIRMAN
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

FILED

JAN - 9 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-4

(Resolution of Acceptance for Subdivision Map No. 2818 for Port Sacramento Industrial Park)

WHEREAS, the final tracing for Subdivision Map No. 2818 has been prepared and presented to the Board of Supervisors for approval and acceptance; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission previously considered and approved an Environmental Impact Report for the Port area of West Sacramento, which discussed the environmental impacts of this Project and other Subdivisions within the Project area; and said Planning Commission has determined that no subsequent E.I.R. need be prepared, pursuant to Title 14, Section 15067 of the California Administrative Code; said Environmental Impact Report demonstrates that the proposed project will have a significant effect on the environment and has been presented to and considered by this Board; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission approved the tentative map for Subdivision No. 2818 on September 20, 1978; and

WHEREAS, said map creates 12 Lots from an existing 5 Lots in the Heavy Industrial (M-2) Zone of Yolo County; and

WHEREAS, the final map of Subdivision No. 2818 has been signed by all necessary Parties, pursuant to the provisions of the Government Code of the State of California; and

WHEREAS, said map complies with the Provisions of the State Subdivision Map Act and of the local ordinances.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Yolo County as follows: (1) Each of the foregoing recitales is true and correct; (2) This Board, after consideration of the

/// ///

Environmental Impact Report previously filed upon this proposed project and the project area, hereby adopts and approves the final Environmental Impact Report, and finds that said final EIR has been completed in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act and State Guidelines, and finds that the project will have a significant effect upon the environment. However, the Environmental Impact Report on said Project identifies several mitigation measures which are hereby found by this Board to be appropriate and feasible, and ordered to be incorporated into this Project; (3) This Board hereby finds that the proposed subdivisions, together with the provisions for its design and improvement, is consistent with general plan adopted for the East Yolo area of the County of Yolo; (4) This Board hereby approves said map for Subdivision No. 2818 Port Sacramento Industrial Park, as submitted and instructs the Clerk to prepare the map of Subdivision No. 2818 for transmittal to the County Recorder for filing.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Clerk of this Board hereby is authorized and directed to file a Notice of Determination upon the proposed project; said notice shall include the decision of this Board to approve the project; and the finding of this Board that the proposed project will have a significant effect upon the environment. Said notice shall also include the statement that mitigation measures identified in said Environmental Impact Report are incorporated in said Project as stated herein above. Said notice also shall include the statement that the Environmental Impact Report considered and approved by this Board is the West Sacramento Port Center EIR previously adopted by the Planning Commission, which discussed this Project and others in the East

Yolo Area, and no subsequent environmental impact report is required, pursuant to Title 14, Section 15067 of the California Administrative Code and said EIR has been prepared pursuant to and is consistent with the California Environmental Quality Act and State Guidelines adopted pursuant thereto.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 9th day of January 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, De Mars, Thompson, Marchand, Edmonds.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Arthur H. Edmonds
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, COUNTY CLERK.

BY Pete C. Lucas, DEPUTY
(SEAL)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

_____, DEPUTY
COUNTY COUNSEL

FILED

JAN 15 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By [signature] Deputy

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION

NO. 79-1

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21152 and Yolo County Code Section 10.1.910 that the County of Yolo acting by its decision-making officer or body has made the following determination whether to approve or disapprove a project as follows:

1. The decision-making officer or body is The Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California.

2. The project is described as follows: Subdivision Map No. 2818 is a resubdivision of five lots in the Port Sacramento Industrial Park, into 12 lots, and is located on the West side of Enterprise Boulevard just North of Channel Drive. All improvements have been previously installed on this Project and no further street construction is required at this time. Lot "X" of this Subdivision is not a building site and Lot "F" is restricted so as to not become a building site until such time as Seaport Boulevard is constructed to the South of this Subdivision. This Project is subject to the special assessments imposed by the East Yolo Community Service Districts, Port Sacramento Industrial Park Assessment District. Payment of the assessments is being guaranteed by bond, pursuant to the provisions set forth in Government Code Section 66493. Board of Supervisors accepted Subdivision Map No. 2818 for Port Sacramento Industrial Park on January 9, 1979, by Resolution No.79-4, upon the following findings: After consideration of the Environmental Impact Report previously filed upon this proposed project and the project area, the Board hereby adopts and approves the final Environmental Impact Report and finds that said final EIR has been completed in compliance with the

California Environmental Quality Act and State Guidelines, and finds that the project will have a significant effect upon the environment. However, the Environmental Impact Report on said Project identifies several mitigation measures which are hereby found by this Board to be appropriate and feasible, and ordered to be incorporated into this Project. The proposed subdivisions, together with the provisions for its design and improvement, is consistent with general plan adopted for the East Yolo area of the County of Yolo. Approved Subdivision No. 2818 Port Sacramento Industrial Park, as submitted. The Environmental Impact Report considered and approved by this Board is the West Sacramento Port Center EIR previously adopted by the Planning Commission, which discussed this Project and others in the East Yolo area and no subsequent environmental impact report is required, pursuant to Title 14, Section 15067 of the California Administrative Code and said EIR has been prepared pursuant to and is consistent with the California Environmental Quality Act and State Guidelines adopted pursuant thereto.

Dated: January 12, 1979.

Yolo County Board of Supervisors
Decision-making Officer or body

BY Peter McNamee 1-15-79
PETER McNAMEE

its Clerk

FILED

JAN 9 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-5

(Resolution of Acceptance for Parcel Map No. 2841 for Port Sacramento Land Company)

WHEREAS, the final tracing for Parcel Map No. 2841 has been prepared and presented to the Board of Supervisors for approval and acceptence; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission previously considered and approved an Environmental Impact Report for the Port area of West Sacramento, which discussed the environmental impacts of this Project and other Subdivisions within the Project area and said Planning Commission has determined that no subsequent E.I.R. need be prepared, pursuant to Title 14, Section 15067 of the California Administrative Code; said Environmental Impact Report demonstrates that the proposed project will have a significant effect on the environment and has been presented to and considered by this Board; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission approved the tentative map for Parcel Map No. 2841 on September 20, 1978; and.

WHEREAS, said map creates 4 Lots from an existing single lot in the Heavy Industrial (M-2) Zone of Yolo County; and

WHEREAS, the final map of Parcel Map No. 2841 has been signed by all necessary Parties, pursuant to the provisions of the Government Code of the State of California; and

WHEREAS, said map complies with the Provisions of the State Subdivision Map Act and of the local ordinances.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Yolo County as follows: (1) Each of the foregoing recitals is true and correct; (2) This Board, after consideration of the Environmental Impact Report previously filed upon this proposed

project and the project area, hereby adopts and approves the final Environmental Impact Report, and finds that said final EIR has been completed in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act and State Guidelines, and finds that the project will have a significant effect upon the environment. However, the Environmental Impact Report on said Project identifies several mitigation measures which are hereby found by this Board to be appropriate and feasible, and ordered to be incorporated into this Project; (3) This Board hereby finds that the proposed subdivision , together with the provisions for its design and improvement, is consistent with general plan adopted for the East Yolo area of the County of Yolo; (4) This Board hereby approves Parcel Map No. 2841 for Port Sacramento Land Company as submitted and instructs the Clerk to prepare Parcel Map No. 2841 for transmittal to the County Recorder for filing.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Clerk of this Board hereby is authorized and directed to file a Notice of Determination upon the proposed project; said notice shall include the decision of this Board to approve the project; and the finding of this Board that the proposed project will have a significant effect upon the environment. Said notice shall also include the statement that mitigation measures identified in said Environmental Impact Report are incorporated in said Project as stated herein above. Said notice also shall include the statement that the Environmental Impact Report considered and approved by this Board is the West Sacramento Port Center EIR previously adopted by the Planning Commission, which discussed this Project and others in the East Yolo Area, and no subsequent environmental

impact report is required, pursuant to Title 14, Section 15067 of the California Administrative Code and said EIR has been prepared pursuant to and is consistent with the California Environmental Quality Act and State Guidelines adopted pursuant thereto.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 9th day of January, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, De Mars, Thompson, Marchand, Edmonds.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, COUNTY CLERK

By _____, Deputy
(Seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

_____, Deputy
County Counsel

FILED

JAN 15 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ Deputy

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION

NO. 79-2

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21152 and Yolo County Code Section 10.1.910 that the County of Yolo acting by its decision-making officer or body has made the following determination whether to approve or disapprove a project as follows:

1. The decision-making officer or body is the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California.

2. The project is described as follows: Parcel Map No. 2841 creates four parcels of 6.2, 2.0, 1.9 and 1.8 acres from an existing 12± acre parcel in the Port Sacramento Industrial Park. The Project is located at the Northwest corner of Enterprise Boulevard and Channel Drive in the Heavy Industrial Zone of Yolo County. The parcel being divided by this parcel map is subject to a special assessment of the East Yolo Community Services District, Port Sacramento Industrial Park. The Government Code of the State of California requires that payment of such assessments be secured prior to filing of the final map. Assessment No. 17 of the above-mentioned assessment District is being guaranteed by bond, pursuant to Government Code Section 66493. Therefore, all conditions of approval have been complied with. The Tentative Map for this land division was approved by the Yolo County Planning Commission on September 20, 1978.

2. The project was approved on January 9, 1979. The Board of Supervisors accepted Parcel Map No. 2841 for Port Sacramento Land Company, by Resolution No. 79-5, passed and adopted on January 9, 1979 upon the following findings: After considering the Environmental Impact Report previously filed upon this proposed project and the project area, the Board adopts and approves the final

Environmental Impact Report, and finds that said final EIR has been completed in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act and State Guidelines, and finds that the project will have a significant effect upon the environment. However, the Environmental Impact Report on said Project identifies several mitgation measures which are hereby found by this Board to be appropriate and feasible, and ordered to be incorporated into this Project: This Board finds that the proposed subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvement, is consistent with general plan adopted for the East Yolo area of the County of Yolo and this Board hereby approves Parcel Map No. 2841 for Port Sacramento Land Company as submitted and instructs the Clerk to prepare Parcel Map No. 2841 for transmittal to the County Recorder for filing.

3. The Environmental Impact Report considered and approved by this Board is the West Sacramento Port Center EIR previously adopted by the Planning Commission, which discussed this Project and others in the East Yolo Area, and no subsequent environmental impact report is required, pursuant to Title 14, Section 15067 of the California Administrative Code and said EIR has been prepared pursuant to and is consistent with the California Environmental Quality Act and State Guidelines adopted pursuant thereto.

Dated: January 15, 1979.

Yolo County Board of Supervisors
Decision-Making Officer or body

by Peter McNamee 1-15-79
PETER McNAMEE

its Clerk

FILED
JAN 19 1979
PETER MAGNAMEE, Clerk
By [illegible] Deputy

(1)
Lem 12

RESOLUTION NO. 79-6
(Resolution of Acceptance for Parcel Map No. 2825 for Del Pac Properties.)

WHEREAS, the final tracing for Parcel Map No. 2825 has been prepared and presented to the Board of Supervisors for approval; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission considered and approved a Negative Declaration of Environmental Impact for the proposed project, and this Board has considered and approved said Negative Declaration; and

WHEREAS, the tentative map for Parcel Map No. 2825 has been approved by said Planning Commission; and

WHEREAS, said map contemplates creation of two parcels in the Planned Development No. 5 (PD5) Zone, of Yolo County; and

WHEREAS, the final tracing of said Parcel Map has been signed by all necessary parties pursuant to the provisions of the Government Code of the State of California; and

WHEREAS, said map in all respects complies with the provisions of the State Subdivision Map Act and of the Local Ordinances.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows: (1) Each of the foregoing recitals is true and correct. (2) After consideration of the Negative Declaration of Environmental Impact for the proposed project, this Board finds that the project as proposed and approved will not have a significant effect on the environment and the Negative Declaration on file is hereby approved by this Board. (3) This Board hereby finds that the proposed subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvements, is consistent with general plan adopted for the County of Yolo. (4) This

Board hereby approves Parcel Map No. 2825 as submitted, and instructs the Clerk to prepare subject map for transmittal to the County Recorder for filing.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Clerk of this Board hereby is authorized and ordered to file a Notice of Determination upon this project, with a copy of the Negative Declaration attached, said notice to include the decision of this Board to approve the proposed project, the finding of this Board that the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment, and a statement that no environmental impact report has been prepared, all pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 16th day of January, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, De Mars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Bobby A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

JAN 19 1979

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, CLERK
By Velora E. Conley, Deputy
(SEAL)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
_____, Deputy
COUNTY COUNSEL

FILED

JAN 29 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By [signature] Deputy

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION

NO. 79-3

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21152 and Yolo County Code Section 10-1.910 that the County of Yolo acting by its decision-making officer or body has made the following determination whether to approve or disapprove a project as follows:

1. The decision-making officer or body is the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California.

2. The project is described as follows: Parcel Map 2825 divides an existing 4.34 acre parcel into two parcels of 1.57 and 2.77 acres in the Planned Development Five (PD-5) Zone. Project is located adjacent to Harbor Blvd., Beacon Blvd., and Halyard Drive in the West Sacramento area. Parcel "A" will be the site of a Sambo's restaurant and parking area, while the development of lot "B" is unknown at this time. The Yolo County Planning Commission approved the tentative map for this land division on October 18, 1978 and all conditions of approval have been complied with.

3. The project was approved by the Board of Supervisors on January 16, 1979, by Resolution No. 79-6, for Del Pac Properties, Parcel Map No. 2825, under the following conditions: a - After consideration of the Negative Declaration of Environmental Impact for the proposed project, this Board finds that the project as proposed and approved will not have a significant effect on the environment and the Negative Declaration on file is approved by this Board; b - Board finds that the proposed subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvements, is consistent with general plan adopted for the County of Yolo; c - Board approves Parcel Map No. 2825 as submitted, and instructs the Clerk to prepare subject map for transmittal to the County Recorder for filing.

3. The project will not have a significant effect on the environment.

4. No environmental impact report has been prepared pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act.

DATED: JANUARY 25, 1979.

Yolo County Board of Supervisors
Decision-making Officer or body

BY Peter McNamee
PETER McNAMEE

Its Clerk

NEGATIVE DECLARATION

OF ENVIRONMENTAL SIGNIFICANCE

No. 78-100

The Yolo County Planning Commission has reviewed all available information on the environmental effect of the project described as request for tentative parcel map to divide an existing 4.34 acre parcel into two parcels located on the northeast corner of Beacon and Halyard, West Sacramento, in the Planned Development No. 5 (PD-5) Zone. Assessor's Parcel No. 9-647-01. Tentative Parcel Map #2825

The Planning Commission has determined that:

1. The project described is one that would ordinarily be expected to have a significant effect on the environment, and

2. The project will have no significant adverse effect on the environment due to circumstances peculiar to the specific project.

An Environmental Impact Report will not be required.

Said determination was made at a Public Hearing held on October 18, 1978 in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act and Title 10 of the Yolo County Code. Appeals from this determination may be made within fifteen (15) days of said action by filing a letter of appeal with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors as prescribed for appeals from decisions of the Board of Zoning Adjustment.

Janet M. Ruggiero

Robert A. Peterson
Director of Planning
Secretary, Yolo County
Planning Commission

FILED

OCT 30 1978

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk

By Barbara [illegible] Deputy



COUNTY OF YOLO

PLANNING DEPARTMENT
292 WEST BEAMER
WOODLAND, CALIFORNIA 95695
TELEPHONE 666-8556
AREA CODE 916

September 1, 1978

Negative Declaration
Del Pac Properties, Applicant-owner
Assessor's Parcel No. 9-647-01
Tentative Parcel Map No. 2825
HEARING DATE: October 18, 1978

The applicant has submitted Tentative Parcel Map #2825 to divide an existing 4.34 acre parcel into two lots in the vicinity of Harbor Boulevard and Halyard Drive in West Sacramento. One of the two parcels will contain a restaurant and parking area.

Review of the preliminary application by agencies of jurisdiction has resulted in a determination that a Negative Declaration is appropriate.

This Negative Declaration is being distributed to you in order to allow any additional comment as to impact of the project. Please return the attached response form within two weeks of the date it is received.

FILED

JAN 23 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-7

(Resolution of Determination of Prevailing Wage Rates Pursuant to Labor Code §1773, et seq.)

WHEREAS, this Board finds it necessary, appropriate and economical to adopt pursuant to Labor Code §1773.2 the policy set forth herein as to publication of prevailing wage rate schedules on public works and projects; and

WHEREAS, this Board has been advised that the adoption of this policy will have the effect of reducing publication costs and expenses in such public projects; and

WHEREAS, the Director of Public Works has compiled and submitted such a schedule for the year 1979 and the same is attached hereto designated Exhibit "A" and incorporated hereto as though set forth herein in full; and

WHEREAS, said schedule has been compiled from the general prevailing rates of per diem, holiday and overtime wages in the locality determined by the Director of the California Department of Industrial Relations pursuant to Labor Code §1773;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that:

1. The schedule and list of wage rates contained in Exhibit "A" is found to be accurate and prepared in accordance with the above referenced statutes, and it is therefore adopted for the year 1979.

2. The Director of Public Works is directed to ascertain the established prevailing wage rates at least one time during each calendar year, and he shall henceforth submit to this Board such a list or schedule for workers on County projects pursuant to the provisions of §1773, et seq., of the Labor Code.

3. The Director of Public Works is further directed to file said schedule at least one time each year at the office of the County Clerk, and in his office, and make same available to all interested persons.

4. The County Clerk is directed to publish the attached schedule for the year 1979 in the Daily Democrat, a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published in the County of Yolo.

5. In the event a project required the services of workmen whose trade, skill or craft is listed on said schedules, the County intends, henceforth, in lieu of publishing and specifying said wage rates in each call for bids, to refer to said schedule on file pursuant to Section 1773.2 of the Labor Code.

6. In the event there is a requirement in a project for a trade, skill or craft not set forth in said schedule, the County shall ascertain the established wage rate pursuant to law and shall specify same in the call for bids, the contract, and the bid specifications in accordance with the law.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 23rd day of January, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Bobbi A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, CLERK,
By Peter E. Lucas
Deputy

APPROVED AS TO FORM
CHARLES R. MACK
COUNTY COUNSEL
By
DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL

GENERAL PREVAILING WAGE RATES

All wage rates published herein include employer payments as defined in Section 1773.1 of the California Labor Code, except for travel and subsistence payments. The contractor shall make travel and subsistence payments to each worker needed to execute the work, as such travel and subsistence payments are defined in the applicable collective bargaining agreement filed with the Director of Industrial Relations in accordance with Labor Code Section 1773.8.

General prevailing wage rates for Operating Engineer classifications

CLASSIFICATION	GENERAL PREVAILING HOURLY WAGE RATE					
	STRAIGHT TIME		OVERTIME AND SATURDAY		SUNDAY AND HOLIDAY	
	AREA 1	AREA 2	AREA 1	AREA 2	AREA 1	AREA 2
Armor-coater (or similar)	17.43	18.94	23.335	25.60	29.24	32.26
Asphalt plant engineer	17.43	18.94	23.335	25.60	29.24	32.26
Auger-type drilling equipment operator (over 30-foot depth, manufacturers rated capacity)	16.99	18.50	22.675	24.94	28.36	31.38
Auger-type drilling equipment operator (up to and including 30-foot depth, manufacturers rated capacity)	16.83	18.34	22.435	24.70	28.04	31.06
Automatic concrete slip form paver operator	18.18	19.69	24.46	26.725	30.74	33.76
Automatic railroad car dumper	18.18	19.69	24.46	26.725	30.74	33.76
Backhoe operator (hydraulic) (up to and including 1 C.Y., manufacturer's recommended capacity)	18.00	19.51	24.19	25.455	30.38	33.40
Backhoe operator (cable)(up to and including 1 C.Y., manufacturer's recommended capacity)	18.00	19.51	24.19	25.455	30.38	33.40
Ballast jack tamper	16.44	17.95	21.85	24.115	27.26	30.28
Ballast regulator	16.44	17.95	21.85	24.115	27.26	30.28
Ballast tamper multi-purpose	16.44	17.95	21.85	24.115	27.26	30.28
Band wagon operator (in conjunction with wheel excavators)	19.34	20.85	26.20	28.465	33.06	36.08
BLH Lima Road Pactor operator or similar	16.83	18.34	22.435	24.70	28.04	31.06
Boiler tender	15.85	17.36	20.965	23.23	26.08	29.10
Boom truck or dual purpose A-frame truck operator	16.83	18.34	22.435	24.70	28.04	31.06
Boom-type backfilling machine operator	17.88	19.39	24.01	26.275	30.14	33.16
Boxman (asphalt plant)	16.44	17.95	21.85	24.115	27.26	30.28
Box operator (bunker)	15.85	17.36	20.965	23.23	26.08	29.10
Brakeman	15.25	16.76	20.065	22.33	24.88	27.90
Bridge crane operator	17.88	19.39	24.01	26.275	30.14	33.16
Canal trimmer operator	18.18	19.69	24.46	26.725	30.74	33.76
Canal trimmer operator with ditching attachments	18.18	19.69	24.46	26.725	30.74	33.76
Cary-Lift operator (or similar)	17.88	19.39	24.01	26.275	30.14	33.16
Cast-in-place pipelaying machine operator	17.43	18.94	23.335	25.60	29.24	32.26
Certified chief of party	18.18	19.69	24.46	26.725	30.74	33.76
Chemical grouting machine operator, truck mounted	17.88	19.39	24.01	26.275	30.14	33.16
Chicago boom operator	17.66	19.17	23.68	25.945	29.70	32.72
Chief of party	17.88	19.39	24.01	26.275	30.14	33.16
CMI dual lane auto grader operator (SP30 or similar)	18.00	19.51	24.19	26.455	30.38	33.40
Combination backhoe and loader operator (up to and including 3/4 C.Y., manufacturer's recommended capacity)	17.88	19.39	24.01	26.275	30.14	33.16
Combination backhoe and loader operator over 3/4 C.Y., manufacturer's recommended capacity	18.00	19.51	24.19	26.455	30.38	33.40
Combination mixer and compressor operator(gunite)	17.66	19.17	23.68	25.945	29.70	32.72
Combination slurry mixer and/or cleaner operator	17.66	19.17	23.68	25.945	29.70	32.72
Combination slusher and motor operator	17.43	18.94	23.335	25.60	29.24	32.26
Compressor operator	15.62	17.13	20.62	22.885	25.62	28.64
Compressor operator (over 2)	16.67	18.18	22.195	24.46	27.76	30.74
Concrete batch plant operator (wet or dry)	16.83	18.34	22.435	24.70	28.04	31.06
Concrete batch plant operator (multiple units)	17.43	18.94	23.335	25.60	29.24	32.26
Concrete belt operator (tunnel)	15.62	17.13	20.62	22.885	25.62	28.64
Concrete conveyor or concrete pump operator, truck or equipment mounted	16.99	18.50	22.675	24.94	28.36	31.38
Concrete conveyor operator (building site)	16.99	18.50	22.675	24.94	28.36	31.38
Concrete mixer operator (up to and including 1 yard)	15.62	17.13	20.62	22.885	25.62	28.64
Concrete mixer operator (over 1 yard)	16.67	18.18	22.195	24.46	27.76	30.74
Concrete pump or Pumpcrete gun operator	16.67	18.18	22.195	24.46	27.76	30.74
Concrete saw operator, (self-propelled unit) on streets, highways, airports and canals	16.83	18.34	22.435	24.70	28.04	31.06
Continuous flight tie back auger operator (up to and including 1 C.Y.)(crane attached)	18.00	19.51	24.19	26.455	30.38	33.40
Continuous flight tie back auger operator (over 1 C.Y.)(including crane)	18.18	19.69	24.46	26.725	30.74	33.76
Conveyor belt operator (tunnel)	15.62	17.13	20.62	22.885	25.62	28.64
Crane operator (not over 25 tons hammerhead and gantry)	18.00	19.51	24.19	26.455	30.38	33.40
Crane operator (over 25 tons up to and including 125 tons)	18.18	19.69	24.46	26.725	30.74	33.76
Crane operator (over 125 tons)	19.34	20.85	26.20	28.465	33.06	36.08

General prevailing wage r[illegible] for Operating Engineer classifications in

CLASSIFICATION	GENERAL PREVAILING HOURLY WAGE RATE					
	STRAIGHT TIME		OVERTIME AND SATURDAY		SUNDAY AND HOLIDAY	
	AREA 1	AREA 2	AREA 1	AREA 2	AREA 1	AREA 2
Deck engineer	16.99	18.50	22.675	24.94	28.36	31.38
Deckhand	15.25	16.76	20.065	22.33	24.88	27.90
Derrick operator	17.88	19.39	24.01	26.275	30.14	33.16
Derrick barge operator (except excavation work)	17.88	19.39	24.01	26.275	30.14	33.16
Do-More loader and Adams Elegrader operator	17.88	19.39	24.01	26.275	30.14	33.16
Dozer operator	17.43	18.94	23.335	25.60	29.24	32.26
Drilling and boring machinery operator, horizontal (not to apply to waterliners, wagon drills or jackhammers)	16.99	18.50	22.675	24.94	28.36	31.38
Drilling and boring machinery operator, vertical (not to apply to waterliners, wagon drills or jackhammers)	16.83	18.34	22.435	24.70	28.04	31.06
Drott Travelift 650 A-1 or similar equipment operator (45 ton or over)	18.18	19.69	24.46	26.725	30.74	33.76
Dual drum mixer operator	16.99	18.50	22.675	24.94	28.36	31.38
Elevating grader operator	17.88	19.39	24.01	26.275	30.14	33.16
Elevator operator (inside)	16.44	17.95	21.85	24.115	27.26	30.28
Euclid loader operator and similar types	17.88	19.39	24.01	26.275	30.14	33.16
Euclid loader operator when controlled from pullcat	18.18	19.69	24.46	26.725	30.74	33.76
Fireman	15.25	16.76	20.065	22.33	24.88	27.90
Fireman, hot plant	15.62	17.13	20.62	22.885	25.62	28.64
Fork lift or lumber stacker operator (construction jobsite)	16.44	17.95	21.85	24.115	27.26	30.28
Fuller Kenyon pump operator and similar types	16.99	18.50	22.675	24.94	28.36	31.38
Gantry rider operator, or similar equipment	16.99	18.50	22.675	24.94	28.36	31.38
Generator operator	16.67	18.18	22.195	24.46	27.76	30.74
Grade-all operator (up to and including 1 .Y.)	18.00	19.51	24.19	26.455	30.38	33.40
Gradesetter, gradechecker (mechanical or otherwise)	17.43	18.94	23.335	25.60	29.24	32.26
Grooving and grinding machine operator (highways)	17.43	18.94	23.335	25.60	29.24	32.26
Grouting machine operator	16.67	18.18	22.195	24.46	27.76	30.74
Heading shield operator	17.43	18.94	23.335	25.60	29.24	32.26
Heavy duty repairman and/or welder	17.43	18.94	23.335	25.60	29.24	32.26
Heavy duty repairman helper	15.25	16.76	20.065	22.33	24.88	27.90
Heavy duty rotary drill rig operator (including caisson foundation work and Robbins type drills)	17.88	19.39	24.01	26.275	30.14	33.16
Helicopter radioman (signalman)	15.85	17.36	20.965	23.23	26.08	29.10
Highline cableway operator (5 tons and under)	17.66	19.17	23.68	25.945	29.70	32.72
Highline cableway operator (over 5 tons)	18.18	19.69	24.46	26.725	30.74	33.76
Highline cableway signalman	16.83	18.34	22.435	24.70	28.04	31.06
Hydro-Hammer operator or similar	16.99	18.50	22.675	24.94	28.36	31.38
Hydraulic monitor operator	15.62	17.13	20.62	22.885	25.62	28.64
Instrument man	16.99	18.50	22.675	24.94	28.36	31.38
Ken seal machine operator (or similar)	17.43	18.94	23.335	25.60	29.24	32.26
Koehring Scooper operator (or similar)	17.88	19.39	24.01	26.275	30.14	33.16
Kolman loader operator	17.43	18.94	23.335	25.60	29.24	32.26
Lift slab machine operator (Vagtborg and similar types)	17.88	19.39	24.01	26.275	30.14	33.16
Line master	16.44	17.95	21.85	24.115	27.26	30.28
Loader operator (up to 2 yards)	17.43	18.94	23.335	25.60	29.24	32.26
Loader operator (2 yards up to and including 4 yards)	.88	19.39	24.01	26.275	30.14	33.16
Loader operator (over 4 yards, up to and including 12 C.Y.)	18.18	19.69	24.46	26.725	30.74	33.76
Loader operator (over 12 C.Y., up to and including 18 C.Y.)	19.34	20.85	26.20	28.465	33.06	36.08
Loader operator (over 18 C.Y.)	19.64	21.15	26.65	28.915	33.66	36.68
Locomotive operator (30 tons or less)	15.85	17.36	20.965	23.23	26.08	29.10
Locomotive operator (steam or over 30 ton)	16.83	18.34	22.435	24.70	28.04	31.06
Locomotive operator (over 100 tons)(single or multiple units)	17.88	19.39	24.01	26.[illegible]5	30.14	33.16
Lubrication and service engineer(mobile and grease rack)	16.44	17.95	21.85	24.115	27.26	30.28
Lull Hi-Lift operator or similar (20 feet or over)	17.66	19.17	23.68	25.945	29.70	32.72
Maginnis Internal Full Slab Vibrator operator (on airports, highways, canals and warehouses)	16.83	18.34	22.435	24.70	28.04	31.06
Material hoist operator (1 drum)	16.44	17.95	21.85	24.115	27.26	30.28
Material hoist operator (2 or more drums)	16.99	18.50	22.675	24.94	28.36	31.38
Mechanical berm, curb and/or curb and gutter machine operator, concrete or asphalt	16.83	18.34	22.435	24.70	28.04	31.06
Mechanical conveyor operator (handling bulk materials)	15.62	17.13	20.62	22.885	25.62	28.64
Mechanical finisher operator (concrete) (Clary, Johnson, Bidwell bridge deck or similar types)	16.83	18.34	22.435	24.70	28.04	31.06
Mechanical finisher or spreader machine operator (asphalt, Barber-Greene and similar)	16.99	18.50	22.675	24.94	28.36	31.38
Mechanical trench shield operator	17.43	18.94	23.335	25.60	29.24	32.26
Miller Formless M-9000 slope paver or similar	18.18	19.69	24.46	26.725	30.74	33.76
Mine or shaft hoist operator	16.99	18.50	22.675	24.94	28.36	31.38
Mixer box operator (concrete plant)	15.62	17.13	20.62	22.885	25.62	28.64
Mixermobile operator	16.99	18.50	22.675	24.94	28.36	31.38
Motorman	15.85	17.36	20.965	23.23	26.08	29.10
Mucking machine operator (rubber tire, rail or track type)	17.66	19.17	23.68	25.945	29.70	32.72

General prevailing wage [illegible]s for Operating Engineer classification[illegible]

CLASSIFICATION	GENERAL PREVAILING HOURLY WAGE RATE					
	STRAIGHT TIME		OVERTIME AND SATURDAY		SUNDAY AND HOLIDAY	
	AREA 1	AREA 2	AREA 1	AREA 2	AREA 1	AREA 2
Multiple engine earthmoving machine operator (Euclids, dozer, etc.)(no tandem scraper)	17.88	19.39	24.01	26.275	30.14	33.16
Oiler	15.25	16.76	20.065	22.33	24.88	27.90
Operator of helicopter (when used in erection work)	19.96	21.47	27.13	29.395	34.30	37.32
Partsman	15.25	16.76	20.065	22.33	24.88	27.90
Pavement breaker with or without compressor combination	16.99	18.50	22.675	24.94	28.36	31.38
Pavement breaker, truck mounted, with compressor combination	16.99	18.50	22.675	24.94	28.36	31.38
Pipe bending machine (pipe lines only)	16.99	18.50	22.675	24.94	28.36	31.38
Pipe cleaning machine (tractor propelled and supported)	16.99	18.50	22.675	24.94	28.36	31.38
Pipe wrapping machine (tractor propelled and supported)	16.99	18.50	22.675	24.94	28.36	31.38
Portable crusher operator	16.83	18.34	22.435	24.70	28.04	31.06
Portable crushing and screening plant operator	17.43	18.94	23.335	25.60	29.24	32.26
Post driver operator (M1500 and similar)	16.83	18.34	22.435	24.70	28.04	31.06
Power blade operator (single engine)	18.00	19.51	24.19	26.455	30.38	33.40
Power blade operator (multi-engine)	18.18	19.69	24.46	26.725	30.74	33.76
Power jumbo operator (setting slip forms, etc., in tunnels)	16.83	18.34	22.435	24.70	28.04	31.06
Power shovel, clamshell, dragline operator (up to and including 1 C.Y., manufacturer's recommended capacity)	18.00	19.51	24.19	26.455	30.38	33.40
Power shovel, clamshell, dragline operator (over 1 yard and up to and including 7 C.Y., manufacturer's recommended capacity)	18.18	19.69	24.46	26.725	30.74	33.76
Power shovel, clamshell, backhoe, gradall and dragline operator (over 7 C.Y., manufacturer's recommended capacity)	19.34	20.85	26.20	28.465	30.06	36.08
Press-weld operator (air operated)	16.67	18.18	22.195	24.46	27.76	30.74
Pre-stress wire wrapping machine operator	17.88	19.39	24.01	26.275	30.14	33.16
Pump operator	15.62	17.13	20.62	22.885	25.62	28.64
Pump operator (over 2)	16.67	18.18	22.195	24.46	27.76	30.71
Push cat operator	17.43	18.94	23.335	25.60	29.24	32.26
Refrigeration plant operator	16.99	18.50	22.675	24.94	28.36	31.38
Remote controlled earthmoving machine operator	19.96	21.47	27.13	29.395	34.30	37.32
Reservoir-debris tug operator (self-propelled floating)	17.88	19.39	24.01	26.275	30.14	33.16
Rodman or chainman	15.85	17.36	20.965	23.23	26.08	29.10
Roller operator (except asphalt)	16.83	18.34	22.435	24.70	28.04	31.06
Roller operator (finish asphalt)	16.99	18.50	22.675	24.94	28.36	31.38
Ross carrier operator (construction jobsite)	15.85	17.36	20.965	23.23	26.08	29.10
Rotomist operator	15.85	17.36	20.965	23.23	26.08	29.10
Rubber tired earthmoving equipment operator (up to and including 45 C.Y. "struck," manufacturer's recommended capacity, Euclids, T-Pulls, DW-10, 20, 21 and similar)	17.43	18.94	23.335	25.60	29.24	32.26
Rubber tired earthmoving machine operator (multiple propulsion power units and two or more scrapers) (up to and including 75 C.Y. "struck," manufacturer's recommended capacity)	18.18	19.69	24.46	26.725	30.74	33.76
Rubber tired dozer operator	17.43	18.94	23.335	25.60	29.24	32.26
Rubber tired multi-purpose earthmoving machine operator (2 units over 75 C.Y. "struck," manufacturer's recommended capacity)	19.34	20.85	26.20	28.465	33.06	36.08
Rubber tired scraper operator, self-loading (paddle-wheels, etc.)	17.88	19.39	24.01	26.275	30.14	33.16
Rubber tired scraper, self-loading (paddle wheel-twin engine)	18.00	19.51	24.19	26.455	30.38	33.40
Screedman (except asphaltic concrete paving)	15.85	17.36	20.965	23.23	26.08	29.10
Screedman (Barber-Greene and similar) (asphaltic concrete paving)	16.83	18.34	22.435	24.70	28.04	31.06
Self-propelled, automatically applied concrete curing machine operator (on streets, highways, airports and canals)	15.85	17.36	20.965	23.23	26.08	29.10
Self-propelled boom-type lifting device operator (center mount) (10 ton capacity or less, manufacturer's recommended capacity)	16.99	18.50	22.675	24.94	28.36	31.38
Self-propelled boom-type lifting device operator (center mount) (over 10 ton)	18.00	19.51	24.19	26.455	30.38	33.40
Self-propelled boom-type lifting device operator (center mount) (over 10 tons)	18.00	19.51	24.19	26.455	30.38	33.40
Self-propelled compactor operator (single engine)	16.83	18.34	22.435	24.70	28.04	31.06
Self-propelled compactor operator with dozer	17.43	18.94	23.335	25.60	29.24	32.26
Self-propelled compactor operator (with multiple propulsion power units)	18.18	19.69	24.46	26.725	30.74	33.76
Self-propelled elevating grade plane operator	16.99	18.50	22.675	24.94	28.36	31.38
Self-propelled pipeline wrapping machine operator (Perault CWC or similar types)	16.83	18.34	22.435	24.70	28.04	31.06

General prevailing wage r[redacted] for Operating Engineer classifications[redacted]

CLASSIFICATION	GENERAL PREVAILING HOURLY WAGE RATE					
	STRAIGHT TIME		OVERTIME AND SATURDAY		SUNDAY AND HOLIDAY	
	AREA 1	AREA 2	AREA 1	AREA 2	AREA 1	AREA 2
Self-propelled power sweeper operator	16.83	18.34	22.435	24.70	28.04	31.06
Self-propelled taping machine	16.83	18.34	22.435	24.70	28.04	31.06
Sheepfoot operator	17.43	18.94	23.335	25.60	29.24	32.26
Shuttlecar operator	16.44	17.95	21.86	24.115	27.26	30.28
Shuttlecar operator (reclaim station)	17.88	19.39	24.01	26.275	30.14	33.16
Signalman	15.25	16.76	20.065	22.33	24.88	27.90
Single engine rubber tired earthmoving machine operator (with tandem scrapers)	18.18	19.69	24.46	26.725	30.74	33.76
Single engine scraper operator over 45 yards	17.88	19.39	24.01	26.275	30.14	33.16
Slip form paver operator (concrete or asphalt)	18.18	19.69	24.46	26.725	30.74	33.76
Slip form pump operator (lifting device for concrete forms)	16.83	18.34	22.435	24.70	28.04	31.06
Slusher operator	16.99	18.50	22.675	24.94	28.36	31.38
Small rubber-tired tractor operator	16.83	18.34	22.435	24.70	28.04	31.06
Small tractor operator (with boom)	16.99	18.50	22.675	24.94	28.36	31.38
Soil stabilizer operator (P & H or equal)	17.88	19.39	24.01	26.275	30.14	33.16
Soil and material tester	16.99	18.50	22.675	24.94	28.36	31.38
Spreader boxman (with screeds)	15.62	17.13	20.62	22.885	25.62	28.64
Sub-grader operator (Gurries or other automatic type)	17.88	19.39	24.01	26.275	30.14	33.16
Surface heater operator	16.83	18.34	22.435	24.70	28.04	31.06
Switchman	15.25	16.76	20.065	22.33	24.88	27.90
Tandem cat operator	18.18	19.69	24.46	26.725	30.74	33.76
Tar pot fireman	15.25	16.76	20.065	22.33	24.88	27.90
Tar pot fireman (power agitated)	15.62	17.13	20.62	22.885	25.62	28.64
Tie spacer	16.44	17.95	21.85	24.115	27.26	30.28
Timber skidder operator (rubber tired or similar equipment)	17.43	18.94	23.335	25.60	29.24	32.26
Tower crane operator, mobile (including rail mounted)	18.18	19.69	24.46	26.725	30.74	33.76
Towermobile operator	16.44	17.95	21.85	24.115	27.26	30.28
Tractor operator, compressor drill combination	17.88	19.39	24.01	26.275	30.14	33.16
Tractor drawn scraper operator	17.43	18.94	23.335	25.60	29.24	32.26
Tractor operator	17.43	18.94	23.335	25.60	29.24	32.26
Tractor operator (with boom)(D-6 or larger, and similar)	17.66	19.17	23.68	25.945	29.70	32.72
Track laying type earthmoving machine operator (single engine, with tandem scrapers)	17.88	19.39	24.01	26.275	30.14	33.16
Train loading station operator	17.88	19.39	24.01	26.275	30.14	33.16
Trenching machine operator - maximum digging capacity, 4 foot depth	15.85	17.36	20.965	23.23	26.08	29.10
Trenching machine operator-maximum digging capacity over 4 feet	17.43	18.94	23.335	25.60	29.24	32.26
Trenching machine operator, multi-engine with sloping attachment (Jefco or similar)	17.88	19.39	24.01	26.275	30.14	33.16
Trencher operator (pulling attached shield)	18.18	19.69	24.46	26.725	30.74	33.76
Tri-batch paver operator	17.43	18.94	23.335	25.60	29.24	32.26
Truck crane oiler	15.85	17.36	20.965	23.23	26.08	29.10
Truck type loader operator	16.99	18.50	22.675	24.94	28.36	31.38
Tugger hoist operator, single drum	15.85	17.36	20.965	23.23	26.08	29.10
Tunnel mole boring machine operator	17.43	18.94	23.335	25.60	29.24	32.26
Universal Liebher tower crane operator (and similar types)	18.18	19.69	24.46	26.725	30.74	33.76
Vacuum cooling plant operator	17.88	19.39	24.01	26.275	30.14	33.16
Verneer T-6000 rock cutter operator	17.43	18.94	23.335	25.60	29.24	32.26
Welder	17.43	18.94	23.335	25.60	29.24	32.26
Welding machine operator (powered other than by electricity)	16.67	18.18	22.195	24.46	27.76	30.74
Wheel excavator operator (over 750 C.Y. per hour)	19.34	20.85	26.20	28.465	33.06	36.08
Wheel excavator operator (up to and including 750 C.Y. per hour)	18.18	19.69	24.46	26.725	30.74	33.76
Whirley crane operator (up to and including 25 tons)	17.88	19.39	24.01	26.275	30.14	33.16
Whirley crane operator (over 25 tons)	18.18	19.69	24.46	26.725	30.74	33.76
Woods mixer operator (and other similar pugmill equipment)	17.43	18.94	23.335	25.60	29.24	32.26

General prevailing wage rates for Labor classifications

CLASSIFICATION	GENERAL PREVAILING HOURLY WAGE RATE STRAIGHT TIME	OVERTIME AND SATURDAY	SUNDAY AND HOLIDAY
All cleanup work of debris, grounds and building, including, but not limited to street cleaner	13.505	18.132	22.76
Asphalt ironer, raker and spreaderbox man	13.755	18.507	23.26
Asphalt shoveler	13.605	18.282	22.96
Bander (Contra Costa County)	13.955	18.807	23.66
Barko, Wacker and similar type tamper operator	13.755	18.507	23.26
Bit grinder	13.98	18.845	23.71
Blaster and powderman	13.98	18.845	23.71
Buggymobile operator	13.755	18.507	23.26
Burner and welder	13.805	18.582	23.36
Cast-in-place manhole form setter	13.755	18.507	23.26
Cast-in-place manhole form setter (Contra Costa County)	13.955	18.807	23.66
Caulker (Contra Costa County)	13.955	18.807	23.66
Cement dumper handling dry cement or gypsum	13.605	18.282	22.96
Chainsaw operator, faller, logloader and bucker	13.755	18.507	23.26
Chokesetter and rigger (clearing work)	13.605	18.282	22.96
Cleaner and washer of windows	13.505	18.132	22.76
Compactor operator (all types)	13.755	18.507	23.26
Concrete and magnesite mixer operator (1/2 yard and under)	13.755	18.507	23.26
Concrete bucket dumper and chuteman	13.605	18.282	22.96
Concrete chipper and grinder	13.605	18.282	22.96
Concrete laborer (wet or dry)	13.605	18.282	22.96
Concrete pan worker	13.755	18.507	23.26
Concrete saw and sander operator	13.755	18.507	23.26
Conduit layer (Contra Costa County)	13.955	18.807	23.66
Construction laborer, including bridge and general laborer	13.505	18.132	22.76
Cribber and shorer	13.755	18.507	23.26
Cut granite curb setter	13.755	18.507	23.26
Davis trencher operator (300 or similar type and all small trenchers)	13.755	18.507	23.26
Diamond driller	13.98	18.845	23.71
Driller's helper, chucktender and nipper	13.605	18.282	22.96
Dri pac-it machine operator	13.755	18.507	23.26
Dumpman and loadspotter	13.505	18.132	22.76
Fence erector	13.505	18.132	22.76
Flagman	13.505	18.132	22.76
Fire watcher	13.505	18.132	22.76
Form raiser (slip forms)	13.755	18.507	23.26
Gardner-Denver operator (DH-143 and similar type drills)	13.98	18.845	23.71
Gardener, horticultural, and landscape laborer	13.505	18.132	22.76
Green cutter	13.755	18.507	23.26
Guinea chaser (stakeman)	13.605	18.282	22.96
Grout crewman	13.605	18.282	22.96
Guardrail erector	13.505	18.132	22.76
Headerboard man, hubsetter, aligner	13.755	18.507	23.26
High pressure blow pipe operator (1 1/2" and 100 lbs. pressure, minimums)	13.755	18.507	23.26
High pressure nozzleman, abductor	13.605	18.282	22.96
High scaler (including drilling of same)	13.98	18.845	23.71
Hydraulic monitor operator (over 100 pounds pressure)	13.605	18.282	22.96
Hydro seeder operator and similar type	13.755	18.507	23.26
Jackhammer operator	13.755	18.507	23.26
Jacker of pipe (over 12 inches)	13.755	18.507	23.26
Jacker of pipe (under 12 inches)	13.605	18.282	22.96
Jackson and similar type compactor operator	13.755	18.507	23.26
Jetter	13.605	18.282	22.96
Kettleman, potman, and man applying asphalt, Laykold, creosote, lime, caustic and similar type materials (applying, dipping or handling of such materials)	13.755	18.507	23.26
Limber, brush loader and piler	13.505	18.132	22.76
Laborer on or in bell hole footings and shafts and on or in deep footings	14.305	19.332	24.36
Loader and unloader (carrying and handling of all rods and materials for use in reinforcing concrete)	13.605	18.282	22.96
Loading, placing and blasting of all powder and explosives of whatever type, regardless of method used for such loading and placing	13.98	18.845	23.71
Lagger, sheeter, waler, bracer, trench jacker and user of hand-guided lagging hammer	13.755	18.507	23.26

General prevailing wage rates for Labor classifications

CLASSIFICATION	GENERAL PREVAILING HOURLY WAGE RATE STRAIGHT TIME	OVERTIME AND SATURDAY	SUNDAY AND HOLIDAY
Laser beam man	13.755	18.507	23.26
Jack leg driller	13.98	18.845	23.71
Joy drill operator (Model TWM-2A)	13.98	18.845	23.71
Maintenance landscape laborer (new construction)	13.505	18.132	22.76
Maintenance repair trackman and roadbedman	13.505	18.132	22.76
Magnesite, epoxy, fiber glass and mastic worker	13.755	18.507	23.26
Mechanical driller (all types regardless of type or method of power)	13.98	18.845	23.71
Multiple unit drill operator	13.98	18.845	23.71
No joint pipelayer (including stripping and repair of voids)	13.755	18.507	23.26
No joint pipelayer (including stripping and repair of voids) (Contra Costa County)	13.955	18.807	23.66
Operator of all pneumatic, air, gas and electric tools not listed elsewhere	13.605	18.282	22.96
Pavement breaker and spader operator (including tool grinder)	13.755	18.507	23.26
Pavement marker (button setter)	13.505	18.132	22.76
Perma-curb man (including grade checking)	13.755	18.507	23.26
Pipelayer, caulker, bander, pipewrapper, conduit layer and plastic pipe layer (including grade checking)	13.755	18.507	23.26
Pipelayer (Contra Costa County)	13.955	18.807	23.66
Pipewrapper (Contra Costa County)	13.955	18.807	23.66
Plastic pipelayer (Contra Costa County)	13.955	18.807	23.66
Pittsburgh Chipper and similar type brush shredder operator	13.605	18.282	22.96
Post hole digger (air, gas and electric tools)	13.755	18.507	23.26
Power broom sweeper operator	13.755	18.507	23.26
Power tamper operator of all types not listed elsewhere	13.755	18.507	23.26
Precast manhole setter	13.755	18.507	23.26
Precast manhole setter (Contra Costa County)	13.955	18.807	23.66
Pressure pipe tester	13.755	18.507	23.26
Pressure pipe tester (Contra Costa County)	13.955	18.807	23.66
Ram Set gun and stud gun operator	13.755	18.507	23.26
Riprap stonepaver and rockslinger, including placing of sacked concrete and/or sand (wet or dry) and gabions and similar type	13.755	18.507	23.26
Rotary scarifier operator	13.755	18.507	23.26
Roto and Ditch Witch operator	13.755	18.507	23.26
Rototiller operator	13.755	18.507	23.26
Sandblaster, potman, gunman and nozzleman	13.755	18.507	23.26
Sewer cleaner	14.305	19.332	24.36
Signaler and rigger	13.755	18.507	23.26
Single foot, hand held, pneumatic tamper operator	13.755	18.507	23.26
Sloper	13.605	18.282	22.96
State licensed blaster	14.48	19.595	24.71
Street car and railroad construction track laborer	13.505	18.132	22.76
Tank cleaner	13.755	18.507	23.26
Temporary air and water line installer, Victaulic or similar	13.505	18.132	22.76
Toolroom attendant (job site only)	13.505	18.132	22.76
Track driller	13.98	18.845	23.71
Tree climber	13.755	18.507	23.26
Tree topper	13.98	18.845	23.71
Vibra screed operator (bull float)	13.755	18.507	23.26
Vibrator operator	13.755	18.507	23.26
Wagon driller	13.98	18.845	23.71
Watchman	13.505	18.132	22.76
Wire winding machine aligner (with gunite or shot crete)	14.34	19.385	24.43
Aligner helper	13.355	17.907	26.46
Gunite groundman	14.215	19.197	24.18
Gunite nozzleman, gunman and potman	14.215	19.197	24.18
Gunite reboundman	13.625	18.312	23.00
Gunite rodman	14.215	19.197	24.18
Gunite trainee	13.695	18.417	23.14
Semi-skilled wrecker	13.605	18.282	22.96
Skilled wrecker	13.755	18.507	23.26

General prevailing wage rates for Teamster classifications

CLASSIFICATION	GENERAL PREVAILING HOURLY WAGE RATE STRAIGHT TIME	OVERTIME AND SATURDAY	SUNDAY AND HOLIDAY
All drivers of dump trucks, slurry trucks and dumpster and similar types (single or multiple dump units including semi's, Double and Transfer units):			
under 4 yards	14.45	19.98	25.50
4 yards and under 6 yards	14.545	20.117	25.69
6 yards and under 8 yards	14.745	20.417	26.09
8 yards and including 12 yards	14.985	20.777	26.57
over 12 yards and including 18 yards	15.025	20.837	26.65
over 18 yards and including 24 yards	15.13	20.994	26.86
over 24 yards and including 35 yards	15.185	21.077	26.97
over 35 yards and including 50 yards	15.335	21.302	27.27
over 50 yards and under 65 yards	15.485	21.527	27.57
65 yards and including 80 yards	15.635	21.752	27.87
over 80 yards and including 95 yards	15.785	21.977	28.17
All drivers of transit mix or agitator trucks:			
under 6 yards	14.60	20.20	25.80
6 yards and under 8 yards	14.70	20.35	26.00
8 yards through 10 yards	14.80	20.50	26.20
over 10 yards through 12 yards	14.90	20.65	26.40
over 12 yards through 14 yards	15.00	20.80	26.60
over 14 yards through 16 yards	15.10	20.95	26.80
All drivers of water trucks or jetty trucks:			
under 2,500 gallons	14.56	20.14	25.72
2,500 and under 4,000 gallons	14.66	20.29	25.92
4,000 and under 5,000 gallons	14.76	20.44	26.12
5,000 and under 7,000 gallons	14.86	20.59	26.32
All drivers of triple headers, bulk cement spreader trucks (with or without auger), dumpcrete trucks, skid trucks (debris rack), and dry pre-batch concrete mix trucks:			
under 4 yards	14.575	20.162	25.75
4 yards and under 6 yards	14.67	20.305	25.94
6 yards and under 8 yards	14.87	20.605	26.34
8 yards and including 12 yards	15.11	20.965	26.82
over 12 yards and including 18 yards	15.15	21.025	26.90
over 18 yards and including 24 yards	15.255	21.182	27.11
over 24 yards and including 35 yards	15.31	21.265	27.22
over 35 yards and including 50 yards	15.46	21.49	27.52
over 50 yards and under 65 yards	15.61	21.715	27.82
65 yards and including 80 yards	15.76	21.94	28.12
over 80 yards and including 95 yards	15.91	22.165	28.42
All drivers of vacuum trucks:			
under 3,500 gallons	14.63	20.245	25.86
3,500 gallons and under 5,500 gallons	14.71	20.365	26.02
5,500 gallons and under 7,500 gallons	14.81	20.315	26.22
Ammonia nitrate distributor driver and mixer	15.025	20.837	26.65
Buggymobile driver	14.76	20.44	26.12
Bus or manhaul driver	14.[illegible]	19.975	25.50
Combination bootman and road oiler	14.89	20.635	26.38
Combination dump truck and dump trailer operator	15.13	20.995	26.86
Concrete pump machine operator	14.45	19.975	25.50
Concrete pump truck driver	14.45	19.975	25.50

General prevailing wage rates for Teamster classifications

CLASSIFICATION	GENERAL PREVAILING HOURLY WAGE RATE STRAIGHT TIME	OVERTIME AND SATURDAY	SUNDAY AND HOLIDAY
Double gooseneck truck driver (7 or more axles)	15.045	20.867	26.69
Driver of combination winch truck with hoist	14.70	20.35	26.00
Driver of DW 10, 20, 21 and other similar Cat type, Terra cobra, Le Tourneau Pulls, Tournorocker, Euclid and similar type equipment:			
when pulling Aqua-Pak or water tank trailers	15.435	21.452	27.47
when pulling fuel, grease tank or miscellaneous trailers	15.185	21.077	26.97
Escort or pilot car driver	14.45	19.98	25.50
Fuel and/or grease truck driver or fuelman	14.615	20.222	25.83
Fuel island attendant or combination grease pit or rack and fuel island attendant	14.615	20.222	25.83
Heavy duty transport driver (gooseneck low bed)	14.995	20.792	26.59
Heavy duty transport driver (high bed)	14.765	20.447	26.13
Heavy duty transport tiller man	15.045	20.867	26.69
Helicopter pilot	15.485	21.527	27.57
Hook tender	14.45	19.98	25.50
Hydro-lift extension or retracting crane operator (boom type)	15.115	20.972	26.83
Hydro-lift or Swedish crane operator	15.115	20.972	26.83
Industrial lift truck driver	14.545	20.117	25.69
Lift jitney and fork lift operator	14.58	20.17	25.76
Nipper truck driver	14.45	19.98	25.50
Oiler, greaser and service man	14.525	20.087	25.65
P. B. or similar type self-loading truck operator	15.085	20.927	26.77
Pickup truck driver	14.45	19.98	25.50
Road oil truck driver or bootman	14.57	20.155	25.74
Ross Hyster and similar straddle carrier driver	14.79	20.485	26.18
Rubber tired muck car driver (not self-loaded)	14.72	20.38	26.04
Rubber tired truck jumbo driver	14.76	20.44	26.12
Scissor truck driver	14.645	20.267	25.89
Self-propelled street sweeper with self-contained refuse bin	14.985	20.777	26.57
Single unit flat rack (2 axle unit)	14.545	20.117	25.69
Single unit flat rack (3 axle unit)	14.645	20.267	25.89
Small rubber tired tractor driver	14.645	20.267	25.89
Snow buggy driver	14.45	19.98	25.50
Snow Go and/or plow driver	14.985	20.777	26.57
Steam cleaner operator	14.45	19.98	25.50
Tire repairman	15.12	20.98	26.84
Tool room attendant (refineries)	14.45	19.98	25.50
Truck dispatcher	14.835	20.552	26.27
Truck repairman	15.12	20.98	26.84
Truck repairman's helper	14.62	20.23	25.84
Utility and clean-up truck driver	14.45	19.98	25.50
Warehouse clerk and parts man (job site construction)	14.575	20.162	25.75
Warehouseman, helper and team driver	14.45	19.98	25.50
Winch and "A" frame truck driver	14.76	20.44	26.12

(a) Driver of transit mix truck with boom receives an additional 12 1/2¢ per hour.
(b) Heater planer, asphalt burner and scarifier burner operators - use rate for power unit.
(c) Fire guard - use rate for equipment.
(d) Tilt bed trailer or flat bed pull trailer - 1/ 1/2¢ per hour above rate for towing unit.
(e) Drivers handling raw sewage or dangerous chemicals $4.00 per day in addition to appropriate wage scale.

General prevailing wage rates for Piledriver classifications

CLASSIFICATION	GENERAL PREVAILING HOURLY WAGE RATE STRAIGHT TIME	ALL OTHER TIME
Journeyman piledriverman, bridge, wharf and dock builder	17.375	30.275

General prevailing wage rates for Carpenter classifications

CLASSIFICATION	GENERAL PREVAILING HOURLY WAGE RATE STRAIGHT TIME	OVERTIME AND SATURDAY	SUNDAY AND HOLIDAY
Carpenter	17.225	23.575	29.925
Millwright	17.725	24.325	30.925
Steel scaffold erector and steel shorer	17.375	23.80	30.225
Power saw operator	17.375	23.80	30.225
Shingler, floorlayer (hardwood)	17.375	23.80	30.225
Saw filer	17.375	23.80	30.225

General prevailing wage rates for Fence Constructor classifications

CLASSIFICATION	GENERAL PREVAILING HOURLY WAGE RATE STRAIGHT TIME	OVERTIME	SATURDAY, SUNDAY AND HOLIDAY
Journeyman fence worker (carpenter)	13.30	18.875	24.45

General prevailing wage rates for Cement Mason classifications

CLASSIFICATION	GENERAL PREVAILING HOURLY WAGE RATE STRAIGHT TIME	OVERTIME	SATURDAY, SUNDAY AND HOLIDAY
Cement mason	16.18	21.68	27.18
Cement mason working from swing or slipform scaffolds	16.43	22.055	27.68
Mastic, magnesite, epoxy, polyester, resin, gypsum and all composition mason	16.43	22.055	27.68

General prevailing wage rates for Ironworker classifications

CLASSIFICATION	GENERAL PREVAILING HOURLY WAGE RATE STRAIGHT TIME	ALL OTHER TIME
Reinforcing ironworker	17.58	29.58
Ornamental ironworker	17.58	29.58
Structural ironworker	17.58	29.58
Fence erector	16.69	27.80

General prevailing wage rates for Boilermaker classifications

CLASSIFICATION	GENERAL PREVAILING HOURLY WAGE RATE STRAIGHT TIME	ALL OTHER TIME
Blacksmith	16.47	30.095
Boilermaker	16.47	30.095

General prevailing wage rates for Auto, Marine and Specialty Painter classifications

CLASSIFICATION	GENERAL PREVAILING HOURLY WAGE RATE STRAIGHT TIME	OVERTIME	SATURDAY	SUNDAY	HOLIDAY
Helper sandblaster, wheel stop installer and traffic delineating device applicator	9.29	13.275	9.29	9.29	17.26
Helper striper	9.79	14.025	9.79	9.79	18.26
Journeyman traffic delineating device applicator, wheel stop installer and traffic surface sandblaster	10.69	15.375	10.69	10.69	20.06
Journeyman striper	11.79	17.025	11.79	11.79	22.26
Serviceman	8.22	11.67	8.22	8.22	15.12
Mixer Operator	10.69	15.375	10.69	10.69	20.06
Squeegee man	8.22	11.67	8.22	8.22	15.12
Applicator opertor	9.29	13.275	9.29	9.29	17.26
Shuttleman	10.19	14.625	10.19	10.19	19.06
Top man	7.79	11.025	7.79	7.79	14.26
Trainee	7.79	11.025	7.79	7.79	14.26

General prevailing wage rates for the craft of Bricktender

GENERAL PREVAILING HOURLY WAGE RATE	
STRAIGHT TIME	ALL OTHER TIME
12.00	21.30

General prevailing wage rates for the craft of Carpet and Linoleum Layer

GENERAL PREVAILING HOURLY WAGE RATE				
STRAIGHT TIME	OVERTIME	SATURDAY	SUNDAY	HOLIDAY
15.40	27.13	27.13	27.13	27.13

General prevailing wage rates for the craft of Bricklayer

GENERAL PREVAILING HOURLY WAGE RATE	
STRAIGHT TIME	ALL OTHER TIME
15.99	29.47

The general prevailing wage rate listed also applies to the classifications of Stonemason, Blocklayer, Terazzo Layer, Caulker, Cleaner and Tuckpointer.

General prevailing wage rates for Electrician classifications

CLASSIFICATION	GENERAL PREVAILING HOURLY WAGE RATE	
	STRAIGHT TIME	ALL OTHER TIME
Cable splicer	19.576	36.776
Technician	17.969	33.609
Wireman	17.969	33.609

General prevailing wage rates for the craft of Glazier and/or Glassworker

GENERAL PREVAILING HOURLY WAGE RATE				
STRAIGHT TIME	OVERTIME	SATURDAY	SUNDAY	HOLIDAY
15.195	20.89	20.89	26.585	26.585

General prevailing wage rates for the craft of Lather

GENERAL PREVAILING HOURLY WAGE RATE	
STRAIGHT TIME	ALL OTHER TIME
13.00	22.76

General prevailing wage rates for Painter classifications

CLASSIFICATION	GENERAL PREVAILING HOURLY WAGE RATE				
	STRAIGHT TIME	OVERTIME	SATURDAY	SUNDAY	HOLIDAY
Brushpainter	16.20	22.57	28.94	28.94	28.94
Spraypainter	16.70	23.32	29.94	29.94	29.94
Spraypainter (Coatings)	16.95	23.695	30.44	30.44	30.44
Taper	17.00	23.77	30.54	30.54	30.54

General prevailing wage rates for the craft of Plasterer

GENERAL PREVAILING HOURLY WAGE RATE				
STRAIGHT TIME	OVERTIME	SATURDAY	SUNDAY	HOLIDAY
16.32	29.53	29.53	29.53	29.53

The general prevailing wage rate listed also applies to the classifications of Machine applicator and Nozzleman.

FILED

FEB 16 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-8

(Resolution Abolishing Yolo County Data Processing Advisory Committee)

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors heretofore by Minute Order No. 70-116 established the Yolo County Data Processing Advisory Committee; and

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors heretofore by Resolution No. 77-61 made certain changes in the organization of that Committee; and

WHEREAS, it is desired now to abolish the Yolo County Data Processing Advisory Committee.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo that the Yolo County Data Processing Committee is hereby abolished.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 23rd day of January, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betsy C. Marchand

CHAIRPERSON OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

2-16-79

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

By: _____ Deputy

(SEAL)

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

To:

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Yolo County, California

Date: January 23, 1979
Entry No. 35

File: CTS-14
Superior Courts - Department 2.

SEE:
RESOLUTION BOOK NO. 20

RESOLUTION NO. 79-9, WAS PASSED AND ADOPTED, EXPRESSING THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS APPRECIATION AND CONGRATULATIONS TO RETIRING JAMES C. McDERMOTT FOR THIS VALUABLE SERVICES AND CONTRIBUTION IN MAKING YOLO COUNTY A BETTER PLACE TO LIVE. THE CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WAS AUTHORIZED TO SIGN THE RESOLUTION.

Upon motion of Supervisor Thompson , seconded by Supervisor Edmonds , and duly carried, the above Resolution was passed and adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Marchand, Thompson, Edmonds, Black and DeMars.

Noes: None.

Absent: None.

ORIGINAL

Copy

FILED

JAN 30 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ Deputy

County of Yolo
Board of Supervisors

Resolution No. 79-9

WHEREAS, James C. McDermott is retiring after serving as a Judge of the Superior Court of Yolo County for over nineteen years; and,

WHEREAS, Judge McDermott has devoted a tremendous amount of his time and energy to seeking better ways of dealing with juvenile delinquency, and has made many important contributions to the Juvenile Justice System within Yolo County; and,

WHEREAS, Judge McDermott was selected from among more than 2500 members of the National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges, to receive the Achievement Award for Meritorious Service to the Juvenile Courts of America in 1972-73; and,

WHEREAS, Judge McDermott was instrumental in organizing and served as Chairman of the Yolo County Mental Health Association; and,

WHEREAS, Judge McDermott served as the Senior Judge of the Superior Court of Yolo County from 1963 to 1976; and,

WHEREAS, Judge McDermott represented the people and interests of Yolo County as a member of the National Juvenile Court Foundation, Inc. and the Juvenile Courts Committee of the Conference of California Judges; and,

WHEREAS, Yolo County has been fortunate to have a person of Judge McDermott's integrity and dedication serving the people of Yolo County for so many years.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Supervisors wishes to express its appreciation and congratulations to Judge James C. McDermott for his valuable service and contribution in making Yolo County a better place to live.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 23rd day of January, 1979. by the following vote;

AYES: Marchand, Thompson, Edmonds, Black and DeMars

NOES: None

ABSTAINING: None

ABSENT: None

Betsy A Marchand

BETSY A. MARCHAND, CHAIRPERSON OF THE
YOLO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

FILED (3)

JAN 30 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By [signature] Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-10

RESOLUTION OF THE COUNTY OF YOLO

APPROVING THE APPLICATION FOR GRANT FUNDS UNDER THE ROBERTI-Z'BERG URBAN OPEN-SPACE AND RECREATION PROGRAM

WHEREAS, the legislature of the State of California has enacted the ROBERTI-Z'BERG URBAN OPEN SPACE AND RECREATION PROGRAM, which provides funds to certain political subdivisions of the State of California for acquiring lands and for developing facilities to meet urban recreation needs; and

WHEREAS, the State Department of Parks and Recreation has been delegated the responsibility for the administration of the program, setting up necessary procedures governing application by local agencies under the program; and

WHEREAS, said procedures established by the State Department of Parks and Recreation require the applicant to certify by resolution the approval of applications prior to submission of said applications to the state; and

WHEREAS, said applications contain a certification that the applicant will comply with all federal, state, and local environmental, pubiic health, relocation, affirmative action, and clearinghouse requirements and all other appropriate codes, laws and regulations prior to the expenditure of the grant funds; and

WHEREAS, the project(s) applied for under this program must be of a high priority and satisfy the most urgent park and recreation needs with emphasis on unmet needs in the most heavily populated areas;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the County of Yolo Board of Supervisors hereby:

1. Approves the filing of an application for funding under the ROBERTI-Z'BERG URBAN OPEN-SPACE AND RECREATION PROGRAM: and

2. Certifies that the Knights Landing Service District understands the general provisions of the agreement; and

3. Certifies that the Knights Landing Service District has or will have sufficient funds to operate and maintain the project(s) funded under this program; and

4. Certifies that the Knights Landing Service District has or will have available prior to commencement of any work on the project(s) included in this application matching money from a nonstate source; and

5. Certifies that the project(s) included in this application conform to the recreation element of the applicable city or county general plan; and.

6. Appoints the Chairman of this Board of the County to conduct all negotiations, execute and submit all documents including but not limited to applications, agreements payment requests, and so on which may be necessary for the completion of the aforementioned project(s); and

7. Appoints County Counsel as legal counsel for said agency with authorization to sign the certification on page 1 of application.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 30th day of January, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Peter A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER MCNAMEE, COUNTY CLERK

BY Barbara A Rodgers
(deputy)

State of California — The Resources Agency
DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION
Urban Open Space and Recreation Program

NEED BASIS GRANT APPLICATION

Applicant (Agency)

Name: Yolo County

Address: Courthouse, Woodland, CA 95695

Person with day-to-day responsibility for the project:

Name: John R. Davis

Phone: (916) 735-6448

State Senate District No. 4

State Assembly District No. 4

Attach a copy of the Resolution authorizing application for grant funds from the applicant's governing body. (A sample resolution may be found in Appendix B of the Procedural Guide.)

Certification

I hereby certify that the applicant has met, or will meet, all federal, state or local environmental, public health, relocation, affirmative action, and clearinghouse requirements and all other appropriate codes, laws, and regulations prior to the expenditure of the grant funds. (Public Resources Code 5626 (c) (See Appendix G, State Regulations, of the Procedural Guide.)

I further certify that the applicant fully understands that the State Department of Parks and Recreation will not assume any responsibility to ensure compliance with any applicable federal, state or local codes, laws or regulations, but that the Department may conduct an audit to ensure compliance.

_____
(Signed)

Legal Counsel for Yolo County
Name of Applicant

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

1. Name of Project KNIGHTS LANDING RECREATIONAL PROJECT

Project Location (in sufficient detail to locate on city or county map)
Grafton School grounds, bounded by SR 113, SR 116 and Railroad Street, Knights Landing, Yolo County, California

Project Description Playground, barbeque area and little league field

Estimated Total Project Cost $17,500.00

Amount of Grant Request $10,000.00

Amount of Matching Funds $5,000.00 cash and $2,500.00 in-kind contributions.

Source of Matching Funds Building permit fees and in-kind contributions.

Please indicate in narrative form and in sufficient detail, which of the criteria considerations identified in the procedural guide, you feel the project encompasses and in what manner.

This project is located on the school grounds of the Grafton school, a public elementary school, located in the town of Knights Landing, County of Yolo, State of California. The property is held by the District under a long-term common use agreement. The area is the residential area for the northeast section of Yolo County and Southerly portion of Sutter County.

The project is centrally located in the Town of Knights Landing and has direct access to all users.

There are no other facilities in the area. The community has needed such a recreational area for many years.

The residents of the area are mainly low income farm workers. They, along with their children, will obtain an optimum benefit from a minimum cash investment, along with donations of labor and materials on this community, self-help project. The area has one of the lowest total assessed valuation and valuation per capita in the County.

The project consists of a little league ball park, barbeque area, and recreational area. Citizens in the community have heretofore leveled the playing area with donated equipment and labor and installed an underground sprinkler system at a cost of $5,600.00. Additional donations of $750.00 in materials have been received to date. It is estimated that additional in-kind contributions of labor, materials, and equipment use exceeding $2,500.00 will be received from citizens in the community and county.

FILED

JAN 30 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-11

(Resolution Exempting Certain Reclamation Districts from the Requirement of Adoption and Implementation of a Conflict of Interest Code and Directing Them to Rescind Previously Adopted Conflict of Interest Codes)

WHEREAS, the Political Reform Act of 1974, Government Code Section 81000 et seq. designates the Board of Supervisors as the code reviewing body with respect to the conflict of interest code of any county agency other than the Board of Supervisors and of any local governmental agency other than a city agency, with jurisdiction wholly within the County; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Yolo County, by Resolution No. 76-39 designated certain reclamation districts as designated agencies which are required to adopt a conflict of interest code pursuant to Government Code Section 87300 et seq.; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors have determined that certain reclamation districts should be exempted from the requirement to adopt a conflict of interest code and implement it.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, that the following reclamation districts be exempted from the requirement to adopt and implement conflict of interest codes for their agency:

Reclamation District No. 537

Reclamation District No. 785

Reclamation District No. 811

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the aforementioned reclamations districts are directed to take action to rescind previously adopted conflict of interest codes.

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Clerk of the Board is directed to send copies of this resolution to each of the aforementioned reclamation districts, together with a letter informing them of their exemption, directing that they rescind previously adopted conflict of interest codes and directing that they notify the Board of Supervisors when they have taken action to rescind said code.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 30th day of January, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Bob A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

BY Pete E. Lucas
DEPUTY

(SEAL)

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

FILED

JAN 30 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-12

(Resolution Exempting Reclamation District from the Requirement of Adoption and Implementation of a Conflict of Interest Code and Directing It to Rescind Previously Adopted Conflict of Interest Code)

WHEREAS, the Political Reform Act of 1974, Government Code Section 81000 et seq. designates the Board of Supervisors as the code reviewing body with respect to the conflict of interest code of any county agency other than the Board of Supervisors and of any local governmental agency other than a city agency, with jurisdiction wholly within the County; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Yolo County, by Resolution No. 76-39 designated certain reclamation districts as designated agencies which are required to adopt a conflict of interest code pursuant to Government Code Section 87300 et seq.; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors have determined that Reclamation District No. 787 should be exempted from the requirement to adopt a conflict of interest code and implement it.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, that Reclamation District No. 787 be exempted from the requirement to adopt and implement a conflict of interest code.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the aforementioned Reclamation District is directed to take action to rescind its previously adopted conflict of interest code.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Clerk of the Board is directed to send copies of this resolution to the aforementioned Reclamation District, together with a letter informing it of the exemption, directing that it rescind its previously adopted conflict of interest code and directing that it notify the Board of Supervisors when they have taken action to rescind said code.

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 30th day of January, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betsy A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, CLERK
BY Pete E. Lucas
DEPUTY
(SEAL)

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

RESOLUTION NO. 79-13

(Obligates 1978/79 FAS/SM Funds)

WHEREAS, on January 8, 1979, the State Highway Engineer forwarded official notice of amounts due Yolo County under the Federal Aid Highway Acts, in accordance with the provisions of Section 2208 and 2210.5 of the Streets and Highways Code, as follows:

Federal Aid Secondary Funds	$169,038.00
State Highway Fund money for Matching	$ 84,519.00

WHEREAS, Section 2211 of the Streets and Highways Code requires the County within 60 days of receiving such notice to notify the State Highway Engineer as to what amount of the apportionment the County wishes to claim and to agree to provide any County matching funds as may be necessary after first considering the sum made available under Streets and Highways Code Section 2210.5.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AND ORDERED that the County of Yolo does hereby notify the State Highway Engineer that the County of Yolo claims the said apportionment and the whole thereof and that the County of Yolo does hereby agree to provide any County matching funds required as may be necessary after first

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

FILED

JAN 30 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ Deputy

considering the sum made available under Streets and Highway Code Section 2210.5.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California this 30th day of January, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betsy A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS,
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

By: Peter E. Lucas, Deputy

(Seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

BY: _____
County Counsel

BK

FILED

JAN 30 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-14

RESOLUTION OF THE YOLO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

WHEREAS the Yolo County Sheriff's Department desires to undertake a certain project designated ARSON INVESTIGATION UNIT to be funded in part from funds made available through the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, PL 90-351, as amended, (hereafter referred to as the Crime Control Act) administered by the Office of Criminal Justice Planning (hereafter referred to as OCJP).

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that Ron E. Whitehead, Sheriff/Coroner is authorized, on its behalf to submit the attached Application for Grant for Law Enforcement Purposes to OCJP and is authorized to execute on behalf of Yolo County Sheriff's Department the attached Grant Award Contract for law enforcement purposes including any extensions or amendments thereof.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the applicant agrees to provide all matching funds required for said project (including any extension or amendment thereof) under the Crime Control Act and the rules and regulations of OCJP and the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration and that cash will be appropriated as required thereby.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that grant funds received hereunder shall not be used to supplant law enforcement expenditures controlled by this body.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 30th day of January 1979, by the following vote:

Ayes: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

Noes: None.

Absent: None.

1-30-79
Date

Betty A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS,
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, COUNTY CLERK
Ex-Officio Clerk of the
Board of Supervisors

By _____ Deputy

APPROVED AS TO FORM
CHARLES R. MACK
COUNTY COUNSEL

By _____
DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL

OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING

GRANT AWARD

The Office of Criminal Justice Planning, hereinafter designated "OCJP", hereby makes a grant award of funds to 1. COUNTY OF YOLO hereinafter designated "Subgrantee", under the provisions of (check one) ☒ Title I, Part C, Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968 (PL 90-351), as amended, hereinafter designated "Crime Control Act" (or) ☐ Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention Act of 1974 (PL 93-415), hereinafter designated "Juvenile Justice Act", in the amount and for the purpose and duration set forth in this grant award.

Program Category 2113

2. Project Title ARSON INVESTIGATION UNIT	Award No. 5. A-2697
	Grant Period 6. 1-1-79 to 1-1-80
3. Project Director (Name, Address, Telephone) ROBERT MARTINEZ, CAPTAIN YOLO COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT 814 NORTH STREET, P.O. BOX 179 WOODLAND, CALIFORNIA 95695 (916) 666-8585	Federal Amount 7. $51,660.00
	State Buy-In 8. $ 2,870.00
	Applicant Hard Match 9. $ 2,870.00
4. Financial Officer (Name, Address, Telephone) ARTHUR HEASLEY, AUDITOR/CONTROLLER YOLO COUNTY AUDITOR'S OFFICE ROOM 215, COURTHOUSE WOODLAND, CALIFORNIA 95695 (916) 666-8541	Other Match (JJ only) 10.
	Total Project Cost 11. $57,400.00

This grant award consists of this title page, the application for the grant which is attached hereto as Attachment A and made a part hereof, and the Standard Grant Award Conditions which are attached hereto as Attachment B and made a part hereof.

The Subgrantee hereby signifies its acceptance of this grant award and agrees to administer the grant project in accordance with the terms and conditions set forth in or incorporated by reference in this grant award and the applicable provisions of the Crime Control Act identified above.

The Subgrantee certifies that federal and state funds received will not be used to replace local funds that would, in the absence of such federal and state aid, be made available for the activity being supported under this agreement.

12. Ron E Whitehead Date 1/15/79
Official Authorized to sign for Subgrantee
Name: RON E. WHITEHEAD
Title: SHERIFF/CORONER
Telephone: (916) 666-8585
Address: 814 NORTH STREET
WOODLAND, CALIFORNIA 95695

OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Executive Director, OCJP Date

SPECIAL DEPOSIT FUND LEAA, Fiscal Year ____

GENERAL FUND

ITEM ____

I hereby certify upon my own personal knowledge that budgeted funds are available for the period and purpose of this expenditure stated above.

APPROVED AS TO FORM
CHARLES R. MACK
COUNTY COUNSEL
By ____
DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL

OCJP Fiscal Officer

OCJP Form 502 Revised 7-1-76 -2-

2-1-79
Gene Pohl of the Sheriffs Dept picked up copy of this Resolution & original Grant Award to deliver to the proper officials on 2-1-79.

REGION: D

PROJECT #: A-2697

13. CERTIFICATION

OF

FORMULATION AND AVAILABILITY

OF

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY PROGRAM

I, RON E. WHITEHEAD (Project Director or other authorized official) certify that the YOLO COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT (criminal justice agency) has formulated an equal employment opportunity program in accordance with 28 CFR 42.301, et seq., subpart E, and that it is on file in the office of PERSONNEL DIRECTOR (name), RICHARD USHIDA (address), COURTHOUSE, WOODLAND, CALIFORNIA (title), for review or audit by officials of the cognizant state planning agency or the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, as required by relevant laws and regulations.

Ron E. Whitehead
(Signed)

SHERIFF/CORONER
(Title)

15 JANUARY 1979
(Date)

14. NEGATIVE DECLARATION

GENTLEMEN:

In accordance with the procedures for the preparation of environmental impact statements, an environmental assessment has been performed on the proposed agency action below:

ARSON INVESTIGATION UNIT
(Title of Project)

The assessment process did not indicate a significant environmental impact from the proposed action, and the project will not involve any of the following:

a. New construction projects.

b. The renovation or modification of a facility which leads to an increased occupancy of more than 25 persons.

c. The implementation of programs involving the use of pesticides and other harmful chemicals.

d. The implementation of programs involving microwaves or radiation.

e. Research and technology, the anticipated or intended future application of which could be expected to have a potential effect on the environment.

Consequently, an environmental impact statement will not be prepared.

An environmental impact appraisal, which summarizes the assessment and the reasons why a statement is not required, is on file at the above office and will be available for public scrutiny upon request.

Sincerely,

R. E. Whitlock

(Signature of Project Director or other authorized official)

REGION: D

PROJECT #: A-2697

13. CERTIFICATION

OF

FORMULATION AND AVAILABILITY

OF

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY PROGRAM

I, RON E. WHITEHEAD (Project Director or other authorized official) certify that the YOLO COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT (criminal-justice agency) has formulated an equal employment opportunity program in accordance with 28 CFR 42.301, et seq., subpart E, and that it is on file in the office of PERSONNEL DIRECTOR (name), RICHARD USHIDA (address), COURTHOUSE, WOODLAND, CALIFORNIA (title), for review or audit by officials of the cognizant state planning agency or the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, as required by relevant laws and regulations.

Ron E. Whitehead
(Signed)

SHERIFF/CORONER
(Title)

15 JANUARY 1979
(Date)

14. NEGATIVE DECLARATION

GENTLEMEN:

In accordance with the procedures for the preparation of environmental impact statements, an environmental assessment has been performed on the proposed agency action below:

ARSON INVESTIGATION UNIT
(Title of Project)

The assessment process did not indicate a significant environmental impact from the proposed action, and the project will not involve any of the following:

a. New construction projects.

b. The renovation or modification of a facility which leads to an increased occupancy of more than 25 persons.

c. The implementation of programs involving the use of pesticides and other harmful chemicals.

d. The implementation of programs involving microwaves or radiation.

e. Research and technology, the anticipated or intended future application of which could be expected to have a potential effect on the environment.

Consequently, an environmental impact statement will not be prepared.

An environmental impact appraisal, which summarizes the assessment and the reasons why a statement is not required, is on file at the above office and will be available for public scrutiny upon request.

Sincerely,

Ron E. Whitehead
(Signature of Project Director or other authorized official)

OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING

15. PROJECT BUDGET

BUDGET CATEGORY AND LINE ITEM DETAIL	COST
A. Personal Services - Salaries	
ARSON COORDINATOR/INVESTIGATOR $1717.00 PER/MONTH FOR 12 MONTHS. THE PROJECT COORDINATOR/INVESTIGATOR WILL CONTINUE TO SERVE AS THE EX-OFFICIO DIRECTOR OF THE COUNTY ABI UNIT, WHICH WILL CONTINUE TO EXIST AS AN ORGANIZATION OF ALL FIRE AND POLICE ARSON INVESTIGATORS IN THE COUNTY	$20,604.00
TYPIST/CLERK $638.00 PER/MONTH FOR FOUR MONTHS AND $670.00 PER/MONTH FOR EIGHT MONTHS. THE TYPIST CLERK WILL WORK FULL TIME UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF THE COORDINATOR/INVESTIGATOR TO PROVIDE CLERICAL SUPPORT FOR THE ENTIRE PROJECT TEAM. A MINIMUM OF ONE YEAR TYPING AND APPLICANTS MUST MEET TYPING AND CLERICAL REQUIREMENTS ESTABLISHED BY THE COUNTY	$ 7,912.00
TOTAL	$28,516.00

OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING

PROJECT BUDGET

BUDGET CATEGORY AND LINE ITEM DETAIL		COST
B. Personal Services - Benefits		
COORDINATOR/INVESTIGATOR		
GROUP HEALTH INSURANCE FOR 12 MONTHS	$ 1,320.00	
SAFETY RETIREMENT FOR 12 MONTHS	$ 2,238.00	
SOCIAL SECURITY INSURANCE FOR 12 MONTHS	$ 1,097.00	
WORKMAN'S COMPENSATION FOR 12 MONTHS	$ 2,523.00	
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE FOR 12 MONTHS	$ 124.00	
TOTAL BENEFITS (COORDINATOR/INVESTIGATOR)	$7,302.00	$7,302.00
TYPIST/CLERK		
GROUP HEALTH INSURANCE FOR 12 MONTHS	$1,320.00	
RETIREMENT, NON-SAFETY, FOR 12 MONTHS	$ 958.00	
SOCIAL SECURITY INSURANCE FOR 12 MONTHS	$ 577.00	
WORKMAN'S COMPENSATION FOR 12 MONTHS	$ 38.00	
UNEMPLOYMENT INSURANCE FOR 12 MONTHS	$ 56.00	
TOTAL BENEFITS (TYPIST/CLERK)	$2,949.00	$2,949.00
TOTAL		$10,251.00

OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING

PROJECT BUDGET

BUDGET CATEGORY AND LINE ITEM DETAIL	COST
C. TRAVEL -	
SCHOOLS AND SEMINARS	
CALIFORNIA CONFERENCE OF ARSON INVESTIGATORS 1979 SITE UNDETERMINED. ONE INVESTIGATOR @ 200.00	200.00
INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE OF ARSON INVESTIGATORS, WILLIAMSPORT, VIRGINIA. TWO INVESTIGATORS TO ATTEND. SINCE ONE OF THE UNIT'S OBJECTIVES IS POLICE-FIRE COOPERATION IN OVERALL FIRE-ARSON INVESTIGATION, THIS WILL PROVIDE ONE POLICE/ARSON INVESTIGATOR AND ONE FIRE SERVICE/ARSON INVESTIGATOR TO PARTICIPATE AND DEVELOP ADDED EXPERTISE IN TECHNIQUES, NEW LAWS, LATEST EQUIPMENT, PHILOSOPHY AND TRAINING IN ARSON INVESTIGATION.	1,500.00
STATE FIRE TRAINING AT ASILOMAR, CALIFORNIA. TO SEND 5 ABI INVESTIGATORS FROM THE FIRE SERVICE MEMBERS OF THE UNIT TO THE STATE FIRE TRAINING SESSIONS SCHEDULED PERIODICALLY DURING THE YEAR. THIS TRAINING INCLUDES ARSON INVESTIGATION CLASSES 1 THRU 4 WHICH INCLUDES ADVANCED ARSON INVESTIGATION. WITH THE ADVENT OF PROPOSITION 13 AND THE CUT BACKS IN FIRE DISTRICT FUNDS, SCHOOLS OF THIS NATURE CANNOT BE PROVIDED BY THE DISTRICTS FOR THEIR PERSONNEL, AS IN THE CASE OF P.O.S.T. FUNDED SCHOOLS FOR THE LAW ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL. THESE MEMBERS WILL BE ABLE TO GAIN THIS VALUABLE KNOWLEDGE AND UTILIZE THEIR EXPERTISE IN NOT ONLY THE UNIT'S TRAINING PROGRAM, BUT WITHIN THEIR OWN DEPARTMENTS TRAINING AS WELL, ENHANCING THE ENTIRE FIRE-ARSON INVESTIGATION TRAINING THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY.	1,300.00
NATIONAL FIRE ACADEMY, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA. TO SEND ONE ABI FIRE SERVICE/ARSON INVESTIGATOR TO THE NATIONAL FIRE ACADEMY FIRE/ARSON INVESTIGATION TRAINING SESSION. THE KNOWLEDGE GAINED FROM THIS 80 HOUR COURSE WILL PROVIDE THE UNIT WITH AN ADDITIONAL TRAINED MEMBER TO UTILIZE IN THE UNIT'S GOALS IN PROVIDING TRAINING FOR POLICE-FIRE INVESTIGATORS IN THE COUNTY.	450.00
MISCELLANEOUS SPECIALIZED TRAINING AND SCHOOLS. NATURE OF THE TRAINING AND/OR SCHOOL, AGENDA, LOCATIONS, DATES, EXPENSE AND JUSTIFICATION, WILL BE FORWARDED FOR APPROVAL AS THE INFORMATION BECOMES AVAILABLE AND ATTENDANCE AT THE SPECIFIED TRAINING AND/OR SCHOOL IS CONSIDERED WITHIN THE SCOPE OF THE UNITS OBJECTIVES.	500.00
TOTAL	

OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING

PROJECT BUDGET

BUDGET CATEGORY AND LINE ITEM DETAIL	COST
C. TRAVEL	
TRAINING-ALL PERSONNEL IT IS PARAMOUNT THAT ALL MEMBERS OF THE ABI UNIT HAVE TRAINING IN ALL PHASES OF THE INVESTICATIVE ASPECT OF FIRE INVESTIGATION, INCLUDING FORENSIC INVESTIGATION, PENAL CODE APPLICATION, COURTROOM PREPARATION AND PROCEDURE. THIS ENABLES THE INVESTIGATOR TO CONDUCT A MORE EFFICIENT AND COMPLETE INVESTIGATION. TO GAIN THIS END, SPECIALISTS IN THOSE CATEGORIES WILL BE RECRUITED FOR THIS SPECIALIZED TRAINING TO SUPPLEMENT THE UNIT'S ON-GOING TRAINING PROGRAM. DETAILS ON TRAINING WILL BE FORWARDED TO REGION D WHEN SCHEDULED. ESTIMATED AT $100.00 PER/ MONTH FOR THE 12 MONTH PERIOD.	1,200.00
TOTAL	$5,150.00

PROJECT BUDGET

BUDGET CATEGORY AND LINE ITEM DETAIL	COST
D. Consultant Services	
TOTAL	

OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING

PROJECT BUDGET

BUDGET CATEGORY AND LINE ITEM DETAIL	COST
E. Construction	
TOTAL	

OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING

PROJECT BUDGET

BUDGET CATEGORY AND LINE ITEM DETAIL	COST
F. Operating Expenses	
MAINTENANCE OF EQUIPMENT IT HAS BECOME APPARENT THROUGH USE OF THE VAN AND EQUIPMENT, THAT SOME MODIFICATIONS SHOULD BE MADE TO MAKE THE UNIT EVEN MORE EFFICIENT IN THE QUEST FOR COMPLETE SCIENTIFIC FIRE-ARSON INVESTIGATION. ALTHOUGH IT IS DIFFICULT TO ESTIMATE THE AMOUNT OF ACTUAL USE OF THE VAN OR THE MILES DRIVEN, THE UNIT MUST BE MAINTAINED AT PEAK EFFICIENCY AT ALL TIMES TO CONTINUE ITS VALUE TO THE PROGRAM. OVERALL MAINTENANCE FOR THE VAN AND SUPPORT VEHICLE, WHICH IS UTILIZED BY THE COORDINATOR/INVESTIGATOR IS ESTIMATED AT $250.00 PER/MONTH FOR 12 MONTHS.	$ 3,000.00
OFFICE EXPENSES THE OFFICE SPACE AND OFFICE EQUIPMENT WILL BE FURNISHED FROM THE SHERIFF'S BUDGET, HOWEVER OTHER OFFICE EXPENSES, SUCH AS STAMPS, STATIONARY AND OTHER NON-MECHANICAL EQUIPMENT IS COMPUTED AT $85.00 PER/MOUTH FOR 12 MONTHS.	$ 1,020.00
LAW ENFORCEMENT SUPPLIES AND SERVICES THIS CATEGORY WILL ALLOW THE ABI UNIT TO PURCHASE NECESSARY FILM, CONSUMABLE EVIDENCE MATERIAL AND EVIDENCE COLLECTING MATERIALS AND EQUIPMENT NEEDED DURING THE YEAR AT $150.00 PER/MONTH FOR 12 MONTHS.	$ 1,800.00
CLOTHING, PERSONAL SUPPLIES THIS WILL ENABLE THE ABI UNIT TO PURCHASE ADDITIONAL COVERALLS FOR THE VOLUNTEERS OF THE UNIT AND FURNISH THE VAN WITH ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATE APPAREL ABOARD FOR THOSE VOLUNTEERS THAT HAVE TO RESPOND WITHOUT SUCH APPAREL. THE UNIT WILL ALSO BE ABLE TO PUT THE PROPER IDENTIFICATION, IN THE FORM OF BLOCK LETTERING, TO INSURE ON-SCENE IDENTIFICATION AND ALSO TO INSURE AGAINST LOSS OF SUCH APPAREL. COMPUTED AT $43.00 PER/MONTH FOR 12 MONTHS.	$ 516.00
SMALL TOOLS AND EQUIPMENT THIS WILL ALLOW THE ABI UNIT TO PURCHASE ADDITIONAL SMALL TOOLS AND EQUIPMENT WHICH HAVE FOUND TO BE IN NEED IN THE APPLICATION OF THE DUTIES OF THE UNIT. COMPUTED AT $45.00 PER/MONTH FOR 12 MONTHS.	$ 540.00
TOTAL	

OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING

PROJECT BUDGET

BUDGET CATEGORY AND LINE ITEM DETAIL	COST
F. Operating Expenses	
BOOKS AND PERIODICALS THE ABI UNIT GAINS MUCH INFORMATION FROM THE PERIODICALS AVAILABLE IN REFERENCE TO POLICIES TECHNIQUES AND EQUIPMENT FROM THROUGHOUT THE COUNTRY. ALSO THE UNIT HAS A NEED TO UPDATE FIRE CODES AND OTHER SPECIFIC REFERENCE MATERIAL. COMPUTED AT $25.00 PER/MONTH FOR 12 MONTHS.	$ 300.00
MISCELLANEOUS EXPENSE INFREQUENT OR MINOR EXPENDITURES NOT LIMITED TO ANY PARTICULAR CATEGORY AND NOT CLASSIFIED UNDER ANY OTHER LINE ITEM. $457.00 FOR THE 12 MONTH PERIOD.	$ 457.00
RENTS AND LEASES THIS CATEGORY AFFORDS THE ABI UNIT THE CAPABILITY OF UTILIZING EQUIPMENT AND OTHER ITEMS ON A RENTAL OR LEASE BASIS, THAT ARE NOT ORDINARILLY MAINTAINED BY THE UNIT. COMPUTED AT $200.00 FOR THE 12 MONTH PERIOD.	$ 200.00
MEMBERSHIPS THIS WILL ALLOW THE ABI UNIT TO MAINTAIN MEMBERSHIPS WITH ALLIED PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS, TO KEEP ABREAST OF UPDATED FIRE-ARSON MAILINGS AND RELATED INFORMATION. $75.00 FOR THE 12 MONTH PERIOD.	$ 75.00
SPECIAL DEPARTMENT EXPENSE THE IMPORTANCE OF THIS CATEGORY IS DEMONSTRATED BY THE PAST PERFORMANCE OF THE ABI UNIT IN EFFICIENCY OF OPERATION AND THE NET RESULTS. FILM SERVICE AND SUPPLIES ARE AN INTEGRAL PART OF THE INVESTIGATIVE PROCESS, AS WELL AS FINGERPRINT INVESTIGATIONS, ETC. THE UNIT WILL EXPAND THEIR KNOWLEDGE OF THE PROCESSING OF LATENT FINGERPRINTS THROUGH TRAINING AND THE APPROPRIATE SUPPLIES AND MATERIALS WILL BE PURCHASED AND MADE AVAILABLE TO THE INVESTIGATIVE TEAMS. ALSO AN INTEGRAL PART OF THE INVESTIGATIVE PROCESS IS THE PRESERVATION OF THE CRIME SCENE AND THIS CATEGORY WILL ENABLE THE INVESTIGATORS TO HAVE THE MEANS AND MATERIALS TO PROPERLY CARRY OUT THIS IMPORTANT PHASE OF THE INVESTIGATION. COMPUTED AT $50.00 PER/MONTH FOR THE 12 MONTH PERIOD.	$ 600.00
TOTAL	$8,508.00

OFFICE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE PLANNING

PROJECT BUDGET

BUDGET CATEGORY AND LINE ITEM DETAIL	COST
G. Equipment	
GAS CHROMATOGRAPH THIS ITEM IS NECESSARY FOR ON-SCENE FIRE INVESTIGATIONS, TO BE ABLE NOT ONLY TO DETECT HYDROCARBONS FROM SAMPLE EVIDENCE MATERIALS, BUT TO BE ABLE TO IDENTIFY SPECIFIC ACCELERANT PRODUCTS FOR SUBMITTANCE FOR PROFESSIONAL CHEMICAL ANALYSIS	$1,700.00
PAGER-TRANCEIVERS AND CHARGING UNITS (2) WOULD ENABLE ON-CALL TEAM COORDINATOR AND DRIVER-OPERATORS, NOT ONLY CAPABILITY TO BE PAGED, BUT TO BE ABLE TO COMMUNICATE BACK TO THE DISPATCH AGENCY WITH ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF CONTACT AND TO BE ABLE TO RELAY INSTRUCTIONS REGARDING MOBILIZATION OF THE UNIT. TWO (2) PAGER-TRANCEIVERS AND CHARGER UNITS @ $1,250.00	$2,500.00
D.C. CHARGER UNITS FOR EXISTING HANDIE-TALKIES (2) NECESSARY TO BE ABLE TO MAINTAIN TWO (2) EXISTING HANDIE-TALKIES AT FULL CHARGE, REGARDLESS OF SOURCE OF POWER. PROBLEM NOW EXISTS OF NOT BEING ABLE TO SUPPLY A.C. POWER TO CHARGING UNITS. TWO (2) D.C. CHARGER UNITS @ $155.00. TWO 12 VOLT INPUT CABLES @ $12.50	$ 335.00
REMOTE CONTROL BEAM SPOTLIGHTS (2) TWO REMOTE CONTROL BEAM SPOTLIGHTS, QUARTZ 12 VOLT WITH CONTROL MODULES. THESE SPOTLIGHTS WILL BE UTILIZED AT THE FRONT AND REAR OF THE VAN AND POSITIONED AT THE ROOFLINE. THEY WILL PROVIDE ADDITIONAL LIGHTING MOBILITY AND CAPABILITY FOUND TO BE NEEDED AS THE RESULT OF PAST EXPERIENCE. THE TOPOGRAPHY OF FIRE SCENES MANY TIMES DOES NOT ALLOW THE MOST EFFICIENT PARKING ANGLE FOR THE VAN AND LIMITS MOBILITY. TWO REMOTE SPOTLIGHTS AND REMOTE MODULES AND 25 FEET OF CABLE @ $220.00	$ 440.00
CATEGORY TOTAL	$4,975.00
16. PROJECT TOTAL	$57,400.00

17. FUND DISTRIBUTION	FEDERAL	STATE	LOCAL
a. Amount of Funds	(a)51,660.00	(b) 2,870	(c) 2,870
b. Percentage of Funds	90%	5%	5%

PROBLEM STATEMENT

Arson is a national problem and one of the most difficult crimes to detect and solve. Both the National Fire Protection Association and the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration have characterized the crime of arson as being one of the most serious in terms of dollar loss and one of the most difficult to solve because of the jurisdictional overlap between fire and police agencies. During the past year, Congress has conducted hearings on the problem of arson and have since passed legislation making arson a part one crime. The California State Fire Marshall recently announced that the proven incidence of arson has risen over 87% in the last decade.

Arson in Yolo County, despite its rural nature is no less a problem. The crime of arson from calendar year 1976 to 1977 increased 6%, to a total of 19% of the total fires in the county. The approximate loss due to fires known to be arson caused is in access of $339,000 dollars, an increase of 68% for the same period. The loss from arson in any of the 231 fires classified as having suspicious origins or from those fires not even having been recognized as suspicious is unknown.

Successful detection and solution of arson incidents requires that firefighters on the scene have the expertise to recognize fires of a suspicious nature and to adequately protect evidence from inadvertant destruction or contamination, that the equipment required to effectively process the arson crime scene be readily available and that highly trained investigators be immediately on hand to take charge of the crime scene and to initiate the investigation.

Most jurisdictions within the County responsible for investigation, lack the resources to provide qualified investigators with the needed degree of expertise. Because ABI has been in existence and possesses much of the specialized equipment necessary, some of the expertise can be provided by the Unit, but additional training for the frontline firefighters and police is essential, especially since new decisions on collection of evidence the rights of criminals have been established Additionally with the advent of Proposition 13, many fire districts have had to cut services drastically and the ABI must fill the void in order that the arson problem can be curbed. Each and every fire of suspicious origin must be investigated by qualified investigators if this end is to be gained.

A recent task force on the crime of arson, conducted jointly by the State Fire Marshall, Department of Justice and allied agencies, developed a list of goals and objectives for arson and investigation in California. Their list is virtually the same as the objectives of the Yolo County Arson Investigation Unit that were adopted over 3 years ago:

1) To implement fire and police cross training.
2) To provide for the thorough and expert field investigation of arson.
3) To enhance local agency cooperation.
4) To provide for adequate record.

Added to these should be the education of the community to the serious escalation of arson to combat the apathy generated by lack of knowledge. Despite extensive effort by members of Yolo County ABI Unit, these objectives have not been successfully met. It is the intent of this project to provide the manpower, education and training necessary to fullfill the ABI objectives.

ORGANIZATIONAL QUALIFICATIONS

The arson investigation unit is a multi-jurisdictional investigative team consisting of members from all non volunteer agencies. Therefore, investigating teams must cross jurisdictional lines with authority in some cases, beyond the invitation of the requesting agency. The Sheriff as the Chief Law Enforcement Officer of the county has no jurisdictional lines when an emergency exists and may give sworn power to the unit to investigate and operate anywhere within the county. The Fire and Police Chiefs were polled on a one to one basis regarding the question, and all agreed the Sheriff should be the sponsor of this unit to better serve the entire county.

The Yolo County Arson Investigation Unit employs two persons full time: the Coordinator/Investigator and a clerk. The Coordinator/Investigator is a Sheriff's Sergeant with several years background in law enforcement. He is a trained investigator with experience in administration of law enforcement related programs. He was selected by the Sheriff and approved by the Advisory Committee consisting of two fire chiefs, two police chiefs and the Sheriff of the County. The Clerk was selected by competitive examination and is certified by the County of Yolo to be qualified to conduct the business within the office of the Arson Investigation Unit.

PROJECT OBJECTIVES

1. To increase arson recognition and investigation training by 10%, using the total man-hours spent in such training during the first grant year as a baseline figure.

2. To insure that 100% of the fires identified as having suspicious origin are fully investigated by a trained and competent investigator.

3. To record significant data, including, M.O., location, suspect(s), victim, witnesses and recorded location on 100% of the fires in Yolo County for which no definite non-criminal cause was established.

4. To place emphasis on a coordinated effort between the fire-arson investigators, police and prosecutors and to maintain the arrest and conviction rate established during the first grant year.

5. To educate the community to the seriousness of the crime of arson and emphasize community involvement in the solution of the problem by means of a community arson awareness and participation program.

Methodology

The project staff, consisting of the Coordinator/Investigator and one Clerk/Typist will be under the adminstrative control and be employees of the Yolo County Sheriff's Department. The staff, as well as the vehicle, will be housed in the facilities of the Sheriff's Department. Radio and telephone communications, including dispatching, will be provided by the Sheriff's communications.

The project Coordinator/Investigator will continue to be the ex-officio director of the county ABI Unit, which will continue to exist as an organization of all fire and police arson investigators in the County. Additional responsibilities of the Coordinator/Investigator, as they relate to project objectives, will be as follows:

1) To be responsible for the preparation and submittance of necessary reports of the project, record keeping, inventory control, procurement and other business duties as needed by the unit.

2) To respond as time permits with fire suppression personnel to structure and major wildland fires in the county to insure that fire personnel are adequately trained in the recognition of arson.

3) To sophisticate training of the unit to include forensic and evidence training to insure expert on-scene fire-arson investigation. This continued emphasis on up-dated training is essential because of recent Court decisions regarding the evidence gathering procedure and the fact that police technicians are not always readily available to assist in such investigations. Priorities for training objectives will be assessed by the Coordinator/Investigator ABI Unit members and experts in specific Law Enforcement and Fire-Arson skills. Training resources of allied agencies will also be utilized.

4) To develop, distribute and collect appropriate reporting forms for fires of suspicious or unknown origin and to assemble, analysze and cross reference the data derived therefrom.

5) To place special emphasis on the relationship between the Fire-Arson investigators and the law enforcement services, including prosecutors. With the recent awakenings to the increase of arson throughout the country and the fact that arson is now a part one crime, it is important that the intregation of these agencies in their education and understanding of arson be stressed, to increase successful prosecution of the arson suspect.

6) To .Coordinate the call-out procedure of the ABI Unit and maintain the team concept of the responding members. Past experience has shown that this is the most effective and efficient use of the vehicle and personnel. The ABI Unit will be available on a 24hr, 7 day a week basis and will utilize an on-call Team Coordinator and Driver-Operator, whose responsibility it will be to mobilize a response team. There will be on call a back-up investigators to insure response of the Unit. The ABI Unit will be available, upon request, of any of the fire or police agencies to investigate fires of suspicious origin. In addition, the Coordinator/Investigator will respond, as time permits, with fire suppression personnel to structural and wildland fires to insure that fire personnel are adequately trained in the recognition of arson.

7) To make the entire community more aware of the increasing problem of the crime of arson and the role of the ABI Unit. This can be accomplished through the media, unit presentations to civic groups and increased visibility of the unit. This will encourage citizen participation in reporting of the crime and responsibles and it is felt that a deterrant effect will be the result.

Methodology

The project investigator will report directly to the Sheriff's Commander of Field Operations. Policy for the operation of the unit and its relationship with other county agencies will be developed by the Sheriff in conjunction with an advisory committee consisting of two chiefs of police and two fire chiefs, selected by the chief executives of all agencies participating in the project.

This project will make full use of the existing expertise and operational procedures of the county A.B.I. Unit. The project has received the positive endorsement from the department head of every operating law enforcement and fire agency in the county.

WORK SCHEDULE

	JANUARY, 1979	FEBRUARY, 1979	MARCH, 1979	APRIL, 1979	MAY, 1979	JUNE, 1979	JULY, 1979	AUGUST, 1979	SEPTEMBER, 1979	OCTOBER, 1979	NOVEMBER, 1979	DECEMBER, 1979
PROVIDE TRAINING TO F.D.s & P.D.s												
INCREASE I.D. OF FIRES												
INVESTIGATE 100% OF SUSPICIOUS FIRES AND ARSON												
RECORD SIGNIFICANT DATA												
INCREASE ARRESTS AND CONVICTIONS												

MANAGEMENT RECORDS

The project staff will maintain the following records:

1) Investigations conducted, including fire and law enforcement jurisdiction involved, time spent and results.

2) Training records specifying agency trained, number of trainees, time spent, examination scores and instructor evaluation.

3) Arson crime and clearance records including case disposition.

4) Use of vehicle and equipment for investigations other than arson.

5) Complete financial accounting recor

6) Workload data for project staff cross referenced by project objective.

7) Records of the Unit's specific Programs of Community awareness and participation.

INTERNAL ASSESSMENT DESIGN

Project evaluation will be done by comparing the indices described below with historical data and historically based projections of activity in Yolo County and with concurrent data from neighboring counties and national indices.

1) Data on fire incidence and cause derived from the uniform state fire reporting system and reports from individual fire departments.

2) Indices of proved arson, suspect identification, crime clearance and arrest, and case disposition.

3) Data derived from examination and survey of individual member of the county fire and police agencies.

Data collection will be the responsibility of the project staff, with analysis by research and planning staff from the Sheriff's Department. Collection will be via systems designed by project staff and the advisory committee.

The project staff will maintain the following records:

1) Investigations conducted, including fire and law enforcement jurisdiction involved, time spent and results.

2) Training records specifying agency trained, number of trainees, time spent, examination scores and instructor evaluation.

3) Arson crime and clearance records including case disposition.

4) Use of vehicle and equipment for investigations other than arson.

5) Complete financial accounting records.

5) Workload date for project staff cross referenced by project objective.

PLAN FOR ASSUMPTION OF COSTS

By resolution, the Yolo County Board of Supervisors has approved the total funding of the Yolo County Arson Investigation Unit after the third year if the unit has been deemed a success by the County Police and Fire Chiefs. The unit is closely supervised by the local chiefs and monitored by the unit advisory board consisting of the Sheriff, two police chiefs and two fire chiefs. This board reports to the other chiefs in the county and the County Board of Supervisors.

RK

FILED
JAN 30 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By _____ Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-15

(Resolution Authorizing Execution of Agreement for Diagnostic and Treatment Services and Temporary Detention in Reception Centers and Clinics of the Department of the Youth Authority)

(CR Contract No. 150)

WHEREAS, Section 1752.1 of the Welfare and Institutions Code provides that a contract must be entered into between the County and the State of California acting by and through the Director of the Youth Authority to make available diagnostic and treatment services and reports thereon and temporary detention during the period of study for certain cases in connection with the operation of Juvenile Courts; and

WHEREAS, it appears in the judgment of this Board in the interest of the County of Yolo to do so,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AND ORDERED as follows:

1. That the County amend Agreement No. 78-248, Youth Authority CR Contract No. 150 for Diagnostic and Treatment Services and Temporary Detention in Reception Centers and Clinics of the Department of the Youth Authority, dated July 1, 1978 by and between the County of Yolo and the State of California through the Director of the Youth Authority, to increase the maximum payable under the contract to $15,000.00.

2. That the Chairman of the Board is hereby authorized to execute the agreement.

PASSED AND ADOPTED BY the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, the 30th day of January, 1979 by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betty J. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE
BY _____ Deputy
(SEAL)

APPROVED AS TO FORM
CHARLES R. MACK
COUNTY COUNSEL
By Elizabeth A. Stoltz
DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL

FILED

JAN 30 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-16

(Resolution Amending Membership Provisions of the Yolo County Park and Recreation Advisory Committee)

WHEREAS, by Resolution No. 67-14, the Yolo County Board of Supervisors created a Park and Recreation Advisory Committee for the County; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors has adopted policies to standardize terms of membership on all County advisory committees; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors wishes to bring the terms of the Park and Recreation Advisory Committee into conformance with the Board's policies.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Yolo County Board of Supervisors as follows:

1. Section IV of Resolution No. 67-14 is hereby amended to read:

SECTION IV. Term of Office.

a. The initial terms of the members of the Committee shall be as follows:

1. Five (5) members shall be appointed for a term of two (2) years.

2. Five (5) members shall be appointed for a term of four (4) years.

b. Thereafter, all terms shall be for a period of four (4) years. No member shall serve for more than two consecutive terms; however, an initial two (2) year term shall not be counted

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

as one of the two full terms.

c. Membership shall be effective upon appointment by the Board. However, all terms shall be deemed to have commenced on February 1 following initial appointment, and thereafter all terms shall commence on February 1 and end on January 31.

d. The term of office of a member who has three (3) consecutive unexcused absences from meetings of the Committee may be terminated by the Board after notification of the member. The vacancy thereby created shall be filled by appointment in the same manner as the member being replaced. A person so appointed may then serve a maximum of two (2) additional terms following completion of the unexpired term.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 30th day of January, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Bobby A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, CLERK
BY Pete E. Lucas
Deputy

(SEAL)

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO. (916) 666-8211

FILED

JAN 30 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By [signature] Deputy

(17)

RESOLUTION NO. 79-17

(Resolution Amending Resolution No. 77-59 and Reorganizing the Welfare Advisory Committee)

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors adopted Resolution No. 77-59, reorganizing the Welfare Advisory Committee; and

WHEREAS, the Board adopted Minute Order No. 78-101, to create uniformity among all Advisory Committees in the County; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors wishes to make certain changes relating to membership and terms of office, to comply with Minute Order No. 78-101;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, as follows:

1. Paragraph 1 of Section 2 of Resolution Number 77-59 is hereby amended to read as follows:

SECTION 2.

1. Creation: There is hereby created in the County of Yolo a committee to be known as the Social Services Advisory Committee, hereinafter referred to as the Committee.

2. Paragraph 2 of Section 2 of Resolution Number 77-59 is hereby amended to read as follows:

SECTION 2.

2. Membership: The Committee shall consist of ten (10) rotating members, plus three (3) permanent members, selected as follows:

(a) Each member of the Board of Supervisors shall appoint two (2) rotating members to serve on the Committee.

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

(b) Permanent Members. The agency given permanent membership shall be the appointing authority for their representative, and will be responsible for advising the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors in writing of the name of their representative, and of any changes in their representative. The three (3) agencies having permanent membership are as follows:

(1) One (1) from Yolo County Mental Health Services;

(2) One (1) from the Yolo County Health Council;

(3) One (1) from the Yolo County Delinquency Prevention Commission.

3. Paragraph 3 of Section 2 of Resolution Number 77-59 is hereby amended to read as follows:

SECTION 2.

3. Membership: Terms: Upon passage of this Resolution, the rotating members shall be appointed as follows:

(a) Five (5) members shall be appointed for a four (4) year term.

(b) Five (5) members shall be appointed for a three (3) year term.

(c) Thereafter, as vacancies occur subsequent appointments shall be made for four (4) year terms.

(d) No rotating member shall serve more than two consecutive four-year terms. Initial three year terms shall not be counted as one of the two consecutive terms.

(e) Membership shall be effective immediately upon

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

appointment by the Board; however, all terms will begin February 1 following initial appointment. Thereafter, all terms will be aligned to begin on February 1 and end on January 31.

4. Paragraph 4(a) of Section 2 of Resolution Number 77-59 is hereby amended to read as follows:

SECTION 2.

4. Membership: Organization:

(a) Meetings: The Committee shall meet at least four times per year, but the members shall call such special meetings as they deem necessary. A quorum shall consist of a simple majority of the members. The members shall elect a Chairperson, Vice-Chairperson, and Secretary at the first meeting and annually thereafter, for a term of office of twelve (12) months.

5. Paragraph 7 of Section 2 is hereby added to Resolution No. 77-29 to read as follows:

SECTION 2.

7. Membership: Termination: The term of office of a rotating member who has three consecutive, unexcused absences from official meetings of the Committee may be terminated by the Board of Supervisors after notification of the member. The vacancy created shall be filled for the remainder of the unexpired term, by the appointment of another member appointed in the same manner as the member being replaced. A person so appointed may then serve a maximum of two (2) additional consecutive terms following completion of the unexpired term.

/ / / / /

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 30th day of January, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Bobby A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

BY Peter E. Lucas
Deputy

(SEAL)

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

FILED

FEB - 1 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-18

(Resolution Delaying Layoffs Heretofore Ordered)

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors heretofore on July 18, 1978, adopted Resolution No. 78-10[illegible] which, among other things, ordered the elimination effective October 31, 1978, of four (4) positions of Sergeant in the Sheriff's Department; and

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors heretofore by Resolution No. 78-113 adopted on July 31, 1978, ordered the lay off of the following employees from positions in the classification of Sergeant and appointed those employees to positions in the classification of Deputy Sheriff, all effective on October 31, 1978:

R. Beal
P. Pahl
P. Matthews
M. Carr

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors heretofore by Resolution No. 78-169 delayed the effective date of these ordered actions until January 31, 1979; and

WHEREAS, this Board desires further to delay the effective date of these ordered actions;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo that the effective date of the portions of Resolutions No. 78-109 and 78-113 referred to above is hereby delayed from January 31, 1979 to February 28, 1979; and said provisions are hereby ordered effective date of February 28, 1979.

/ / / / /

/ / / / /

/ / / / /

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 30th day of January, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betty A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2-1-79

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, CLERK
BY Velma E. Conley
DEPUTY
(SEAL)

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

BK

FILED

FEB - 6 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79- 19

(Certifies Right of Way for County Road 95 Bridges)

(113 (2) and 113 (4))

WHEREAS, the lands necessary for the hereinabove entitled project have been acquired; and

WHEREAS, a Right-of-Way Certificate is required by the Department of Transportation, State of California, before awarding a contract for the hereinabove entitled project; and

WHEREAS, the County of Yolo agrees to hold the State of California harmless for any liability which may result in the event the right of way is not clear as certified. If the State is named in a damage suit, as a result of the right of way not being clear as certified, the County of Yolo agrees that, at the request of the State, it will assume full responsibility for the conduct of the defense or provide such assistance as the State may require in connection with the defense.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo is hereby authorized to execute the Right-of-Way Certificate attached hereto and made a part hereof.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors, County of Yolo, State of California, on this 6th day of February, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: DeMars, Thompson, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Black, Edmonds.

Betsy A. Marchand

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

By _____, Deputy

(Seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

_____, Deputy
County Counsel

RIGHT OF WAY CERTIFICATE

COUNTY OF YOLO

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Date	113 (2) and 113 (4) Identification Number
	S.O.S. Program
	County Road 95 Bridges Street, Road or Project Name
	County Road 95 at Union School Slough Bridge 22.92 and County Road 95 at South Fork Willow Slough Bridge 20.38 Job Limits

Right-of-way acquisition was not required for the construction of this project since all work is being done within the existing Right-of-Way.

1. STATUS OF RIGHT OF WAY ACQUISITION

   All Construction is within existing Right of Way.

   All Right of Way was acquired prior to July 1, 1972.

2. STATUS OF AFFECTED RAILROAD FACILITIES

   None

3. DESIGNATED MATERIAL SITES

   None

4. DESIGNATED DISPOSAL AREAS

   None

5. STATUS OF UTILITY RELOCATIONS

One joint utility pole, at the South Fork Willow Slough site, will be relocated by others prior to construction.

6. SCHEDULE FOR REMOVAL OF OBSTRUCTIONS

None

7. UNAUTHORIZED ENCROACHMENTS

None

8. COMPLIANCE WITH FEDERAL AND STATE REQUIREMENTS REGARDING THE ACQUISITION OF REAL PROPERTY

The acquisition of right of way was not required.

9. COMPLIANCE WITH RELOCATION ADVISORY ASSISTANCE AND PAYMENTS PROVISIONS OF FEDERAL AND STATE LAW

The project did not require the displacement of any persons or business.

I HEREBY CERTIFY the right of way on this project as conforming to Statement No. (1) of Paragraph 5c of FHPM-642.1.

COUNTY OF YOLO

Bibby A. Marshall

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

Date 2-6-79

Approved as to Form

Dated .....

County Counsel of Yolo County

FILED

FEB - 6 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-20

(Resolution of Acceptance for Parcel Map No. 2770 for H. S. Shergill)

WHEREAS, the final tracing for Parcel Map No. 2770 has been prepared and presented to the Board of Supervisors for approval; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission previously considered and approved a Negative Declaration of Environmental Impact in conjunction with application for a use permit on this proposed project and said Planning Commission has determined that no subsequent environmental assessment need be prepared, pursuant to Title 14, Section 15067 of the California Administrative Code, and this Board has considered and approved said Negative Declaration; and

WHEREAS, the tentative map for Parcel Map No. 2770 has been approved by said Planning Commission; and

WHEREAS, said map contemplates creation of Two parcels in the Agricultural General A-1 Zone, of Yolo County; and

WHEREAS, the final tracing of said Parcel Map has been signed by all necessary parties, pursuant to the provisions of the Government Code of the State of California; and

WHEREAS, said map in all respects complies with the provisions of the State Subdivision Map Act and of the Local Ordinances,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows: (1) Each of the foregoing recitals is true and correct. (2) After consideration of the Negative Declaration of Environmental Impact for the proposed project, this Board finds that the project as proposed and approved will not have a significant effect on the environment and

the Negative Declaration on file is hereby approved by this Board. (3) This Board hereby finds that the proposed subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvements, is consistent with general plan adopted for the County of Yolo. (4) This Board hereby accepts on behalf of the Public the easements and road right of ways as provided for and dedicated on said map; and (5) This Board hereby approves said Parcel Map No. 2770 as submitted, and instructs the Clerk to prepare said map for transmittal to the County Recorder for filing.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Clerk of this Board hereby is authorized and ordered to file a Notice of Determination upon this project, with a copy of the Negative Declaration attached, said notice to include the decision of this Board to approve the proposed project, the finding of this Board that the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment, and a statement that no environmental impact report has been prepared, all pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 6th day of January, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: DeMars, Thompson, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Black, Edmonds.

Betty A. Marchand
CHAIRPERSON OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
PETER McNamee, Clerk

By _____, Deputy

APPROVED AS TO FORM

_____ Deputy
County Counsel

FILED
MAR - 5 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Barbara Rodgers
Deputy

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION

NO. 79-4

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21152 and Yolo County Code Section 10-1.910 that the County of Yolo acting by its decision-making officer or body has made the following determination whether to approve or disapprove a project as follows:

1. The decision-making officer or body is The Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California.

2. The project is described as follows: Parcel Map No. 2770 creates two 17.82 acre Parcels from an existing 35.64 acre Parcel, located on the west side of the South River Road, Southwest of Gregory Avenue, in the Southport area of Yolo County. The applicant had previously requested and was granted a use permit to create the two Parcels for homesites. This Parcel map fulfills the legal requirements for the land division. The tentative map for this project was approved by the Yolo County Planning Commission on April 5, 1978, and all conditions of approval have been complied with. The Board of Supervisors accepted Parcel Map No. 2770 on February 6, 1979, by Resolution No. 79-20, for H.S. Shergill, upon the following conditions: After consideration of the Negative Declaration of Environmental Impact for the proposed project, this Board finds that the project as proposed and approved will not have a significant effect on the environment and the Negative Declaration on file is hereby approved by this Board. Board hereby finds that the proposed subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvements, is consistent with general plan adopted for the County of Yolo. This Board hereby accepts on behalf of the Public the easements and road right of ways as provided for and dedicated on said map and hereby approves said Parcel Map No. 2770 as submitted.

3. No environmental impact report has been prepared, all pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act.

Dated: February 27, 1979.

Yolo County Board of Supervisors
Decision-making Officer or body

BY Peter McNamee
PETER McNAMEE

Its Clerk

3-5-79



County of Yolo

PLANNING DEPARTMENT
292 WEST BEAMER
WOODLAND, CALIFORNIA 95695
TELEPHONE 666-8556
AREA CODE 916

October 17, 1977

Negative Declaration
Zone File #2821
Assessor's Parcel No. 46-220-02
H. S. Shergill, Applicant-Owner
HEARING DATE: November 16, 1977

The applicant requests a use permit to allow the division of an existing 35.64 acre parcel into two 17.82 acre parcels for the purposes of creating two buildable homesites in the Agricultural General (A-1) Zone. Subject site is located on the west side of the South River Road (AP No. 46-220-02). The applicant requests the split so two families can build residences and jointly farm the parcels as truck farms.

Although this parcel might normally have significance, from the information provided by the applicant, the staff determined a Negative Declaration was appropriate for the following reasons:

1. The environment will remain essentially agricultural in nature.

2. The applicant says the two proposed parcels will be truck farmed.

3. There are parcels of similar size in the vicinity which are being truck farmed.

FILED

FEB - 1979

PETER J. [illegible] MEE, Clerk
By [illegible] Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-21

(Southern Pacific Easement-Agreement on County Road 99W at Cache Creek)

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Board of Supervisors has approved Work Order No. 4306 to construct certain road and bridge improvements on County Road 99W at Cache Creek:

WHEREAS, on December 20, 1978, the Yolo County Planning Commission determined that this project requiring a slope easement on Southern Pacific property was categorically exempt, and that the project was consistent with the General Plan under Section 65402 of the Government Code, and:

WHEREAS, in exchange for a highway slopes easement, the Southern Pacific Transportation Company requires the execution of an Easement-Agreement, and payment of $250.00 for administrative costs and $480.00 to elevate utility lines:

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED, that the Chairperson of the Board of Supervisors be authorized to execute on behalf of Yolo County the said Easement-Agreement with the Southern Pacific Transportation Company.

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

PASSED, APPROVED, AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California on the 9th day of February, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Edmonds.

Betsy A. Marchand
Chairman of the Board of Supervisors
County of Yolo, State of California
2-9-79

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, CLERK
BY _____, Deputy
(Seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM
_____, Deputy
County Counsel

(11)

FILED
FEB 13 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Barbara Rodriguez Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-22

BEFORE THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF YOLO

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

RESOLUTION FIXING TIME AND PLACE OF HEARING
OF OBJECTIONS TO OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE ASSESSMENT ROLL
PREPARED IN 1979 FOR RECLAMATION DISTRICT NO. 900

JOHN LUTHER, GEORGE MURPHY and HERBERT NIEDERBERGER Assessment Commissioners, heretofore appointed by this Board of Supervisors to prepare an Operation and Maintenance Assessment Roll for Reclamation District No. 900 in accordance with the provisions of Section 51324 of the Water Code of the State of California, having this 6th day of February, 1979 filed herein an Operation and Maintenance Assessment Roll prepared in accordance with said Section 51324;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Tuesday, the 27th day of February, 1979, at the hour of 10:00 o'clock A.M., in the Chambers of this Board of Supervisors in the County Courthouse, in the City of Woodland, County of Yolo, State of California, be, and the same are hereby fixed as the time and place when and where the Board of Supervisors will meet for the purpose of hearing written objections to the Operation and Maintenance Assessment Roll.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors give due and legal notice of the hearing by publication of the notice once a week for two successive weeks before the day of the hearing in the Daily Democrat a newspaper of general circulation printed and published in the County of Yolo, State of California.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 6th day of February, 1979 by the following vote of the Board of Supervisors, to wit:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Edmonds.

ATTEST: PETER McNAMEE
County Clerk and Ex-Officio
Clerk of the Board

By Peter E. Lucas
Deputy Clerk

By A. Marchand
Chairman of the Board of Supervisors
of the County of Yolo, State of
California

Approved as to Form

Dated .....

County Counsel of Yolo County

NOTICE OF TIME AND PLACE OF HEARING
OF WRITTEN OBJECTIONS TO
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE ASSESSMENT ROLL
PREPARED IN 1979 FOR
RECLAMATION DISTRICT NO. 900

NOTICE IS HEREBY given that the Board of Supervisors of Yolo County, State of California, have fixed Tuesday, the 27th day of February, 1979, at the hour of 10:00 o'clock A.M., in the Chambers ~~XXXXXX~~ of the Board of Supervisors in the County Courthouse, in the City of Woodland, State of California, as the time and place for the hearing by the Board of written objections to an Operation and Maintenance Assessment Roll for Reclamation District No. 900 prepared in 1979 by JOHN LUTHER, GEORGE MURPHY and HERBERT NIEDERBERGER, Assessment Commissioners heretofore appointed by the Board of Supervisors to prepare such Operation and Maintenance Assessment Roll.

The Operation and Maintenance Assessment Roll was filed by said Assessment Commissioners with the Board of Supervisors on the 6th day of February, 1979, and was prepared under the provisions of Section 51324 of the Water Code of the State of California.

All objections must be in writing and must be filed with the Board of Supervisors before the date of the hearing, and must otherwise comply with the provisions of the Water Code of the State of California. At the hearing, the Board of Supervisors will hear such evidence as may be offered in support of written objections and may modify or amend the assessment valuations.

Dated: February 6, 1979.

PETER McNAMEE
County Clerk and Ex-Officio
Clerk of the Board

By Peter E. Lucas
Deputy Clerk

BK.

FILED (8)

FEB 13 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-23

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Sexual Assault Center established themselves as a community agency to serve the needs of rape victims in September, 1976; and,

WHEREAS, the Center provides 24-hour crisis counseling to victims of rape, accompaniment services, community education programs, self-defense classes, and professional training; and,

WHEREAS, the Center has developed and maintained a good working relationship with law enforcement agencies, hospitals, and other county agencies; and,

WHEREAS, the Center has demonstrated a high level of competence in these areas and has provided a valued service to this community; and,

WHEREAS, the reporting of rape in this County has grown over the past three years, particularly after the establishment of the Center, the County recognizes the need to provide continued services in this area; and,

WHEREAS, the Center is making special efforts to address the special needs of a rural county; and,

WHEREAS, the Center is making efforts to reach out to the minority population with special community education programs.

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo to respectfully request that the California State Department of Social Services give favorable consideration to the grant proposal which the Center is submitting to obtain funding for their rape victim counseling center.

///

///

///

///

///

///

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 13th day of February, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Black

Betsy A. Marchand
CHAIRPERSON OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER MC NAMEE, CLERK

BY _____ DEPUTY

(SEAL)

Approved as to Form

Dated .....

County Counsel, Yolo County

BR

FILED
FEB 13 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By [illegible] Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-24

(Grade Crossing Protection-Riske Lane @ S.N.R.R. Crossing #8-92.2)
(Rescinds Resolution No. 78-181)

WHEREAS, the State of California, Department of Transportation has presented a program supplement No. 6, to Local Agency - State Agreement #03-5922 (Yolo County Agreement 77-201) covering Federal Aid to Yolo County:

AND WHEREAS, Federal Aid Highway funds are available on a 90%/10% matching ratio for improvement and protection of railroad grade crossings:

AND WHEREAS, Section 151.3 of the State Budget Act of 1978 has made available certain State funds to meet the required matching ratio as required by the Federal Highway Administration:

AND WHEREAS, the County of Yolo desires to use said Section 151.3 funds as its share (10%) for construction of the subject crossing protection:

NOW, THEREFORE, be it resolved that Resolution 78-181 be hereby rescinded, and that the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors be authorized to execute the revised "Program Supplement No. 6" on behalf of the County of Yolo:

/// ///
/// ///
/// ///
/// ///
/// ///
/// ///
/// ///
/// ///

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, the 13th day of February, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Black.

Betsy A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST: PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

By Peter E. Lucas, DEPUTY
(Seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

BY _____
County Counsel

FILED

FEB 21 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-25

(Resolution of Acceptance for Parcel Map No. 2867 for George Dinsdale)

WHEREAS, the final tracing for Parcel Map 2867 has been prepared and presented to the Board of Supervisors for approval; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission considered and approved a Negative Declaration of Environmental Impact for the proposed project, and this Board has considered and approved said Negative Declaration; and

WHEREAS, the tentative map for Parcel Map 2867 has been approved by said Planning Commission; and

WHEREAS, said map contemplates creation of two parcels in the Agricultural General (A-1) Zone, of Yolo County; and

WHEREAS, the final tracing of said Parcel Map has been signed by all necessary parties, pursuant to the provisions of the Government Code of the State of California; and

WHEREAS, said map in all respects complies with the provisions of the State Subdivision Map Act and of the Local Ordinances.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows: (1) Each of the foregoing recitals is true and correct. (2) After consideration of the Negative Declaration of Environmental Impact for the proposed project, this Board finds that the project as proposed and approved will not have a significant effect on the environment, and the Negative Declaration on file is hereby approved by this Board. (3) This Board hereby finds that the proposed subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvements, is

consistent with general plan adopted for the County of Yolo. (4) This Board hereby approves said Parcel Map 2867 as submitted, and instructs the Clerk to prepare subject map for transmittal to the County Recorder for filing.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Clerk of this Board hereby is authorized and ordered to file a Notice of Determination upon this project, with a copy of the Negative Declaration attached, said notice to include the decisions of this Board to approve the proposed project, the finding of this Board that the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment, and a statement that no environmental impact report has been prepared, all pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 20th day of February, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Thompson.

Betsy A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2-21-79

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

BY _____, Deputy
(Seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

_____ Deputy
County Counsel

FILED

MAR - 5 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By Barbara A Rodgers Deputy

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION

NO. 79-5

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21152 and Yolo County Code Section 10-1.910 that the County of Yolo acting by its decision-making officer or body has made the following determination whether to approve or disapprove a project as follows:

1. The decision-making officer or body is the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California.

2. The project is described as follows: Parcel Map 2867 divides an existing 40 acre parcel into two twenty acre parcels with one residence on each. The project is located in Agricultural General Zone (A-1) west of the City of Woodland, along the north side of County Road 20 and at the intersection of County Road 97.

3. The Board of Supervisors overruled the decision of the Planning Commission on December 12, 1978 and granted the request to allow a Negative Declaration to accompany the applicant's request to divide the parcel. The Planning Commission subsequently approved the tentative map for this division on January 3, 1979. All conditions of approval have been satisfied.

4. The Board of Supervisors accepted Parcel Map No. 2867 for George Dinsdale on February 20, 1979, by Resolution No. 79-25, upon the following findings: That the project as proposed and approved will not have a significant effect on the environment and the Negative Declaration on file is hereby approved by this Board. That the proposed subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvements, is consistent with general plan adopted for the County of Yolo and that the Board hereby approves said Parcel Map 2867 as submitted.

5. No Environmental Impact Report has been prepared, all pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act.

DATED: March 1, 1979.

Yolo County Board of Supervisors
Decision-Making Officer or Body

BY Peter McNamee
PETER McNAMEE,

Its Clerk

3-5-79

COUNTY OF YOLO

PLANNING DEPARTMENT
292 WEST BEAMER
WOODLAND, CALIFORNIA 95695
TELEPHONE 666-8556
AREA CODE 916

December 19, 1978

Negative Declaration
George Dinsdale, Applicant-owner
Assessor's Parcel No. 25-340-15
Tentative Parcel Map No. 2867
HEARING DATE: January 3, 1979

On December 12th the Board of Supervisors overruled staff's determination that an Environmental Impact Report would be required to accompany the Dinsdale request to divide an existing 40-acre parcel into two 20 acre parcels containing one existing residence on each parcel.

Please indicate on the enclosed response sheet whether the negative declaration is believed an adequate level of environmental review, and whether your agency has any additional comments regarding the matter.

In addition, please review the attached map and indicate on the supplied response sheet it's adequacy relative to your agency's requirements and regulations.

Your cooperation is greatly appreciated.

FILED

FEB 21 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By [illegible] Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-26

(Resolution of Acceptance for Parcel Map 2868 for West Sacramento Port Center)

WHEREAS, the final tracing for Parcel Map 2868 has been prepared and presented to the Board of Supervisors for approval; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission considered and approved a Negative Declaration of Environmental Impact for the proposed project, and this Board has considered and approved said Negative Declaration; and

WHEREAS, the tentative map for Parcel Map 2868 has been approved by said Planning Commission; and

WHEREAS, said map contemplates creation of three parcels in the Planned Development (PD-5), of Yolo County; and

WHEREAS, the final tracing of said Parcel Map has been signed by all necessary parties, pursuant to the provisions of the Government Code of the State of California; and

WHEREAS, said map in all respects complies with the provisions of the State Subdivision Map Act and of the Local Ordinances.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows: (1) Each of the foregoing recitals is true and correct. (2) After consideration of the Negative Declaration Impact for the proposed project, this Board finds that the project as proposed and approved will not have a significant effect on the environment, and the Negative Declaration on file is hereby approved by this Board. (3) This Board hereby finds that the proposed subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvements, is consistent with general plan adopted for the County of Yolo. (4) This Board hereby approves

said Parcel Map No. 2868 as submitted, and instructs the Clerk to prepare subject map for transmittal to the County Recorder for filing.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Clerk of this Board hereby is authorized and ordered to file a Notice of Determination upon this project, with a copy of the Negative Declaration attached, said notice to include the decision of this Board to approve the proposed project, the finding of this Board that the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment, and a statement that no environmental impact report has been prepared, all pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 20th day of February, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Thompson.

Betsy A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2-21-79

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

BY Pete Chucas, Deputy
(Seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

, Deputy
COUNTY COUNSEL

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION

NO. 79-6

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21152 and Yolo County Code Section 10-1.910 that the County of Yolo acting by its decision-making officer or body has made the following determination whether to approve or disapprove a project as follows:

1. The decision-making officer or body is The Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California.

2. The project is described as follows: Parcel Map No. 2868 divides an existing 4.99 acre parcel into three parcels containing .902 acres, 1.853 acres and 2.234 acres. The Project is located on the west side of Halyard Drive, just north of Beacon Drive, within the West Sacramento Port Center. Zoning is Planned Development (PD-5). The tentative map for this project was approved by the Yolo County Planning Commission on December 20, 1978. All conditions of approval have been complied with.

3. The Board of Supervisors accepted Parcel Map No. 2868 for West Sacramento Port Center on February 20, 1979, by Resolution No. 79-26, upon the following findings: That the project as proposed and approved will not have a significant effect on the environment, and the Negative Declaration on file is hereby approved by this Board. That the proposed subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvements, is consistent with general plan adopted for the County of Yolo. Board hereby approves said Parcel Map No. 2868 as submitted.

4. No Environmental Impact Report has been prepared, all pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act.

DATED: March 1, 1979.

Yolo County Board of Supervisors
Decision-making officer or body

BY Peter McNamee
PETER McNAMEE,

Its Clerk

3-5-79

FILED

MAR - 5 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By Barbara Rodgers
Deputy

NEGATIVE DECLARATION
OF ENVIRONMENTAL SIGNIFICANCE

79-3

The Yolo County Planning Commission has reviewed all available information on the environmental effect of the project described as

request for tentative parcel map to divide a 4.9± acre parcel into three parcels of .98 acres, 1.77 acres, and 2.24 acres, located on the west side of Halyard Drive, north of Beacon, within the West Sacramento Port Center in the Planned Development (PD-5) Zone.
Assessor's Parcel No. 9-645-10,11 and 12.
Tentative Parcel Map #2868.

The Planning Commission has determined that:

1. The project described is one that would ordinarily be expected to have a significant effect on the environment, and

2. The project will have no significant adverse effect on the environment due to circumstances peculiar to the specific project.

An Environmental Impact Report will not be required.

Said determination was made at a Public Hearing held on December 20, 1978 in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act and Title 10 of the Yolo County Code. Appeals from this determination may be made within fifteen (15) days of said action by filing a letter of appeal with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors as prescribed for appeals from decisions of the Board of Zoning Adjustment.

Robert A. Peterson

Robert A. Peterson
Director of Planning
Secretary, Yolo County Planning Commission

FILED
JAN - 2 1979
LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk
By Barbara Rodgers
Deputy



COUNTY OF YOLO

PLANNING DEPARTMENT
292 WEST BEAMER
WOODLAND, CALIFORNIA 95695
TELEPHONE 666-8556
AREA CODE 916

November 13, 1978

Negative Declaration
West Sacramento Port Center, Applicant-owner
Assessor's Parcel Nos. 9-645-10, 11 and 12
Tentative Parcel Map No. 2868
HEARING DATE: December 20, 1978

Staff has determined that a Negative Declaration is appropriate for the environmental assessment of a project involving the creation of 3 parcels within the West Sacramento Port Center. One of the parcels is to be the location of a motel.

Preliminary review of the proposal by responsible agencies has assisted staff's determination that a Negative Declaration is appropriate.

Please take the time to review this proposal, and on the enclosed response sheet indicate any pertinent comment regarding the proposal.

FILED
FEB 21 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By [illegible] Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-27

(Resolution of Acceptance for Parcel Map No. 2831 for Del Pac Properties, Inc.)

WHEREAS, the final tracing for Parcel Map No. 2831 has been prepared and presented to the Board of Supervisors for approval and acceptance; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission previously considered and approved an Environmental Impact Report for the Port area of West Sacramento, which discussed the environmental impacts of this Project and other Subdivisions within the Project area; and said Planning Commission has determined that no subsequent E.I.R. need be prepared, pursuant to Title 14, Section 15067 of the California Administrative Code; said Environmental Impact Report demonstrates that the proposed project will have a significant effect on the environment and has been presented to and considered by this Board; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission approved the tentative map for Parcel Map No. 2831 on August 16, 1978; and

WHEREAS, said map creates 2 parcels from an existing 40.14 acre parcel in the Planned Development (PD-7) Zone of Yolo County; and

WHEREAS, the final map of Parcel Map No. 2831 has been signed by all necessary Parties, pursuant to the provisions of the Government Code of the State of California; and

WHEREAS, said map complies with the Provisions of the State Subdivision Map Act and of the local ordinances.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Yolo County as follows: (1) Each of the foregoing recitals

is true and correct; (2) This Board, after consideration of the Environmental Impact Report previously filed upon this proposed project and the project area, hereby adopts and approves the final Environmental Impact Report, and finds that said final EIR has been completed in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act and State Guidelines, and finds that the project will have a significant effect upon the environment. However, the Environmental Impact Report on said Project identifies several mitigation measures which are hereby found by this Board to be appropriate and feasible, and ordered to be incorporated into this Project; (3) This Board hereby finds that the proposed subdivisions, together with the provisions for its design and improvement, is consistent with general plan adopted for the East Yolo area of the County of Yolo; (4) This Board hereby approves Parcel Map No. 2831 as submitted and instructs the Clerk to prepare said map for transmittal to the County Recorder for filing.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Clerk of this Board hereby is authorized and directed to file a Notice of Determination upon the proposed project; said notice shall include the decision of this Board to approve the project; and the finding of this Board that the proposed project will have a significant effect upon the environment. Said notice shall also include the statement that mitigation measures identified in said Environmental Impact Report are incorporated in said Project as stated herein above. Said notice also shall include the statement that the Environmental Impact Report considered and approved by this Board is the West Sacramento Port Center EIR previously adopted by the Planning Commission, which discussed this Project and others in the East

Yolo Area, and no subsequent environmental impact report is required, pursuant to Title 14, Section 15067 of the California Administrative Code and said EIR has been prepared pursuant to and is consistent with the California Environmental Quality Act and State Guidelines adopted pursuant thereto.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 20th day of February 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Thompson.

Betty A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

2-21-79

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

BY _____, Deputy
(Seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

_____, Deputy
COUNTY COUNSEL

FILED

MAR - 5 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By Barbara Rodgers
Deputy

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION

NO. 79-7

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21152 and Yolo County Code Section 10-1.910 that the County of Yolo acting by its decision-making officer or body has made the following determination whether to approve or disapprove a project as follows:

1. The decision-making officer or body is The Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California.

2. The project is described as follows: Parcel Map No. 2831 divides an existing 40.5 acre parcel into two parcels of 15.5 acres and 25.0 acres, located west of Jefferson Blvd., between Linden Road and Higgins Road, in the Southport area of East Yolo. Zoning for this Project is presently Planned Development (PD-7). The Yolo County Planning Commission approved the tentative map for this Project on August 16, 1978. All conditions of approval have been satisfied.

3. The Board of Supervisors accepted Parcel Map No. 2831 for Del Pac Properties, Inc., on February 20, 1979, by Resolution No. 79-27, upon the following findings: This Board after consideration of the Environmental Impact Report previously filed upon this proposed project and the project area, hereby adopts and approves the final Environmental Impact Report, and finds that said final EIR has been completed in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act and State Guidelines, and finds that the project will have a significant effect upon the environment. However, the Environmental Impact Report on said Project identifies several mitigation measures which are hereby found by this Board to be appropriate and feasible, and ordered to be incorporated into this Project; Board finds that the proposed subdivisions, together with the provisions for its design and improvement, is consistent with general plan adopted for the East Yolo area of the County of Yolo; Approves Parcel Map No. 2831 as submitted. The Environmental Impact Report considered and approved by this Board is the West Sacramento Port Center EIR previously adopted by the Planning Commission, which discussed this Project and others in the East Yolo Area, and no subsequent environmental impact report is required, pursuant to Title 14, Section 15067 of the California Administrative Code and said EIR has been prepared pursuant to and is consistent with the California Environmental Quality Act and State Guidelines adopted pursuant thereto.

Dated: March 1, 1979.

Yolo County Board of Supervisors
Decision-making officer or body

BY Peter McNamee
PETER McNAMEE,

Its Clerk

3-5-79

FILED

FEB 21 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By [signature] Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-28

WHEREAS, The City of Davis will host a conference entitled, "Socially Responsible Investments: South Africa, Pension Funds and Jobs for California", on Monday, February 26, 1979, in the Memorial Union II, on the University of California campus in Davis; and

WHEREAS, this conference is an outgrowth of Measure "A" which was passed by the voters of Davis, and calls for an end to Davis city investments in banks and corporations which are doing business in the Republic of South Africa, and which further calls on the Public Employee Retirement System, the State Teachers' Retirement System, the University of California, and the State Treasurer to follow the lead of Davis in divesting their funds; and

WHEREAS, this educational conference is being organized by the City of Davis, to focus attention on retirement pension funds held by public employees and unions, and the investment portfolios of city and state governments and other groups. Speakers and workshops will address the specifics of innovative investments which are not only secure and profitable, but are socially responsible and which could create new jobs in California.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Yolo County Board of Supervisors:

1. Recognizes and encourages the activities of the City of Davis regarding divestment of California public and private funds from South Africa; and

2. Endorses the February 26 conference in Davis; and

3. Encourages Yolo County residents to attend this important conference in order to explore the possibilities of alternative local investments for Yolo's public monies.

/ / / / / / / /

/ / / / / / / /

/ / / / / / / /

/ / / / / / / /

/ / / / / / / /

/ / / / / / / /

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 20th day of February, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Marchand.

NOES: Edmonds.

ABSENT: Thompson.

Betty A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2-21-79

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

BY Pete E. Lucas
Deputy

(SEAL)

APPROVED AS TO FORM
CHARLES R. [illegible]
COUNTY COUNSEL

By [signature]
DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL

County of Yolo
Board of Supervisors

FILED
MAR - 1 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Barbara Rodgers
Deputy

Resolution No. 79-29

WHEREAS, Alex Craighton, Chief Building Inspector for the County of Yolo, is retiring after more than seventeen years of dedicated service; and

WHEREAS, Alex worked his way up the County ladder of success, first as a Building Inspector, then as Chief Deputy Building Inspector for twelve years, and finally as Chief Building Inspector for the last four years; and

WHEREAS, prior to his entry into County service, Alex served as an original member of the Yolo County Building Code Board of Appeals at the time the County first adopted the Uniform Building Code; and

WHEREAS, Alex has taken an active role in the community serving as President of the East Yolo Community Council and Chairman of the Board of Directors of the West Sacramento Sanitary District; and

WHEREAS, Alex devoted much of his time and effort to the betterment of the East Yolo Community; and

WHEREAS, Alex was able to effectively insure that all buildings in Yolo County met necessary structural and safety standards while enforcing building regulations in a fair and common sense way.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors does hereby extend its congratulations and best wishes for the future to Alex Craighton for his dedicated and valuable service to the citizens of Yolo County.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 20th day of February, 1979 by the following vote:

AYES: Marchand, Edmonds, Thompson, Black and DeMars
NOES: None
ABSTAINING: None
ABSENT: None

Betsy Marchand
BETSY A. MARCHAND, CHAIRPERSON OF THE
YOLO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

FILED
FEB 20 1979
PETER J. HAMEE, Clerk
By [illegible] Deputy

BK

(5)

RESOLUTION NO. 79-30

(Resolution requesting Sacramento Regional Area Planning Commission's approval of 1979/80 Annual Transportation Claim of Local Transportation Fund)

WHEREAS, Section 99260 of the Public Utilities Code required the local agency to file an estimated claim of transportation financial needs for the fiscal year 1979/80; and

WHEREAS, said Transportation Development Act requires the establishment of a LOCAL TRANSPORTATION FUND and designation of a TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY; and

WHEREAS, the Sacramento Regional Area Planning Commission is designated as the TRANSPORTATION PLANNING AGENCY for the County of Yolo to receive and consider such claims for approval pursuant to rules and regulations adopted by the Secretary of the Business and Transportation Agency; and

WHEREAS, it is the intention of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo to maintain the present level of bus transit services as a minimum level of service in the Yolo County area for the fiscal year 1979/80; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo has determined at a public meeting this date that there are no areas within the jurisdiction of Yolo County with unmet public transportation needs which can reasonably be met through expansion of existing transportation systems or by establishing new systems.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the attached "Annual Project and Financial Plan" be hereby approved and that the Director of Public Works be authorized to execute the attached claim and instructed to forward said claim to the designated Transportation Planning Agency.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 27th day of February, 1979, by the Board of Supervisors, County of Yolo, State of California, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betsy A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, clerk

BY Pat E. Lucas, DEPUTY

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

DEPUTY
COUNTY COUNSEL

ANNUAL TRANSPORTATION CLAIM

TPA-1
SRAPC

TO: Sacramento Regional Area Planning Commission
P. O. Box 808
Sacramento, CA 95804

FROM: Applicant: Yolo County

Address: 292 West Beamer Street

City: Woodland Zip: 95695

Contact Person: Lloyd H. Roberts Phone: 666 8428

The County of Yolo hereby requests, in accordance with Chapter 1400, Statutes 1971 as amended and applicable rules and regulations that its annual transportation claim be approved in the amount of $555,846 for fiscal year 79/80, to be drawn from the local transportation fund of the County of Yolo.

When approved, please transmit this claim to the County Auditor of the County of Yolo, for payment. Approval of the claim and payment by the County Auditor to this applicant is subject to such monies being on hand and available for distribution, and to the provisions that such monies will be used only in accordance with the terms of the approved annual financial plan.

APPROVED:

Sacramento Regional Area
Planning Commission

By _____

Title _____

Date _____ 19 ____

Department of Public Works

County of Yolo
APPLICANT

By Lloyd H. Roberts
Lloyd H. Roberts,

Title Director of Public Works

Date 2/27/ 19 79

ANNUAL PROJECT AND FINANCIAL PLAN
(Use additional forms as necessary)

Indicate proposed expenditures by your jurisdiction for the ensuing fiscal year for all purposes related to public transportation, community transit services, right-of-way acquisition and construction of local streets and roads, including facilities provided for the exclusive use by pedestrians and bicycles, payments to the National Railroad Passenger Corporation, or payments under contract for transportation services for any group requiring special transportation assistance. Identify the purpose of each expenditure and briefly describe the proposed activity. Also give each expenditure a number in sequence, and identify the Article and Section of the Act authorizing use of funds for this purpose.

Purpose and Brief Description	Is this a major new facility? Yes or No	Total Cost	Sources of Funding	
			TDA/325 Funds	Other Funds
# 1 Contract with Sacramento Regional Transit District (Art. 4). (Total cost includes estimated $5,000 increase to inaugerate Sunday hourly schedule). Article 4, Section 99260a	NO	334,000	157,000	3,000 (Gen.Fund) 88,000 (UMTA) 86,000 (Fares)
2 Yolo County Mini-Trans (5th year operation per 325 requires 25% other funds) Article 4, Section 99260a	NO	42,000	31,500	9,400 (Gen. Fund) 1,100 (Fares) 10,500
# 3 Street and Road Purposes Article 8, Section 99400a	NO	2,964,326	364,326	2,600,000 (Road Fund)
# Article ____, Section ____				

Purpose and Brief Description	Is this a major new facility? Yes or No	Total cost	Sources of Funding	
			TDA/325 Funds	Other Funds
# _____ Article _____, Section _____				
# _____ Article _____, Section _____				
# _____ Article _____, Section _____				

A. Total of TDA/325 Funds	$ 555,846
B. Total of Other Funds	$ 2,787,500
C. Applicant's Proposed Expenditures (A+B)	$ 3,343,346
D. 2% Planning Funds for SRAPC	$ 11,344
Annual Transportation Claim shall not exceed finding of apportionment made by SRAPC (A+D)	$ 567,190

Note: Article 8 claims may not exceed 50% of total proposed expenditures except for major new facilities if not inconsistent with regional plans, and cities under 5,000 population.

Briefly describe the status of most recent fiscal year annual transportation claim approved including: a) project progress to date; and b) income and expenditures to date. In addition, provide a projection of a and b above to July 1.

a) Project Progress: 54%

b) Income: 399,805 Expended: 269,780 (1/31/79)

c) Projection: 100% Completion

CERTIFIED:

By Lloyd Roberts

Title Director of Public Works

Date 2/27/79 1979

NEGATIVE DECLARATION OF TRANSIT NEED [1/]

In accordance with Chapter 1400, Statutes 1971 as amended and applicable rules and regulations the County of Yolo (APPLICANT) hereby declares, after public meeting, that it specifically finds no need for transit services within its area of jurisdiction for fiscal year 79/80, which can reasonably be met through expansion of existing transportation systems, by establishing new systems, or contracting for services from common carriers and others pursuant to Article 8.

Date of Public Meeting 2/27/79

Findings of Public Meeting:

There are no areas within the jurisdiction of Yolo County with unmet public transportation needs which can reasonably be met through expansion of existing transportation systems or by establishing new systems.

CERTIFIED:

By Lloyd H Roberts
Lloyd H. Roberts,
Title Director of Public Works
Date 2/27/ 1979

1/ Any applicant intending to file TPA-3 please contact the Transportation Staff of SRAPC prior to submittal of claim for further instruction.

TPA-4
SRAPC

STATEMENT OF CONFORMANCE

The County of Yolo (APPLICANT) hereby certifies that the Annual Transportation Claim for fiscal year 79/80 in the amount of $ 555,846 conforms with the requirements of the Transportation Development Act as amended by the statutes of 1976 and applicable rules and regulations.

CERTIFIED:

By Lloyd H. Roberts

Title Lloyd H. Roberts, Director of Public Works

Date 2/27 19 79

FILED

FEB 27 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-31

(Resolution Rescinding Certain Layoffs Heretofore Ordered)

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors heretofore on July 18, 1978, adopted Resolution No. 78-109 which, among other things, ordered the elimination effective October 31, 1978, of four (4) positions of Sergeant in the Sheriff's Department; and

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors heretofore by Resolution No. 78-113 adopted on July 31, 1978, ordered the layoff of the following employees from positions in the classification of Sergeant and appointed those employees to positions in the classification of Deputy Sheriff, all effective on October 31, 1978:

R. Beal
P. Pahl
P. Matthews
M. Carr

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors heretofore by Resolution No. 78-169 delayed the effective date of these ordered actions until January 31, 1979; and

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors heretofore by Resolution No. 79-18 delayed the effective date of these ordered actions until February 28, 1979; and

WHEREAS, this Board desires to rescind those ordered actions.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo that it acknowledges that it has this day restored the four (4) positions of Sergeant ordered eliminated heretofore as a part of the reorganization of the Sheriff's Office, and this Board of Supervisors hereby rescinds the ordered layoff from the positions in the classification of Sergeant and appointments to the positions of Deputy Sheriff referred to above.

/ / / / /

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, this 27th day of February, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betty A. Marchand
YOLO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, CLERK
BY _____ DEPUTY

(SEAL)

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

County of Yolo
Board of Supervisors

FILED
MAR - 9 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By _____ Deputy

Resolution No. 79-32

WHEREAS, Captain George M. Fiddler, also known within the department as 'Crazy George', is retiring at the ripe age of 56, having served 30 years in law enforcement; and

WHEREAS, Captain Fiddler was raised in Woodland, attended Woodland Grammar School and is a 1941 graduate of Woodland High School; and

WHEREAS, Captain Fiddler enlisted into the Navy upon high school graduation and served four years active sea duty during World War II; and

WHEREAS, Captain Fiddler, having wooed and won the heart of Hazel Faye Phipps, they were married on February 18, 1946; and

WHEREAS, Captain Fiddler's career in law enforcement began in 1949 when he was appointed a Deputy Sheriff in Yolo County; Chief of Police in Payette, Idaho in 1955; Chief of Police in Atwater, California in 1960; served with the Sacramento Port Police in 1963; and, as Captain with the Yolo County Sheriff's Department from 1973 to 1979; and

WHEREAS, Captain Fiddler has served as the head of the Sheriff Department's Field Services, Administrative Services, and Special Services Divisions; and

WHEREAS, while serving on patrol duty, George, also known as 'Captain Midnight', was careful not to snag his 'cape'; he may now hang it up without fear of getting it caught in the door of his patrol car.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Supervisors congratulates Captain George M. Fiddler on his retirement and expresses its appreciation for his years of dedicated service.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 27th day of February, 1979 by the following vote:

AYES: Marchand, Edmonds, Thompson, Black and DeMars

NOES: None

ABSTAINING: None

ABSENT: None

Betsy A Marchand

BETSY A. MARCHAND, CHAIRPERSON OF THE
YOLO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

FILED

MAR - 6 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-33

(Resolution Petitioning the United States Congress to Authorize the Continued Funding Appropriation to Complete Tehama/Colusa Canal Project)

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors again petitions the United States Congress to continue to authorize the appropriation of sufficient funds to continue construction of the long overdue Tehama/Colusa Canal in the Central Valley, State of California; and

WHEREAS, this project was authorized by the Congress in 1950 with an anticipated completion date of 1971 and as of this date of a 115-mile canal project, 96 miles has been completed with a remaining 19 miles uncompleted; and

WHEREAS, when this main irrigation canal plus low yield lands into the capable food and fiber production with water, the return to the United States shall be realized in the sale of water and taxes, in fact, at a reasonable point in time a profit will be realized to our U. S. Treasury; and

WHEREAS, the canal completion shall greatly assist our nation by a rich yield of food and fiber, with a spin off of increased employment, improved economy, and agricultural products of great value in our trade relations with foreign nations.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED this Board of Supervisors, being representative of all the people of the County of Yolo, now orders and decrees all of the foregoing recitals and orders the County Clerk to forward copies of this resolve to the Honorable Alan Crantson, the Honorable S. I. Hayakawa, and the Honorable Vic Fazio.

/ / / / /

/ / / / /

/ / / / /

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, this 6th day of March, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betty O. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

BY Peter E. Lucas
DEPUTY

(SEAL)

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

FILED
2 MAR - 6 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-34

WHEREAS, the investigation into the deaths of California Highway Patrol officers Roy Blecher and Mike Freeman is of great importance and concern to the people and to the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo; and,

WHEREAS, that investigation has required an extensive search of the Yolo County Sanitary Landfill involving many hours of thankless labor by many concerned people and a substantial investment of time and money; and,

WHEREAS, the People of the County of Yolo and the Sheriff and District Attorney of Yolo County have received the generous assistance and support of the California Highway Patrol and many of its employees and of many other people both in and outside of law enforcement in connection with the search of the Yolo County dump; and,

WHEREAS, the District Attorney of Yolo County has requested that this Board express the appreciation of his office, the Sheriff, this Board and the people of the County of Yolo for those efforts.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, on behalf of all of the citizens of Yolo County, commends and expresses its gratitude to Glendon H. Craig, Commissioner of the California Highway Patrol; to the many members of the California Highway Patrol staff in and out of the County of Yolo and to the many other citizens and law enforcement officers who devoted their time and energy in assisting Yolo County authorities in the search of the county landfill.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 6th day of March, 1979, by the

following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betty A. Marchand

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

By Pete E Lucas Deputy

(SEAL)

Approved as to Form
Dated 3/27/79

County Counsel of Yolo County

FILED
MAR - 5 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By [signature] Deputy

(1)

RESOLUTION NO. 79-35

(Resolution of Acceptance for Parcel Map No. 2846 for Cal West Seeds)

WHEREAS, the final tracing for Parcel Map No. 2846 has been prepared and presented to the Board of Supervisors for approval; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission considered and approved a Negative Declaration of Environmental Impact for the proposed project, and this Board has considered and approved said Negative Declaration; and

WHEREAS, the tentative map for Parcel Map No. 2846 has been approved by said Planning Commission; and

WHEREAS, said map contemplates creation of two parcels in the Heavy Industrial (M-2) Zone, of Yolo County; and

WHEREAS, the final tracing of said parcel map has been signed by all necessary parties, pursuant to the provisions of the Government Code of the State of California; and

WHEREAS, said map in all respects complies with the provisions of the State Subdivision Map Act and of the Local Ordinances.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows: (1) Each of the foregoing recitals is true and correct. (2) After consideration of the Negative Declaration of Environmental Impact for the proposed project, this Board finds that the project as proposed and approved will not have a significant effect on the environment, and the Negative Declaration on file is hereby approved by this Board. (3) This Board hereby finds that the proposed subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvements, is

consistent with general plan adopted for the County of Yolo. (4) This Board hereby approves Parcel Map No. 2846 as submitted, and instructs the Clerk to prepare subject map for transmittal to the County Recorder for filing.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Clerk of this Board hereby is authorized and ordered to file a Notice of Determination upon this project, with a copy of the Negative Declaration attached, said notice to include the decision of this Board to approve the proposed project, the finding of this Board that the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment, and a statement that no environmental impact report has been prepared, all pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 6th day of March 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT:

Betty A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, CLERK
By Peter E. Lucas, DEPUTY
(Seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
DEPUTY
COUNTY COUNSEL

FILED

MAR 23 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By Deborah Rodgers Deputy

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION

NO. 79-9

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21152 and Yolo County Code Section 10-1.910 that the County of Yolo acting by its decision-making officer or body has made the following determination whether to approve or disapprove a project as follows:

1. The decision-making officer or body is The Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California.

2. The project is described as follows: Parcel Map No. 2846 creates two parcels of 9.89 acres and 127.69 acres respectively from a single parcel, located on the northwest corner of State Highway 16 and County Road No. 102. The project was proposed by Cal West Seeds in order to use the 9.89 acre parcel for the construction of a bulk storage building which is to be incorporated into their existing operation. The Yolo County Planning Commission approved the tentative map for this Project on December 6, 1978 and all conditions of approval have been complied with.

3. The Board of Supervisors accepted Parcel Map No. 2846 for Cal West Seeds by Resolution No. 79-35, March 6, 1979, upon the following findings: After consideration of the Negative Declaration of Environmental Impact for the proposed project, the Board finds that the project as proposed and approved will not have a significant effect on the environment, and the Negative Declaration on file is hereby approved by this Board. The proposed subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvements, is consistent with general plan adopted for the County of Yolo. Board approves Parcel Map No. 2846 as submitted.

4. No environmental impact report has been prepared, all pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act.

Dated: March 22, 1979.

Yolo County Board of Supervisors
Decision-making Officer or body

BY Peter McNamee
PETER McNAMEE,
its Clerk

NEGATIVE DECLARATION
OF ENVIRONMENTAL SIGNIFICANCE
No. 78-114

The Yolo County Planning Commission has reviewed all available information on the environmental effect of the project described as

request for tentative parcel map to divide an existing 137.58 acre parcel into 9.89 acres and 127.69 acres located on the northwest corner of State Highway 16 and County Road 102, east of Woodland, in the Heavy Industrial (M-2) Zone.

Assessor's Parcel No. 27-370-15

Tentative Parcel Map #2846

The Planning Commission has determined that:

1. The project described is one that would ordinarily be expected to have a significant effect on the environment, and

2. The project will have no significant adverse effect on the environment due to circumstances peculiar to the specific project.

An Environmental Impact Report will not be required.

Said determination was made at a Public Hearing held on November 15, 1978 in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act and Title 10 of the Yolo County Code. Appeals from this determination may be made within fifteen (15) days of said action by filing a letter of appeal with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors as prescribed for appeals from decisions of the Board of Zoning Adjustment.

Robert A. Peterson

Robert A. Peterson
Director of Planning
Secretary, Yolo County Planning Commission

FILED

NOV 29 1978

LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk
By Barbara A. Rodgers
DEPUTY

COUNTY OF YOLO

PLANNING DEPARTMENT
292 WEST BEAMER
WOODLAND, CALIFORNIA 95695
TELEPHONE 666-8556
AREA CODE 916

September 27, 1978

Negative Declaration
Cal West Seeds - Applicant/owner
Assessor's Parcel No. 27-370-15
Tentative Parcel Map #2846
HEARING DATE: November 15, 1978

This proposal to create a 9.89 acre parcel from an existing 137.58 acre parcel in the Heavy Industrial (M-2) Zone as depicted on the attached map has been determined to be adequately addressed through a negative declaration based upon the following:

1. Responses received from responsible agencies.

2. The existing zoning of the subject site is Heavy Industrial.

FILED
MAR 2 1979
PETER McNAMEN, Clerk
By [illegible] Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-36

(Resolution of Acceptance for Parcel Map No. 2855 for Dan Horgan)

WHEREAS, the final tracing for Parcel Map 2855 has been prepared and presented to the Board of Supervisors for approval; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission considered and approved a Negative Declaration of Environmental Impact for the proposed project, and this Board has considered and approved said Negative Declaration; and

WHEREAS, the tentative map for Parcel Map 2855 has been approved by said Planning Commission; and

WHEREAS, said map contemplates creation of two parcels in the Agricultural General (A-1) Zone of Yolo County; and

WHEREAS, the final tracing of said Parcel Map has been signed by all necessary parties, pursuant to the provisions of the Government Code of the State of California; and

WHEREAS, said map in all respects complies with the provisions of the State Subdivision Map Act and of the Local Ordinances.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows: (1) Each of the foregoing recitals is true and correct. (2) After consideration of the Negative Declaration of Environmental Impact for the proposed project, this Board finds that the project as proposed and approved will not have a significant effect on the environment, and the Negative Declaration on file is hereby approved by this Board. (3) This Board hereby finds that the proposed sub-

division, together with the provisions for its design and improvements, is consistent with general plan adopted for the County of Yolo. (4) This Board hereby approves said Parcel Map 2855 as submitted, and instructs the Clerk to prepare subject map for transmittal to the County Recorder for filing.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Clerk of this Board hereby is authorized and ordered to file a Notice of Determination upon this project, with a copy of the Negative Declaration attached, said notice to include the decision of this Board to approve the proposed project, the finding of this Board that the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment, and a statement that no environmental impact report has been prepared, all pursuant to the California Environmental Quanlity Act.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 6th day of March, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betty A. Marchand
CHAIRPERSON OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

BY Jan E. Lucas, DEPUTY
(Seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

, DEPUTY
COUNTY COUNSEL

FILED

MAR 23 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By Barbara Rodgers
Deputy

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION

NO. 79-10

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21152 and Yolo County Code Section 10-1.910 that the County of Yolo acting by its decision-making officer or body has made the following determination whether to approve or disapprove a project as follows:

1. The decision-making officer or body is The Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California.

2. The project is described as follows: Parcel Map No. 2855 separates a 7.1 acre parcel from an existing 550.6 acre parcel in the Agricultural General (A-1) Zone of Yolo County. The project is located on the southeasterly side of County Road No. 14, approximately one (1) mile east of Interstate Highway No. 505. The Yolo County Planning Commission approved the tentative map for this project in conjunction with a use permit on December 20, 1978. All conditions of approval have been complied with.

3. The Board of Supervisors accepted Parcel Map No. 2855 for Dan Horgan by Resolution No. 79-36, on March 6, 1979, upon the following findings: After consideration of the Negative Declaration of Environmental Impact for the proposed project, this Board finds that the project as proposed and approved will not have a significant effect on the environment, and the Negative Declaration on file is hereby approved by this Board. Board hereby finds that the proposed subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvements, is consistent with general plan adopted for the County of Yolo. Board approves Parcel Map No. 2855 as submitted.

4. No environmental impact report has been prepared, all pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act.

Dated: March 22, 1979.

Yolo County Board of Supervisors
Decision-making Officer or body

BY Peter McNamee
PETER McNAMEE,

its Clerk

NEGATIVE DECLARATION

OF ENVIRONMENTAL SIGNIFICANCE

No. 78-34

The Yolo County Planning Commission has reviewed all available information on the environmental effect of the project described as request for variance to allow a decrease in the front yard setback from 20 feet to 15 feet, waiver of paving, and less than the required number of parking spaces, located at 421 D Street, Broderick, California, in the Apartment-Professional (R-4) Zone.
Assessor's Parcel No. 10-201-32
Zone File #2855

The Planning Commission has determined that:

1. The project described is one that would ordinarily be expected to have a significant effect on the environment, and

2. The project will have no significant adverse effect on the environment due to circumstances peculiar to the specific project.

An Environmental Impact Report will not be required.

Said determination was made at a Public Hearing held on April 19, 1978 in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act and Title 10 of the Yolo County Code. Appeals from this determination may be made within fifteen (15) days of said action by filing a letter of appeal with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors as prescribed for appeals from decisions of the Board of Zoning Adjustment.

Robert A. Peterson

Robert A. Peterson
Director of Planning
Secretary, Yolo County
Planning Commission

FILED
MAY - 2 1978
LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk
By Barbara A. Rodgers
Deputy



COUNTY OF YOLO

PLANNING DEPARTMENT
292 WEST BEAMER
WOODLAND, CALIFORNIA 95695
TELEPHONE 666-8556
AREA CODE 916

March 21, 1978

Negative Declaration
Zone File No. 2855
Assessor's Parcel No. 10-201-32-1
Ignacio & Margie Rivera, Applicant-Owner
HEARING DATE: April 19, 1978

The applicant requests a variance to allow the addition of a second story on an existing home located on the south side of "D" Street in the Apartment-Professional (R-4) Zone in Broderick. A twelve unit one story apartment complex also occupies the site, but it lacks parking facilities. In conjunction with this application, staff will request the applicant to provide paving and parking for the applicants.

Adjacent land uses are vacant to the East, single-family detached to the South, a street and railroad to the North, and a one story apartment complex to the West.

The following factors indicate that this project will not have a significant adverse environmental impact:

1) No new dwelling units will be created.

2) The land use remains unchanged.

3) The replacement of the lawn by the driveway and parking lot may have an adverse impact upon the tenants, but at a community level, this impact will be insignificant.

Laugenour & Meikle

FILED
MAR - 6 1979
PETE MCHANEY, Clerk
By

RESOLUTION NO. 79-37

(Resolution of Acceptance for Parcel Map No. 2838 for Stephen Heringer)

WHEREAS, the final tracing for Parcel Map No. 2838 has been prepared and presented to the Board of Supervisors for approval; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission previously considered and approved a Negative Declaration of Environmental Impact in conjunction with this proposed project and said Planning Commission has determined that no subsequent environmental assessment need be prepared, pursuant to Title 14, Section 15067 of the California Administrative Code, and this Board has considered and approved said Negative Declaration; and

WHEREAS, the tentative map for Parcel Map No. 2838 has been approved by said Planning Commission; and

WHEREAS, said map contemplates creation of Two parcels in the Agricultural General A-1 Zone, of Yolo County; and

WHEREAS, the final tracing of said Parcel Map has been signed by all necessary parties, pursuant to the provisions of the Government Code of the State of California; and

WHEREAS, said map in all respects complies with the provisions of the State Subdivision Map Act and of the Local Ordinances,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows: (1) Each of the foregoing recitals is true and correct. (2) After consideration of the Negative Declaration of Environmental Impact for the proposed project, this Board finds that the project as proposed and ap-

proved will not have a significant effect on the environment and the Negative Declaration is hereby approved by this Board. (3) This Board hereby finds that the proposed subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvements, is consistent with general plan adopted for the County of Yolo. (4) This Board hereby accepts on behalf of the Public the easement and road right of way as provided and delineated on said map; and (5) This Board hereby approves said Parcel Map No. 2838 as submitted, and instructs the Clerk to prepare said map for transmittal to the County Recorder for filing.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Clerk of this Board hereby is authorized and ordered to file a Notice of Determination upon this project, with a copy of the Negative Declaration attached, said notice to include the decision of this Board to approve the proposed project, the finding of this Board that the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment, and a statement that no environmental impact report has been prepared all pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 6th day of March, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Robert A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Peter E. Lucas, Deputy
APPROVED AS TO FORM
County Counsel

FILED

MAR 23 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By Barbara Rodgers
Deputy

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION

NO. 79-11

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21152 and Yolo County Code Section 10-1.910 that the County of Yolo acting by its decision-making officer or body has made the following determination whether to approve or disapprove a project as follows:

1. The decision-making officer or body is The Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California.

2. The project is described as follows: Parcel Map No. 2838 creates a 1.6 acre parcel from an existing 137 acre parcel, for the purpose of creating a residential building site in the Agricultural General Zone (A-1) of Yolo County. The project is located on the west side of the Sacramento River approximately one half (½) mile south of the Freeport Bridge. The tentative map for this project was approved by the Yolo County Planning Commission on September 20, 1978. All conditions of approval have been complied with.

3. The Board of Supervisors accepted Parcel Map No. 2838 on March 6, 1979, for Stephen Heringer, by Resolution No. 79-37, upon the following findings: After consideration of the Negative Declaration of Environmental Impact for the proposed project, this Board finds that the project as proposed and approved will not have a significant effect on the environment and the Negative Declaration is hereby approved by this Board. The proposed subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvements, is consistent with general plan adopted for the County of Yolo. Board hereby accepts on behalf of the public the easement and road right of way as provided and delineated on said map; and hereby approves said Parcel Map No. 2838 as submitted.

4. The project will not have a significant effect on the environment.

5. An environmental impact report has not been prepared, pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act.

Dated: March 23, 1979.

BY Peter McNamee Clerk

Yolo County Board of Supervisors
Decision-making Officer or body

FILED
FEB - 1 1978
LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk
By Barbara M. Lyons Deputy

NEGATIVE DECLARATION

OF ENVIRONMENTAL SIGNIFICANCE

No. 98-8

The Yolo County Planning Commission has reviewed all available information on the environmental effect of the project described as request for use permit to allow the creation of a 5.11 acre residential building site located approximately 1,000' north of the western end of County Road 1A, Dunnigan, in the Agricultural General (A-1) Zone.

Assessor's Parcel No. 51-010-11

Zone File #2838

The Planning Commission has determined that:

1. The project described is one that would ordinarily be expected to have a significant effect on the environment, and

2. The project will have no significant adverse effect on the environment due to circumstances peculiar to the specific project.

An Environmental Impact Report will not be required.

Said determination was made at a Public Hearing held on January 18, 1978 in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act and Title 10 of the Yolo County Code. Appeals from this determination may be made within fifteen (15) days of said action by filing a letter of appeal with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors as prescribed for appeals from decisions of the Board of Zoning Adjustment.

Robert A. Peterson

Robert A. Peterson
Director of Planning
Secretary, Yolo County
Planning Commission



County of Yolo

PLANNING DEPARTMENT
292 WEST BEAMER
WOODLAND, CALIFORNIA 95695
TELEPHONE 666-8556
AREA CODE 916

December 21, 1977

Negative Declaration
Zone File #2838
Donald S. Johnson, Applicant-Owner
Assessor's Parcel No. 51-010-11
HEARING DATE: January 18, 1978

This applicant requests a use permit to create a 5.11 acre buildable homesite in the Agricultural General (A-1) Zone located approximately 1000' north of the western end of County Road 1A in Dunnigan. They propose to construct a house, well, septic tank and in some time in the future green houses.

Although this project might normally have significance on the environment, from the information provided by the applicant, the staff determined a draft Negative Declaration would be appropriate for the following reasons:

1) The site is an established, legal parcel in the Almond City Land and Development Company Subdivision.

2) The site is located along the Buckeye Creek, a natural boundary which separates it from the property to the north and west.

3) It would be difficult to have a viable economic farm unit on an established 5-acre parcel.

4) The applicant does not plan on removing any existing trees.


CO. RD. COLUSA
NO. 1 (YOLO)
NO. 9 (COLUSA)
(a.k.a. U.S. 99)
YOLO
9
144. Ac±
10
1.515 Ac±
12
87.74 Ac±
5
13
78.29 Ac±
BUCK EYE CREEK
11
5.11 Ac±
30
171.12 Ac±
Pcl. 'J'
Bk. 18
Pg. 01
Pcl. 'B'
7
20. Ac± Pcl. 'I'
14
90. Ac±
31
18.8 Ac±
Pcl. 'C'
6
20. Ac±
Pcl. 'H'
CO. RD. 1A
1
56 Ac±
15
2.99 Ac±
3
Pcl. 'D'
18.78 Ac±
5
19.99 Ac±
Pcl. 'G'
Pcl. 'K'
18
49.233 Ac±
19
66.51 Ac±
2
Pcl. 'E'
17.67 Ac±
4
19.896 Ac±
Pcl. 'F'
16
52.992 Ac±
17
36.436 Ac±
CO. RD.
14
2/77
9/65
1/71
3/3/77
7/76
WO 3248

FILED
MAR - 6 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By

RESOLUTION NO. 79-38

(Grade Crossing Protection "F" Street S.Y.P.D.R.R. Crossing #78-03)

WHEREAS, the State of California, Department of Transportation has presented a Program Supplement No. 8 to Local Agency-State Agreement #03-5922 (Yolo County Agreement No. 77-201) covering Federal Aid to Yolo County:

AND WHEREAS, Federal Aid Highway funds are available on a 90 %/10 % matching ratio for improvement and protection of railroad grade crossings:

AND WHEREAS, Section 151.3 of the State Budget Act of 1978 made available certain State funds to meet the required matching ratio as required by the Federal Highway Administration:

AND WHEREAS, the County of Yolo desires to use said Section 151.3 funds as its share (10%) for construction of the subject crossing protection:

AND WHEREAS, the County of Yolo has budgeted and will make available County's share of matching funds as required in said Program Supplement No. 8:

NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved that the **Chairman** of the

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

///

Board of Supervisors be authorized to execute the "Program Supplement No. 8" on behalf of the County of Yolo:

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, the 6th day of March 1979, by the following vote:

AYES Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES None.

ABSENT: None.

Betsy A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST: PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

By Rita E. Lucas, Deputy
(Seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

By _____, Dep
County Counsel

FILED
MAR - 6 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By [illegible] Deputy

(6)

RESOLUTION NO. 79-39

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS TO EXECUTE RIGHT OF WAY CERTIFICATES

WHEREAS, the Federal Highway Administration requires a Right of Way Certificate assuring all the right of way necessary for a Federal Aid Project has been acquired by the County prior to advertisement for solicitation of bids; and

WHEREAS, for each Federal Aid Project a Right of Way Certificate, along with the resolution authorizing the Chairperson of the Board of Supervisors to execute it, is forwarded to the State of California Department of Transportation for submittal to the Federal Highway Administration, and

WHEREAS, Section 642.1 of the Federal Highway Programs Manual provides that by resolution the County Board of Supervisors may authorize the Director of Public Works to execute Right of Way Certificates for Federal Aid Projects.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo that the Director of Public Works is hereby authorized to review and, if appropriate, to execute Right of Way Certificates in the name of the County of Yolo for all Federal Aid Projects, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that a certified copy of this resolution be filed with the State of California, Department of Transportation, District 03, P.O. Box 911, Marysville, CA, 95901.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 6th day of March, 1979, by the following vote:

///

///

///

///

AYES Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds.

NOES Marchand.

ABSENT: None.

Betsy A. Marchand

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

By Peter E Lucas, Deputy

APPROVED AS TO FORM

Dep.
County Counsel

FILED
MAR - 6 1979
PETER MCNAMEE, Clerk
By [illegible] Deputy

(8)

RESOLUTION NO. 79-40

(Federal Aid for Safer Off-System Roads)

WHEREAS, on February 16, 1979, the California Department of Transportation forwarded official notice of apportioned amounts due Yolo County under the Federal-aid Highway Acts, in accordance with the provisions of Section 2530 of the Streets and Highways Code, as follows:

Federal-aid for Safer Off-System Roads $86,626

WHEREAS, Section 2532 of the Streets and Highways Code requires the County within 60 days of receiving such notice to notify the Department of Transportation as to the amount of the apportionment the County and its Cities wish to claim.

WHEREAS, the County has entered into an agreement between the County and a majority of the Cities, which Cities shall include a majority of the municipal population within the County for the distribution of the federal funds under this program as required by Section 2530(c) of the Streets and Highways Code.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED AND ORDERED that the County of Yolo does hereby notify the California Department of Transportation that the County of Yolo claims the said apportionment and the whole thereof in the name of the County and its Cities, and that the County of Yolo does hereby agree to do all things necessary and proper to secure the Federal funds available under the Federal-aid for Safer Off-System Roads Program.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 6th day of March, 1979, by the following vote:

///

///

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Bobbie A. Marchand

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

BY Peter E Lucas, DEPUTY

(Seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

COUNTY COUNSEL

FILED

MAR 21 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By Barbara A Rodgers
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-41

(Resolution Opposing The Elimination of Territorial Rating for Automobile Insurance)

WHEREAS, the Department of Insurance has scheduled hearings to study the use by automobile insurers of a system of territorial rating which permits allocation of loss costs to areas where those persons causing such losses reside; and

WHEREAS, the California Legislature has repeatedly refused to abolish such a system and require one statewide rate; and

WHEREAS, the imposition of a statewide rate would increase insurance premiums for approximately two-thirds of California's motorists; and

WHEREAS, data shows that residents of Yolo County are involved in and make claims to insurers for fewer and less costly injury and non-injury accidents than residents of some other areas of the State; for example, San Francisco; and

WHEREAS, because this fact residents of Yolo County generally pay lower average automobile insurance premiums than people living in higher risk areas; and

WHEREAS, according to some insurance industry estimates the elimination of territorial rating would result in a 10.7 percent increase in premium costs to typical insured residents of Yolo County; and

WHEREAS, the residents of Yolo County do not believe they should subsidize the insurance costs of residents of high risk areas;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo supports the use of an equitable system of territorial rating and opposes any attempt to create one statewide rate; and

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that copies of this resolution be sent to Insurance Commissioner Wesley J. Kinder for inclusion in the hearing record and to Senator Jim Nielsen and Assemblyman Tom Hannigan.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 13th day of March, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Edmonds.

Bob A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3-21-79

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

By: Pete E. Lucas
Deputy

(SEAL)

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

FILED

MAR 13 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79- 42

(Resolution Making Section 1094.6 of the Code of Civil Procedure Applicable to the County of Yolo)

WHEREAS, the provisions of Section 1094.5 of the Code of Civil Procedure provide for the judicial review of certain final administrative orders or decisions; and

WHEREAS, the provisions of Section 1094.6 of the Code of Civil Procedure provide that any petition seeking the review pursuant to Section 1094.5 of any decision of a county or any commission, board, officer or agent thereof shall be filed not later than the ninetieth day following the date on which such decision becomes final; and

WHEREAS, the provisions of Section 1094.6 shall be applicable in a county only if the governing board thereof adopts an ordinance or resolution making the section applicable; and

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors desires to make the provisions of Section 1094.6 applicable to the County of Yolo;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo that:

1. The provisions of Section 1094.6 of the Code of Civil Procedure as they now exist or may in the future be amended shall be applicable in the County of Yolo.

2. The Board of Supervisors specifically reserves the benefit of any statute of limitations less than 90 days otherwise provided by law and specifically disclaims any intention to waive or extend any such shorter statute of limitations.

/ / / / /

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, this 13th day of March, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Edmonds.

Bkty. A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

BY Pete E. Lucas
DEPUTY

(SEAL)

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 527
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

RESOLUTION NO. 79-43

(Resolution authorizing Administration of Housing Rehabilitation Program)

WHEREAS, the Federal Government has authorized funds in Grant Number B 78 DS 06 0005, for the administration of a housing rehabilitation program in Bryte, California; and

WHEREAS, Yolo County and the Yolo County Housing Authority desire that the Housing Authority shall directly administer said Grant Program according to the terms and conditions provided below.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, ORDERED AND FOUND as follows:

1. That each of the foregoing recitals is true and correct.

2. That the Yolo County Housing Authority is authorized to directly administer said Rehabilitation Grant Program in accordance with the following terms and conditions:

   a. That the program shall be administered in accordance with the Yolo County Rehabilitation Grant Program approved by the Yolo County Board of Supervisors on May 18, 1976.

   b. That the total administration fee to be paid to Housing Authority shall not exceed FIFTEEN THOUSAND ($15,000) AND NO/100 DOLLARS, and the balance of EIGHT-FIVE THOUSAND ($85,000) AND NO/100 DOLLARS shall be available for the direct grant, consistent with HUD regulations.

   c. Housing Authority shall indemnify and save Yolo County harmless from and against any and all claims arising from any acts, omission or negligence of the Housing Authority, or its contractors, licensees, agents, servants or employees, arising from any accident, injury, in any way arising from the administration of said grant program.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 13th day of March, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Edmonds.

Betsy A. Marchand

BETSY A. MARCHAND, CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO

ATTEST:
PETER MC NAMEE, CLERK
BY Deputy

FILED
MAR 13 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Deputy

APPROVED AS TO FORM
CHARLES R. MACK
COUNTY COUNSEL
By
DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL

FILED

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By

RESOLUTION NO. 79-44

Resolution of Acceptance for Parcel Map 2862 for Public Storage Properties LTD.)

WHEREAS, the final tracing for Parcel Map No. 2862 has been prepared and presented to the Board of Supervisors for approval; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission considered and approved a Negative Declaration of environmental impact for the proposed project, and this Board has considered and approved said Negative Declaration; and

WHEREAS, the tentative map for Parcel Map No. 2862 has been approved by said Planning Commission; and

WHEREAS, said map contemplates creation of two parcels in the Heavy Industrial (M-2) Zone of Yolo County; and

WHEREAS, the final tracing of said Parcel Map has been signed by all necessary parties, pursuant to the provisions of the Government Code of the State of California; and

WHEREAS, said map in all respects complies with the provisions of the State Subdivision Map Act and of the Local Ordinances,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows: (1) Each of the foregoing recitals is true and correct. (2) After consideration of the Negative Declaration of environmental impact for the proposed project, this Board finds that the project as proposed and apprcved will not have a significant effect on the environment, and the Negative Declaration on file is hereby approved by this Board. (3) This Board hereby finds that the proposed subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvements, is consistent

with general plan adopted for the County of Yolo. (4) This Board approves said Parcel Map No. 2862 as submitted, and instructs the Clerk to prepare said map for transmittal to the County Recorder for filing.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Clerk of this Board hereby is authorized and ordered to file a Notice of Determination upon this project, with a copy of the Negative Declaration attached, said notice to include the decision of this Board to approve the proposed project, the finding of this Board that the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment, and a statement that no environmental impact report has been prepared, all pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 20th day of March, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Bobby R. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

By Peter E. Lucas
Deputy

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

County Counsel, Deputy

FILED

MAR 2 8 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Barbara Rodgers
Deputy

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION

NO. 79-13

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21152 and Yolo County Code Section 10-1.910 that the County of Yolo acting by its decision-making officer or body has made the following determination whether to approve or disapprove a project as follows:

1. The decision-making officer or body is the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California.

2. The project is described as follows: Parcel Map No. 2862 creates one parcel of 3.4 acres and one parcel of 1.9 acres in the Heavy Industrial (M-2) Zone of Yolo County. The Project is located on the south side of West Capitol Avenue, west of the Interstate 880 overpass, in the West Sacramento area. The applicant, Public Storage Properties LTD., plans to construct a mini storage warehouse facility on the 3.4 acre parcel. No change in the use of the remainder is anticipated at this time. The Planning Commission of Yolo County approved this Project on December 6, 1978. All conditions of approval have been satisfied.

3. The project was approved by the Board of Supervisors on March 20, 1979, by Resolution No. 79-44, accepting Parcel Map No. 2862 for Public Storage Properties LTD., upon the following findings: The project as proposed and approved will not have a significant effect on the environment; Negative Declaration on file is hereby approved; Proposed subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvements, is consistent with general plan adopted by the County of Yolo and that this Board approves said Parcel Map No. 2862 as submitted.

4. No environmental impact report has been prepared, pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act.

Dated: March 27, 1979.

Yolo County Board of Supervisors
Decision-making Officer or body

BY Peter McNamee
PETER McNAMEE,

its Clerk

3-28-79

NEGATIVE DECLARATION
Of ENVIRONMENTAL SIGNIFICANCE
No. 78-119

The Yolo County Planning Commission has reviewed all available information on the environmental effect of the project described as

request for tentative parcel map to divide an existing 5.30 acre parcel into two parcels of 3.4 acres and 1.90 acres located on the south side of West Capitol Avenue, west of the I-880 overpass, West Sacramento, in the Heavy Industrial (M-2) Zone. Subject property is designated as Assessor's Parcel No. 9-034-01
Tentative Parcel Map #2862

The Planning Commission has determined that:

1. The project described is one that would ordinarily be expected to have a significant effect on the environment, and

2. The project will have no significant adverse effect on the environment due to circumstances peculiar to the specific project.

An Environmental Impact Report will not be required.

Said determination was made at a Public Hearing held on December 6, 1978 in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act and Title 10 of the Yolo County Code. Appeals from this determination may be made within fifteen (15) days of said action by filing a letter of appeal with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors as prescribed for appeals from decisions of the Board of Zoning Adjustment.

Robert A. Peterson

Robert A. Peterson
Director of Planning
Secretary, Yolo County Planning Commission

FILED
DEC 15 1978
LAURENCE P. HENIGAN, Clerk
By [illegible]
Deputy



County of Yolo

PLANNING DEPARTMENT
292 WEST BEAMER
WOODLAND, CALIFORNIA 95695
TELEPHONE 666-8556
AREA CODE 916

October 26, 1978

Negative Declaration
Tentative Subdivision Map #2862
Wanda Thielsen etal, Applicant-owner
Assessor's Parcel No. 9-034-01
HEARING DATE: December 6, 1978

The applicant requests a tentative parcel map to divide an existing 5.30 acre parcel into one 3.4 acre parcel (Parcel A) and one 1.90 acre parcel (Parcel B) in the Heavy Industrial (M-2) Zone. Subject site is located on the south side of West Capitol Avenue, west of Interstate 880 overpass, in West Sacramento.

The applicant plans on constructing a mini-storage warehouse facility on Parcel A.

Based upon the comments received by the responsible agencies, staff determined that the project as designed would not have a significant effect on the environment, and that a negative declaration is the appropriate environmental assessment document.

FILED

MAR 26 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-45

(Resolution Supporting AB 270 re The Woodland Opera House)

WHEREAS, plans are being made to restore the historic Woodland Opera House as a living and working theatre and theatre museam; and

WHEREAS, funds in the amount of $800,000 have been appropriated by the State, Federal, and Local governments to accomplish this restoration; and

WHEREAS, Yolo County at present has no public facility provided by the State Department of Parks and Recreation.

BE IT THEREFORE RESOLVED that we the members of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo do urge that Assembly Bill No. 270 (Hannigan) be expeditiously cleared for passage.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 20th day of March, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

_____
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
3-26-78

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

By: _____ Deputy

(SEAL)

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

B/L

Item 1

FILED
MAR 28 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By _____ Deputy

County of Yolo
Board of Supervisors

Resolution No. 79-46

WHEREAS, William Scott has completed his term on the Administering Board of the Economic Opportunity Commission of Yolo County, after serving as a member for the past five years, and Chairman for the last three years; and,

WHEREAS, Bill's strong leadership was instrumental in achieving a smooth transition as the management of the Economic Opportunity Commission became a part of the Yolo County administrative structure; and,

WHEREAS, Bill has made many valuable contributions to Yolo County as a member of the Planned Parenthood Board of Directors, Yolo County Coalition Against Hunger, and the Affirmative Action Advisory Committee; and,

WHEREAS, Bill has devoted his efforts to helping the Economic Opportunity Commission and the Board of Supervisors respond more effectively in addressing the problems and the needs of the poor in Yolo County.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors wishes to express its appreciation to William Scott for his dedicated service to the citizens of Yolo County.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 20th day of MARCH, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.
NOES: None.
ABSENT: None.
ABSTAINING: None.

Betsy A. Marchand

BETSY A. MARCHAND, CHAIRPERSON OF THE YOLO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

FILED (17)

APR - 2 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-47

WHEREAS, the Tehama-Colusa Canal of the Central Valley Project was authorized by P.L. 81-839, September 26, 1950, so as to permit the most effective irrigation of the irrigable lands lying in the vicinity of said canal; and

WHEREAS Congress by P.L. 90-65, August 19, 1967, authorized the enlargement of the lower three reaches (approximately 50 miles) of said canal in order to expand the service area in Yolo and Solano counties; and

WHEREAS the Yolo-Zamora Water District, situated in Yolo County, California and comprising approximately 23,500 acres of farmland, was formed in December, 1955 for the sole purpose of obtaining supplemental irrigation water from the Central Valley Project; and

WHEREAS the said Yolo-Zamora Water District has no supply of supplemental water whatsoever and depends solely upon the underground for its water supply for all purposes; and

WHEREAS the underground water supply of said district has been in an overdraft condition for approximately 35 years, has become more acute each year, and will continue unabated unless supplemental water is obtained for said district; and

WHEREAS the said Tehama-Colusa canal is being constructed with the lower three reaches enlarged and will be completed in 1981; and

WHEREAS the said Tehama-Colusa canal upon completion will have the capacity to serve the Yolo-Zamora Water District without further construction or alterations to said canal, and without the expenditure of further funds other than the construction of a distribution system for said district, and

WHEREAS the reversal of the overdraft condition of the Yolo-Zamora Water District is a matter of urgency; and

WHEREAS H.R. 2111 (Fazio) has been introduced in the House of Representatives for the sole purpose of including the Yolo-Zamora Water District in the service area of the Tehama-Colusa canal (copy attached);

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows:

(1) This Board of Supervisors fully endorses the foregoing recitals and endorses completion of the Tehama-Colusa canal.

(2) This Board of Supervisors urges that the Yolo-Zamora Water District be included within the service area of the Tehama-Colusa canal through an enactment of H.R. 2111 (Fazio) in this session of the Congress as an urgency measure; and that such inclusion be financed by a loan pursuant to Public Law 84-130 in the sum of $200,000.00 for fiscal 79-80.

(3) The Clerk of this Board is directed to forward copies of this Resolution to Senators Alan Cranston and S. I. Hayakawa, and to Congressman Vic Fazio.

Passed and adopted by this Board of Supervisors of the

County of Yolo, State of California this 27th day of March, 1979 by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None

ABSENT: None.

Bobby O. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.

4-2-79

ATTEST:

PETER MCNAMEE

By: Vicki Miyahara
Deputy

Approved as to Form

County Counsel of Yolo County

96TH CONGRESS
1ST SESSION

H. R. 2111

To extend the service area for the Sacramento Valley Canals, Central Vallejo project, California, and other purposes.

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

FEBRUARY 13, 1979

Mr. FAZIO introduced the following bill; which was referred to the Committee on Interior and Insular Affairs

---

A BILL

To extend the service area for the Sacramento Valley Canals, Central Vallejo project, California, and other purposes.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That section 2 of the Act of September 25, 1950 (64 Stat. 1036), as amended, is further amended by striking the phrase "Tehama, Glenn, and Colusa Counties" and inserting in lieu thereof the phrase "Tehama, Glenn, and Colusa Counties, ~~and the Yolo-Zamora Water District~~".

I—E

O

FILED

APR 3 - 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By Rickie Mayshuson
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-48

(Resolution Authorizing Application for Yolo County Sexual Assault Center Program as to Counseling Center for Victims of Rape and Their Families for 1979)

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Sexual Assault Center desires to undertake a certain project designated Counseling Center for Victims of Rape and their Families to be funded in part from funds made available through the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, PL 90-351, as amended, (hereafter, referred to as the Crime Control Act) administered by the Office of Criminal Justice Planning (hereafter referred to as OCJP).

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Chairman of the Yolo County Board of Supervisors is authorized, on its behalf to submit the attached Application for Grant for Law Enforcement Purposes to OCJP and is authorized to execute on behalf of the Yolo County Sexual Assault Center the attached Grant Award for law enforcement purposes including any extensions or amendments thereof.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the applicant agrees to provide all matching funds required for said project (including, any extension or amendment thereof) under the Crime Control Act and the rules and regulations of OCJP and the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration and that cash will be appropriated as required thereby.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that grant funds received hereunder shall not be used to supplant law enforcement expenditures controlled by this body.

/ / / / /

/ / / / /

/ / / / /

/ / / / /

/ / / / /

/ / / / /

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 3rd day of April, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Thopmson.

Betsy A. Marchand

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
PETER McNamee, Clerk
BY G. Bell Deputy

(SEAL)

Approved as to Form
Dated APR - 3 1979

County Counsel of Yolo County

FILED

APR 3 - 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By Vicki Higginbotham
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79- 49

(Pre-Resolution Authorizing Application for Yolo County Sexual Assualt Center Program as to Counseling Center for Victims of Rape and their Families for 1980)

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Sexual Assault Center desires to undertake a certain project designated Counseling Center for Victims of Rape and Their Families to be funded in part from funds made available through the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, PL 90-351, as amended, (hereinafter referred to as the Crime Control Act) administered by the Office of Criminal Justice Planning (hereinafter referred to as OCJP).

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Chairman of the Yolo County Board of Supervisors is authorized, on its behalf to submit the attached Application for Grant for Law Enforcement Purposes to Region D for inclusion in the 1980 grant application process.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 3rd day of April, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Thompson.

Betsy A. Marchand

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

BY Vicki Higginbotham
Deputy

(SEAL)

Approved as to Form

Dated APR - 3 1979

County Counsel of Yolo County

BK

FILED

APR 11 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By _____ DEPUTY

Item 13

RESOLUTION NO. 79-50
(Resolution of Acceptance for Parcel Map No. 2835 for BONNIE F. OTT)

WHEREAS, the final tracing for Parcel Map No. 2835 has been prepared and presented to the Board of Supervisors for approval; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission previously considered and approved a Negative Declaration of Environmental Impact in conjuntion with an application for a use permit on this proposed project and said Planning Commission has determined that no subsequent environmental assessment need be prepared, pursuant to Title 14, Section 15067 of the California Administrative Code, and this Board has considered and approved said Negative Declaration; and

WHEREAS, the tentative map for Parcel Map No. 2835 has been approved by said Planning Commission; and

WHEREAS, said map contemplates creation of Two parcels in the Agricultural General A-1 Zone, of Yolo County; and

WHEREAS, the final tracing of said Parcel Map has been signed by all necessary parties, pursuant to the provisions of the Government Code of the State of California; and

WHEREAS, said map in all respects complies with the provisions of the State Subdivision Map Act and of the Local Ordinances.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows: (1) Each of the foregoing recitals is true and correct. (2) After consideration of the Negative Declaration of Environmental Impact for the proposed project, this Board finds that the project as proposed and approved will not have a significant effect on the environment and the Negative Declaration on file is hereby approved by this Board.

(3) This Board hereby finds that the proposed subdivision together with the provisions for its design and improvements is consistent with general plan adopted for the County of Yolo. (4) This Board hereby approves said Parcel Map No. 2835 as submitted, and instructs the Clerk to prepare said map for transmittal to the County Recorder for filing.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Clerk of this Board hereby is authorized and ordered to file a Notice of Determination upon this project, with a copy of the Negative Declaration attached, said notice to include the decision of this Board to approve the proposed project, the finding of this Board that the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment, and a statement that no environmental impact report has been prepared, all pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 10th day of April, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betty A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By Peter E. Lucas, Deputy

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

County Counsel

FILED

APR 25 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By Deputy

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION

NO. 79-18

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21152 and Yolo County Code Section 10-1.910 that the County of Yolo acting by its decision-making officer or body has made the following determination whether to approve or disapprove a project as follows:

1. The decision-making officer or body is the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California.

2. The project is described as follows: Parcel Map No. 2835 divides a 19.3 acre Parcel into one Parcel containing 5 acres and the remainder containing 14.3 acres. The project is located on the west side of County Road 85c, about 1,700 south of County Road 21A, in the Agricultural General (A-1) Zone of Yolo County. A use permit was previously approved by the Planning Commission for this project. Therefore, the approval of the tentative map formalized the application, and was approved on October 4, 1978. All conditions of approval have been complied with.

3. The project was approved by the Board of Supervisors on April 10, 1979, by Resolution No. 79-50, accepting Parcel Map No. 2835, for Bonnie F. Ott, based upon the following findings: After consideration of the Negative Declaration of Environmental Impact for the proposed project, the Board finds that the project as proposed and approved will not have a significant effect on the environment and the Negative Declaration on file is hereby approved by this Board. Board finds that the proposed subdivision together with the provisions for its design and improvements is consistent with general plan adopted for the County of Yolo. Board hereby approves said Parcel Map No. 2835 as submitted.

4. No Environmental Impact Report has been prepared, pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act.

Dated: April 23, 1979.

Yolo County Board of Supervisors
Decision-making officer or body

BY Peter McNamee
PETER McNAMEE,

its Clerk 4-25-79

FILED

APR 11 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ DEPUTY

RESOLUTION NO. 79-51

(Resolution of Acceptance for Parcel Map 2821 for Gray, Sawyer & Marer)

WHEREAS, the final tracing for Parcel Map No. 2821 has been prepared and presented to the Board of Supervisors for approval; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission has considered and approved an Environmental Impact Report for the proposed project, with a finding that the proposed porject will not have a significant effect upon the environment, and said Environmental Impact Report has been presented to and considered by this Board; and

WHEREAS, the tentative map for Parcel Map No.2831 has been approved by said Planning Commission; and

WHEREAS, said map contemplates creation of Three parcels in the Agricultural Preserve (A-P) Zone, of Yolo County; and

WHEREAS, the final tracing of said Parcel Map has been signed by all necessary parties, pursuant to the provisions of the Government Code of the State of California; and

WHEREAS, said map in all respects complies with the provisions of the State Subdivision Map Act and of the Local Ordinances.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows: (1) Each of the foregoing recitals is true and correct. (2) This Board, after consideration of the Environmental Impact Report filed upon the proposed project hereby adopts and approves the final Environmental Impact Report, and finds that said final EIR has been completed in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act and State Guidelines, and finds that the proposed project will not have a significant effect upon the environment. (3) This Board hereby finds that the proposed subdivision together with the provisions for its design and improvements, is consistent with general plan adopted for the County of Yolo. (4) This Board approves said Parcel Map No. 2821 as submitted, and instructs the Clerk to prepare said map for transmittal to the County Recorder for filing.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Clerk of this Board hereby is authorized and directed to file a Notice of Determination upon

the proposed project; said notice shall include the decision of this Board to approve the project, and the finding of this Board that the proposed project will not have a significant effect upon the environment, and shall include the statement that the Environmental Impact Report considered and approved by this Board has been prepared pursuant to and is consistent with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act and State Guidelines adopted pursuant thereto.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 10th day of April 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betty A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

BY Pete E Lucas
Deputy

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

County Counsel

FILED

APR 26 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By Deloris Dalgarn Deputy

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION

NO. 79-19

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21152 and Yolo County Code Section 10-1.910 that the County of Yolo acting by its decision-making officer or body has made the following determination whether to approve or disapprove a project as follows:

1. The decision-making officer or body is the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California.

2. The project is described as follows: Parcel Map No. 2821 is being filed in order to correct an illegal land division that occurred in 1977. The Map creates three Parcels containing 20.06 acres, 24.07 acres and 30.97 acres respectively and is presently in the Agricultural Preserve Zone (AP) of Yolo County. The project is located on the south side of State Highway 128 and is situated on both sides of County Road No. 87E. The tentative Map for this project was approved by the Yolo County Planning Commission on December 6, 1978. All conditions of approval have been complied with.

3. The Board of Supervisors accepted Parcel Map No. 2821, for Gray, Sawyer & Marer, by Resolution No. 79-51, on April 10, 1979.

4. Board finds that final EIR has been completed in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act and State Guidelines.

5. Proposed project will not have a significant effect upon the environment.

6. Proposed subdivision together with the provisions for its design and improvements, is consistent with general plan adopted for the County of Yolo.

Dated: April 23, 1979.

Yolo County Board of Supervisors
Decision-making Officer or body

by Peter McNamee
PETER McNAMEE,

its Clerk

4-25-79

FILED

APR 17 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By [signature]
DEPUTY

RESOLUTION NO. 79-52

(Pavement Marker Program No. 2)

WHEREAS an agreement number 75-91 (Local Agency/State Master Agreement No. 1) between the State of California and the County of Yolo has been executed on March 24, 1975;

AND WHEREAS the State of California, Department of Transportation has presented Program Supplement Number 6 (Rev.2) to said Master Agreement;

AND WHEREAS the revised program supplement #6 is required in order to recover preliminary engineering costs involved in the project:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors be authorized to execute said "Program Supplement Number 6 (Rev.2)" on behalf of the County of Yolo:

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 17th day of April, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Blank, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betsy A. Marchand

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

BY [signature] Deputy

(seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

[signature] Dep.

County Counsel

Local Agency Yolo County
Date March 7, 1979
Supplement No. 6 Rev. 2
To Local Agency-State
Agreement No. 1

AGREEMENT NO.79-164

PROGRAM

OF

LOCAL AGENCY FEDERAL AID SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS

IN THE

County of Yolo
Local Agency

Pursuant to the Federal Highway Safety Act, the attached "Program" of Federal Aid Projects marked "Exhibit B" is hereby incorporated in that Master Agreement for the Federal-Aid Program which was entered into between the above named LOCAL AGENCY and the STATE on 3-24-75, and is subject to all of the terms and conditions thereof.

The subject program is adopted in accordance with Paragraph 2 of Article II of the aforementioned agreement under authority of ~~City~~/County Resolution No. 75-32 approved by the ~~City~~ ~~Council~~/County Board of Supervisors on March 24, 1975 (See copy attached).

I hereby certify upon my own personal knowledge that budgeted funds are available for this encumbrance.

Encumbrance Date	Agency	Fiscal Year	Program Code	Category	Fund Source	
3/24/75	Yolo	1976-77 (80STA)	1977-78 HES	32C	AFSZX	$ 50,000.00

* Supervisors Agreement 5-0 to grant 1/ Stop sign by expected 4-30-79 = Grant Funds - 90% Balance

County of Yolo
Local Agency

By Betsy A. Marchand
Chairman of Board of Supervisors, County of Yolo
State of California
Attest: Peter McNamee
Clerk
By _____ Deputy

Approved for State

District Director of Transportation Date

District 03
Department of Transportation

Approved as to Form
Dated _____
County Counsel of Yolo County

Form DH-OLA-431 (Rev. 3/78)

EXHIBIT B

PROGRAM

OF

LOCAL AGENCY FEDERAL SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS

Date: March 7, 1979

PROGRAM SUPPLEMENT NO.: 6 Rev. 2
TO MASTER AGREEMENT NO. 1

Local Agency: Yolo County

Project No.	Location & Description	Total Cost Est.	Federal Funds	Matching Funds*
PMS-000S(129)	In the County of Yolo at various locations. Place pavement markers to conform to 1971 MUTCD.	$67,000	$67,000	None
PMS-000S(32)	Preliminary Engineering	$ 5,000	$ 5,000	None

"Revised to provide P.E. costs, as indicated above."

**Local Agency Funds unless otherwise specified*

Special Covenants or Remarks: 1. The County will act as the Administrator for the project.
2. Local agency funds will be used for costs in excess of the "Maximum Federal Funds Available" for this project.
3. Initial pavement marking work is to be completed by October 1, 1978.
4. This Program Supplement supersedes No. 6 Revised, dated January 26, 1978.

DH-OLA 431

FILED

APR 17 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ DEPUTY

RESOLUTION NO 79-53

(15th. Street/Jefferson Blvd. Agreement-Signals)

WHEREAS, The State of California, Department of Transportation has presented a cooperative agreement covering the installation of traffic signals at 15th. Street and Jefferson Blvd. (S.H. 84) in West Sacramento; and

WHEREAS, funds have been approved in the 1978/79 budget for the Countys share of this project; and

WHEREAS, the completion of this project will improve the safety and capacity at this intersection and will be in the public interst.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors be authorized to execute said cooperative agreement on behalf of the County of Yolo.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 17th day of April , 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Bobby G. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

BY _____ Deputy

(Seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

BY _____, Dep.

County Counsel

Item 15

Agreement No. 79-165

RECEIVED
MAY 2 31979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By _____ Deputy

03-Yol-84 21.3/21.5

03356 - 214501

15th Street and Jefferson Blvd., West Sacramento

District Agreement No. 1200

THIS AGREEMENT, ENTERED INTO ON April 17, , 1979 IS BETWEEN

COUNTY OF YOLO,
a political subdivision of the State of California, referred to herein as "COUNTY"

FILED
MAY 23 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Barbara A. Rodgers Deputy

AND

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
acting by and through its Department of Transportation, referred to herein as "STATE"

RECITALS

(1) STATE and COUNTY contemplate installing traffic control signal system and safety lighting at the intersection of 15th Street with State Highway Route 84 (Jefferson Boulevard), referred to herein as "PROJECT", and desire to specify the terms and conditions under which such systems are to be installed, financed and maintained.

SECTION I

STATE AGREES:

(1) To provide plans and specifications and all necessary construction engineering services for the PROJECT and to bear STATE's share of the expense thereof.

(2) To construct the PROJECT by contract in accordance with the plans and specifications of STATE.

(3) To pay an amount equal to 50% of construction costs; but in no event shall STATE's total obligation for construction costs under this agreement exceed the amount of $38,000; provided that STATE may, at its sole discretion, in writing, authorize a greater amount.

(4) To maintain and operate the entire traffic control signal systems and safety lighting as installed and pay an amount equal to 50% of the total costs.

SECTION II

COUNTY AGREES:

(1) To make payment to STATE prior to award of a construction contract for PROJECT, the amount of $45,000, which figure represents COUNTY's estimated share of the expense of preparation of plans and specifications, construction engineering, and construction costs required to complete PROJECT. In no event shall COUNTY's total obligation for said costs under this contract exceed the amount of $50,000; provided that COUNTY may, at its sole discretion, in writing, authorize a greater amount.

(2) COUNTY's share of the construction costs shall be an amount equal to 50% of the actual cost, as determined after completion of work and upon final accounting of costs.

(3) COUNTY's share of the expense of preparing plans and specifications, shall be an amount equal to 15% of COUNTY's share of the actual final construction cost.

(4) COUNTY's share of the expense of construction engineering shall be an amount equal to 18% of COUNTY's share of the actual final construction cost.

(5) To reimburse STATE for COUNTY's proportionate share of the cost of maintenance and operation of said traffic control signal systems and safety lighting, such share to be an amount equal to 50% of the total cost.

SECTION III

IT IS MUTUALLY AGREED AS FOLLOWS:

(1) All obligations of STATE under the terms of this Agreement are subject to the allocation of funds by the California Transportation Commission.

(2) STATE shall not award a contract for the work until after receipt of COUNTY's payment required in Section II(1).

(3) Neither STATE nor any officer or employee thereof shall be responsible for any damage or liability occurring by reason of anything done or omitted to be done by COUNTY under or in connection with any work, authority or jurisdiction delegated to COUNTY under this Agreement. It is also agreed that, pursuant to Government Code Section 895.4, COUNTY shall fully indemnify and hold STATE harmless from any liability imposed for injury (as defined by Government Code Section 810.8) occurring by reason of anything done or omitted to be done by COUNTY under or in connection with any work, authority or jurisdiction delegated to COUNTY under this Agreement.

(4) Neither COUNTY nor any officer or employee thereof is responsible for any damage or liability occurring by reason of anything done or omitted to be done by STATE under or in connection with any work, authority or jurisdiction not delegated to COUNTY under this Agreement. It is also agreed that, pursuant to Government Code Section 895.4, STATE shall fully indemnify and hold COUNTY harmless from any liability imposed for injury (as defined by Government Code Section 810.8) occurring by reason of anything done or omitted to be done by STATE under or in connection with any work, authority or jurisdiction not delegated to COUNTY under this Agreement.

(5) Should any portion of the PROJECT be financed with federal funds or State gas tax funds, all applicable procedures and policies relating to the use of such funds shall apply notwithstanding other provisions of this agreement.

(6) If upon opening of bids, it is found that COUNTY's payment required in Section II(1) is in excess of the cost of the work on the basis of bid prices plus contingency items, expense of preparation of plans and specifications, construction engineering, administration and overhead costs, the balance remaining shall be promptly refunded by STATE to COUNTY. If, however, the cost of the work exceeds the amount of payment by COUNTY, COUNTY will promptly, upon receipt of claim therefor, pay its portion of the amount of said excess to STATE. If the excess in either case is less than one thousand dollars ($1,000), no refund or demand for additional payment will be made until final accounting.

(7) After opening bids for the PROJECT and if bids indicate a cost overrun of no more than 15% of the estimate, STATE may award the contract.

(8) If, upon opening of bids, it is found that a cost overrun exceeding 15% of the estimate will occur, STATE and COUNTY shall endeavor to agree upon an alternative course of action.

(9) Prior to advertising for bids for the PROJECT, COUNTY may terminate this agreement in writing, provided that COUNTY pays STATE for all costs incurred by STATE.

(10) If termination of this agreement is by mutual agreement, STATE will bear 50% and COUNTY will bear 50% of all costs incurred prior to termination.

(11) Upon completion of all work under this agreement, ownership and title to all materials, equipment and appurtenances installed will be vested in the STATE and no further agreement will be necessary to transfer ownership to the STATE.

(12) If existing public and private utilities conflict with the construction of the PROJECT, STATE will make all necessary arrangements with the owners of such utilities for their removal or relocation. STATE will inspect the removal or relocation of such utilities. If there are no existing provisions for removal or relocation of utilities between COUNTY and/or STATE and the owners of the utilities, STATE and COUNTY will share in the cost of removal or relocation in the amount of 50% STATE and 50% COUNTY.

(13) The cost of any engineering or maintenance referred to herein shall include all direct and indirect costs (functional and administrative overhead assessment) attributable to such work, applied in accordance with STATE's standard accounting procedures. However, STATE's share is accounted for in a statewide account and is not shown separately on each project's cost breakdown.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
Department of Transportation

ADRIANA GIANTURCO
Director of Transportation

By _____
District Director

COUNTY OF YOLO

By _____
Chairman, Board of Supervisors

Attest: Peter McNamee, Clerk,
Clerk of the Board of
Supervisors

By _____ Deputy

Approved as to Form
Dated 4/16/79

_____
County Counsel of Yolo County

BK

FILED

APR 17 1979

PETER McNAMER, Clerk

By _____ DEPUTY

RESOLUTION NO. 79-54

(Resolution Establishing Revolving Fund for the Manpower Division of the Office of the County Administrative Officer)

WHEREAS, Government Code §§ 29320, et seq. authorize the Board of Supervisors to establish a revolving fund for the use of a person in charge of a division or a branch of a department of the county; and

WHEREAS, it appears to this Board of Supervisors that it is necessary to establish such a revolving fund for the office of the Manpower Division of the Office of the County Administrative Officer in the sum of Fifty ($50.00) Dollars for the purposes hereinafter set forth;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, ORDERED AND FOUND by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows:

1. That it is necessary to establish such a revolving fund for the following purposes:

(a) To pay postage or other delivery system charges for mailing expressing, or delivering short-deadline documents.

(b) To pay "postage due" items when they are delivered to the office.

(c) To purchase or pay for sundry items of such small quantity or time-urgency that it would preclude the economic or practical use of conventional procedures for processing purchase orders or claims.

2. That a revolving fund for the office of the Manpower Division of the Office of the County Administrative Officer (herein referred to as the Manpower Division) is hereby established;

3. That the use of the revolving fund is limited to disbursements for the purposes set forth above;

4. That the amount of the revolving fund is hereby set at the sum of Fifty ($50.00) Dollars;

5. That the Auditor of the County of Yolo is hereby authorized and directed to draw his warrant in favor of the Principal Administrative Analyst of the Office of the County Administrative Officer (hereinafter referred to

as the Principal Administrative Analyst) in the amount of $50.00 after the provisions of this resolution providing for a bond have been complied with, and the Treasurer of the County of Yolo is hereby authorized and directed to honor said warrant when it is presented for payment.

6. That the Principal Administrative Analyst shall file with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors either a bond executed by himself as principal and by an admitted surety insurer in an amount equal to the revolving fund for the office of the Manpower Division as established herein, or an endorsement shall be conditioned upon the faithful administration of the fund and upon the willingness and ability of the Principal Administrative Analyst to account for and pay over the fund upon demand of the Board of Supervisors at any time.

7. That upon demand of the Auditor or the Board of Supervisors, the Principal Administrative Analyst shall give an account of the revolving fund for the office of the Manpower Division.

8. That the Clerk of the Board shall transmit certified copies of this resolution to the County Auditor and to the County Treasurer.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 17th day of April, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betty A. Marchand
CHAIRPERSON OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

By _____ DEPTY

(SEAL)

APPROVED AS TO FORM
CHARLES R. MACK
COUNTY COUNSEL

By _____
DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL

FILED

APR 18 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ DEPUTY

RESOLUTION NO. 79-55

(Resolution Ordering Elimination of Position of Director of Parks and Recreation for Lack of Work and Directing County Administrative Officer to Notify Employee of Layoff)

WHEREAS, the County of Yolo is reorganizing the administration of certain of its departments; and

WHEREAS, this reorganization provides for the elimination of the position of Director of Parks and Recreation and provides for the elimination of the functions performed by this position;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, ORDERED, AND FOUND by the Board of Supervisors as follows:

1. That each and all of the foregoing recitals are true and correct.

2. That because of lack of work certain services now being provided by the Parks Department of the County of Yolo shall be reduced or discontinued by the elimination of the position of Director of Parks and Recreation.

3. That as of the end of the day on the first day of May, 1979, the position of Director of Parks and Recreation of the County of Yolo shall be eliminated as hereinabove set forth due to lack of work.

4. Section 1701 of Article 17 of Resolution No. 78-170, the authorized position resolution of the County of Yolo is hereby amended by the deletion of one (1) Director of Parks and Recreation.

5. That the County Administrative Officer be and he hereby is authorized and directed to send a layoff notice to the employee affected by this decision.

/ / / / /

/ / / / /

/ / / / /

/ / / / /

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL: NO. (916) 666-8211

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 17th day of April, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Black.

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, COUNTY CLERK

By _____ Deputy

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

FILED

APR 17 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ DEPUTY

RESOLUTION NO. 79-56

RESOLUTION ACCEPTING REPORT
AND SETTING HEARING OF PROTESTS

ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 121
EL MACERO UNIT NO. 5

The Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo resolves:

1. At the direction of this Board, NK Engineering and Surveying Co., Inc., Engineer of Work for improvement proceedings in Assessment District No. 121 (El Macero Unit No. 5), Yolo County, California, has filed with the County Clerk the report described in Section 10204 of the Streets and Highways Code (Municipal Improvement Act of 1913). This Board accepts the report without modification, for the purpose of conducting a hearing of protests to the improvements described in the report.

2. This Board sets 2:00 P.M. on May 29, 1979, in the Chambers of the Board of Supervisors at Room 207, County Courthouse, 725 Court Street, Woodland, California, as the time and place for hearing protests to the proposed improvements.

3. The County Clerk is directed to publish, post and mail the notices of improvement required by the Municipal Improvement Act of 1913, and to file an affidavit of compliance. The notice shall be published in the WOODLAND DEMOCRAT.

* * *

ORIGINAL

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 17th day of April, 1979, by the following vote;

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betsy A. Marchand
Chairman, Board of Supervisors

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, County Clerk,
Yolo County, California

By Peter E. Lucas
Deputy

Approved as to Form
Dated 4/16/79
County Counsel of Yolo County

FILED

APR 17 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ DEPUTY

RESOLUTION NO. 79-57

RESOLUTION CALLING FOR CONSTRUCTION BIDS

ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 121
EL MACERO UNIT NO. 5

The Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo resolves:

1. This Board of Supervisors has given preliminary approval to the report of the Engineer of Work for improvements in Assessment District No. 121 (El Macero Unit No. 5), Yolo County, California. The report includes plans and specifications for the construction of improvements described in the report.

2. The County of Yolo will receive sealed proposals for this construction not later than 2:00 p.m. on May 17, 1979, in the office of the Director of Public Works located at 292 West Beamer Street, Woodland, California. At that time and place the sealed proposals will be publicly opened, examined and declared.

3. The Board of Supervisors reserves the power in it discretion to reject all proposals. The award of construction contract, if made, shall be made to the lowest responsible bidder within the time fixed in the specifications (or any extension of time agreed to by the County and the lowest responsible bidder).

4. The County Clerk is directed to publish a notice inviting sealed proposals in the WOODLAND DEMOCRAT in accordance with the Municipal Improvement Act of 1913. The Engineer of Work is authorized to give additional notice and to distribute additional information as necessary in the judgment of the Engineer of Work, to secure competitive bidding.

* * *

ORIGINAL

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 17th day of April, 1979, by the following vote;

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Bobby A. Marchand
Chairman, Board of Supervisors

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, County Clerk,
Yolo County, California

By Peter E. Lucas
Deputy

Approved as to Form
Dated 4/16/79
County Counsel of Yolo County

RESOLUTION NO. 79-58

FILED

APR 17 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ DEPUTY

RESOLUTION ACCEPTING PROPOSAL FOR SPECIAL ASSESSMENT PROCEEDINGS IN PORT SACRAMENTO INDUSTRIAL PARK, COUNTY OF YOLO, CALIFORNIA

The Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo resolves:

Port Sacramento Industrial Park ("the developer") is to undertake the construction of the following described public improvements:

> The acquisition of street and utility improvements in Subdivision No. 2845, Port Sacramento Industrial Park, including concrete curbs and gutters, street grading, paving, storm drainage facilities, sanitary sewers, street signs, barricades and all necessary appurtenances; but excluding the acquisition of water lines.

The developer has proposed to this Board that the Board of Supervisors undertake special assessment proceedings to acquire these public improvements after their completion, the cost of the acquisition to be assessed on property of the developer benefiting from the improvements.

The Board of Supervisors accepts this proposal in principle, subject to the following conditions:

1. That the special assessment proceedings shall be taken under the Municipal Improvement Act of 1913, with improvement bonds to be issued under the Improvement Act of 1911;

2. That unit prices for the construction of the improvements to be acquired shall be submitted to the County Public Works Director in advance of construction for his review and approval, and that the approved unit prices shall be the maximum price to be applied in calculating the cost of acquisition of improvements;

3. That the described improvements shall be certified by the Public Works Director as complete and ready for acceptance before the initiation of special assessment proceedings;

4. That the developer shall submit evidence satisfactory to this Board that the costs proposed to be assessed shall have been actually incurred and paid; and that the developer shall submit a conformed copy of the notice of completion and a title report, prior to confirmation of the assessment, as evidence that no stop notice had been filed within the period allowed by law;

This resolution shall in no way constitute a guarantee or assurance that the special assessment proceedings will be completed, the Board of Supervisors being without jurisdiction to assure the levy of special assessments until conducting a public hearing as required by the Municipal Improvement Act of 1913.

* * *

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 17th day of April, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betsy A. Marchand
Chairman, Board of Supervisors

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, County Clerk,
Yolo County, California

By _____ Deputy.

Approved to Form
Date: 4-17-79

_____
County Counsel of Yolo County.

BL

RESOLUTION NO. 79-59

(Resolution of Intention to Change Street Names)

WHEREAS the County of Yolo has received a request to change the name of: Bufflehead Way and Bufflehead Court to Summerfield Drive and Summerfield Court.

AND WHEREAS the Planning Commission of the County of Yolo, on April 4, 1979, approved said proposed name changes and has recommended said changes to this Board;

AND WHEREAS Section 970.5 of the Streets and Highways Code of the State of California requires that a public hearing be held prior to changing an existing street name;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that notice is hereby given that May 15, 1979, at 10:15 A.M. has been set as the date and time to hold a Public Hearing in the Board of Supervisors Chambers, Room 207, Yolo County Courthouse, Woodland, California to consider a request to change street names as recommended by said Planning Commission.

PASSED AND ADOPTED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE, OF CALIFORNIA, THIS 24th DAY OF April 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Bobbie A. Marchand
Chairman of the Board of Supervisors
County of Yolo, State of California

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Pete E Lucas (Deputy)

Approved as to form:
County Counsel

FILED
APR 24 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Pete E Lucas Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-60

(Grade Crossing Protection at 15th Street SNRR Xing #8-91.4 and SYPDRR Xing #78-1.5)

WHEREAS, the State of California, Department of Transportation has presented a Program Supplement No. 7 to Local Agency to Local Agency-State Agreement #03-5922 (Yolo County Agreement No. 77-201) covering Federal Aid to Yolo County:

AND WHEREAS, Federal Aid Highway funds are available on a 90 %/10 % matching ratio for improvement and protection of railroad grade crossings:

AND WHEREAS, Section 151.3 of the State Budget Act of 1978 made available certain State funds to meet the required matching ration as required by the Federal Highway Administration:

AND WHEREAS, the County of Yolo desire to use said Section 151.3 funds as its share (10%) for construction of the subject crossing protection:

NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved that the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors be authorized to execute the "Program Supplement No. 7"on behalf of the County of Yolo:

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, the 24th day of April ,1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Bobby A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST: PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

BY: Pete E. Lucas, Deputy
(Seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

BY: _____, Deputy
County Counsel

FILED
APR 24 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Pete E. Lucas
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-61

FILED

MAY 11 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ Deputy

RESOLUTION OF THE YOLO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS RELATING TO PROGRAM FUNDING FOR SUBSTANTIALLY HANDICAPPED ADULTS

WHEREAS, school districts in Yolo County have shown progressive leadership in designing programs to meet the needs of the county's developmentally disabled adults; and

WHEREAS, in conjunction with county departments, regional transportation districts and state agencies, there has been developed in Yolo County a comprehensive system of support services to meet the life needs of the county's developmentally disabled citizens; and

WHEREAS, the potential elimination of these educational services and the possible failure of the community-based service system as a result of Proposition 13 were averted by appropriate language in the State's 1978-79 Budget Act requiring school districts and community college districts to maintain a proportionate level of service for educational programs for substantially handicapped persons; and

WHEREAS, under existing law, school districts could eliminate these essential programs serving substantially handicapped persons after June 30, 1979, thereby creating a crisis situation in Yolo County leading to a loss of developmental gains and possible increased state hospital placements;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Yolo County Board of Supervisors favors legislation for adult education programs serving substantially handicapped persons which would require them to be continued at an expenditure level for each component program which is no less than 90 percent of the 1977-78 expenditure level (with an added percentage for inflation) for such programs; and

/ / / /

/ / / /

/ / / /

/ / / /

/ / / /

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Yolo County Board of Supervisors favors legislation for community college noncredit programs for substantially handicapped persons which would require them to be maintained at an expenditure level for each component program which is no less than 85 percent of the 1977-78 expenditure level (with an added percentage for inflation) for such programs.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 24th day of April, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Boyce G. Marchand

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, COUNTY CLERK

BY Peter E. Kucera
Deputy

(seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

County Counsel

MAY 11 1979

FILED

APR 24 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-62

ORDER OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF YOLO COUNTY APPROVING OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE ASSESSMENT ROLL FOR RECLAMATION DISTRICT NO. 900

WHEREAS, Assessment Commissioners were heretofore appointed by this Board to prepare a new Operation and Maintenance Assessment Roll for Reclamation District No. 900 pursuant to a petition by the District Trustees theretofore received by this Board, and

WHEREAS, the said Assessment Commissioners thereafter filed with the Clerk of this Board their Operation and Maintenance Assessment Roll, and

WHEREAS, the Clerk of this Board thereafter gave notice of the hearing on the said Operation and Maintenance Assessment Roll in the manner prescribed by law, and such hearing was held by this Board on February 27, 1979, in accordance with the provisions of the California Water Code, and

WHEREAS, at the hearing of this matter written protests were filed by certain landowners objecting to the valuations set forth on the Operation and Maintenance Assessment Roll and oral testimony was presented in support of said objections, and

WHEREAS, the hearing on said Operation and Maintenance Assessment Roll was continued by this Board until March 20,

1979, at which time further testimony was received from the Commissioners and from protestants, and

WHEREAS, evidence in support of the written protests heretofore filed with the Board, and evidence in support of the Roll as prepared by the Assessment Commissioners and as proposed by the Commissioners, was duly heard and considered, and

WHEREAS, it has been determined that a modification of the valuations as contained in the Assessment Roll filed by the Assessment Commissioners should be made in order to better reflect the benefits derived from the continuance in operation of the District reclamation works in the following respects:

(a) Lands which are zoned for urban uses enjoy the benefit of urban use even though not yet devoted to such use and should receive the same valuation as urban lands;

(b) Benefits derived from the continuance in operation of the District reclamation works will best be reflected by adjusting each zone as defined by the Assessment Commissioners (except as modified in (a) above) to receive the approximate proportionate share of the total assessments as would result if District assessments were apportioned on the basis of the 1978-1979 County Assessment Roll; and

WHEREAS, the corrections requested by the Sacramento-Yolo Port District and consented to by the Assessment Commissioners

Approved as to Form
Dated

should be granted,

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the assessment valuations as set forth in the Operation and Maintenance Assessment Roll filed with this Board by the said Assessment Commissioners be and they are hereby approved with the following modifications:

(a) The boundaries between Zone 1 and Zone 2 south of the Barge Canal shall be modified as set forth in the map prepared by the Planning Department and filed with this Board;

(b) The benefit zones be modified and amended to bear the percentage of total assessment which the lands within each zone would bear if assessments were based on the 1978-1979 County Assessment Roll; and

(c) The corrections requested by the Sacramento-Yolo Port District be made.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the modifications and amendments as set forth in this Order be made by the Assessment Commissioners and the Operation and Maintenance Assessment Roll as so modified be prepared by the said Assessment Commissioners and that a copy of this Order shall be endorsed upon the Operation and Maintenance Assessment Roll as so modified and approved.

Adopted this 24th day of April, 1979.

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

ATTEST: PETER McNAMEE
Ex-Officio Clerk of the
Board of Supervisors

By R. C. Lucas Deputy

Betty A. Marchand
Chairman of the Board of
Supervisors of Yolo County,
State of California

Approved as to Form
Dated
County Counsel of Yolo County

BK

County of Yolo
Board of Supervisors

Resolution No. 79-63

WHEREAS, John Watson has decided to retire at the ripe young age of 63, having worked for the County since January 13, 1956, and

WHEREAS, Mr. Watson has faithfully fulfilled his duties, "generally speaking," as an Agricultural Inspector since 1956, and was assigned the biological duties of certifying to the quality and productiveness of birds and bees; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Watson, having faithfully performed these duties for seven years was ceremoniously elevated to the position of Deputy Agricultural Commissioner in March 1964; and

WHEREAS, the duly appointed authorities mistakenly recognized Mr. Watson's further potential ability and assigned him the duties of Chief Arbitrator of dog problems along with the duties of Assistant Chief of the Yolo County Heavy Rescue Truck; and

WHEREAS, Mr. Watson, showing "some talent," was assigned to the position of Assistant Agricultural Commissioner in November 1969, and thereafter performed all those lowly tasks assigned to the above management position; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors wishes to say thank you to a man who has dedicated twenty-three years of his life to Yolo County, and has given unselfishly of his personal time in the helping of others through the Yolo County Heavy Rescue Truck, the giving of first-aid instruction and disaster drills needed in the accreditation of hospitals throughout Northern California.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Yolo County Board of Supervisors, representing the citizens of Yolo County, extends their sincere appreciation and best wishes in retirement to John Watson.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 24th day of APRIL, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: BLACK, DEMARS, THOMPSON, EDMONDS, and MARCHAND
NOES: NONE
ABSENT: NONE

Betsy Marchand
BETSY A. MARCHAND, CHAIRMAN, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
YOLO COUNTY

FILED
MAY - 4 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Barbara Rodgers
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO 79-64

(Elkhorn Village No. 10, Subdivision)

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, by Agreement No. 76-56 dated April 6, 1976, entered into agreement with the Subdivider of Elkhorn Village No. 10; and

WHEREAS, said agreement included a guarantee that the Subdivider would complete certain public improvements in accordance with Yolo County Standards; and

WHEREAS, said improvements have been completed and are acceptable to the County for operation and maintenance.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that pursuant to Article 8 of said agreement, the County of Yolo does hereby accept the public improvements constructed in connection with Elkhorn Village No 10 Subdivision.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a minimum of 15% of the improvement security be retained until April 24, 1980, in accordance with Articles 9 and 13 of said Agreement.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, on this 1st day of May, 1979. by the following vote:

AYES: DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Black.

Betsy A. Marchand

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ (seal) Deputy

FILED

MAY - 1 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ Deputy

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

County Counsel

FILED

MAY - 2 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-65

(Resolution of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo Endorsing AB-1354)

WHEREAS, the State Legislature has heretofore enacted Government Code Section 74960, et. seq., to prescribe the number, qualifications, and compensation of various officers and employees of the Yolo County Municipal Court; and

WHEREAS, that legislation provides for interim modification by the Board of Supervisors and this Board of Supervisors has from time to time exercised such interim powers; and

WHEREAS, such modifications must be submitted periodically to the State Legislature for ratification; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to a request from this Board of Supervisors, Assemblyman Hannigan has introduced AB-1354 to make such periodic revisions of the number, qualifications, and compensation of the various officers and employees of the Yolo County Municipal Court;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows:

1. This Board hereby endorses and urges passage of AB-1354.
2. This Board acknowledges that enactment of AB-1354 involves no expenditure of State funds and that at present employees of the Municipal Court are funded entirely from local sources.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 1st day of May, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Black.

Betsy A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
May 2, 1979

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

By: Pete D'Lucas
Deputy

(SEAL)

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

RESOLUTION NO. 79-66

(Extending completion date for public improvements required by Agreement No. 74-287, Linden West Unit No. 1)

WHEREAS, Agreement No. 74-287 dated December 23, 1974, established December 23, 1976, as the date for completion of construction of public improvements in and about Subdivision No. 2242; and

WHEREAS, Resolution No. 77-71 extended the completion date to August 1, 1978; and

WHEREAS, the Subdivider has been unable to complete the public improvements within the time limits allowed.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, that the date of completion of the public improvements in and about Subdivision No. 2242 be extended to June 1, 1981.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 1st day of May, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Peter A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By _____, Deputy
(seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
_____
County Counsel

FILED
MAY - 1 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By _____
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-67

(Extending completion date for public improvements required by Agreement No. 75-322, Linden West Unit No. 2)

WHEREAS, agreement No. 75-322 dated October 13, 1975, established October 13, 1977, as the date for completion of construction of public improvements in and about Subdivision No. 2407; and

WHEREAS, the Subdivider has been unable to complete the public improvements within the time limits allowed.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, that the date of completion of the public improvements in and about Subdivision No. 2407 be extended to October 1, 1982.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 1st day of May 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betty A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk,

By _____, Deputy
(seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

_____
County Counsel

FILED

MAY - 1 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-68

(Extending completion date for public improvements required by Agreement No. 75-323, Linden West Unit No. 3.)

WHEREAS, Agreement No. 75-323 dated October 13, 1975 established October 13, 1977, as the date for completion of construction of public improvements in and about Subdivision No. 2424, and;

WHEREAS, The Subdivider has been unable to complete the public improvements within the time limits allowed.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, that the date of completion of the public improvements in and about Subdivision No. 2424 be extended to October 1, 1982.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 1st day of May, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betty A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ Deputy
(seal)

FILED
MAY - 1 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By _____ Deputy

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

_____
County Counsel

BK

FILED

MAY - 81979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ DEPUTY

RESOLUTION NO. 79-69

(Resolution Amending Yolo County Land Acquisition Grant from the U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development)

WHEREAS, the U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development requests a Resolution when making amendments to Housing and Community Development grants; and

WHEREAS, the City of Woodland is requesting an amendment to a grant in which the County of Yolo was the prime sponsor; and

WHEREAS, the City of Woodland's amendment request will move $60,000 from "Land Acquisition" to "Housing Rehabilitation";

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, as follows:

1. That the Yolo County Board of Supervisors approves amending the grant; and
2. That said amendment will permit transferring $60,000 from "Land Acquisition" to "Housing Rehabilitation"; and
3. That the Yolo County Board of Supervisors authorizes submission of this Resolution to the U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 8th day of May, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Black.

Boka G. Marchand

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

BY _____ Deputy

(SEAL)

Approved as to Form

Dated _____

7601 22

County of Yolo | Rm 104 Courthou | 94-6000548

Woodland | Yolo | CA | 95695 | 14.219 Metro. Area Balance

7680 | 12 | 7680 | 17 | 381,000 | 381,000

HCDA Community Develop. Block Grant Program-Discretionary

Yolo County-Cities Neighborhood Housing and Community Development program for selected target areas.
Have Four Negative Declarations covering four separate phases of Project.

Yolo County, CA

DAVID L. BARTON | Rm 104, Courthouse, Woodland | 666-8107

Yolo County

10

7601705131

57

William B. Bickham

PART 1 PART 2 PART 3 PART 4 PART 5

(161)

RECEIVED
APR 18 1979
OFFICE OF COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

AMENDED JANUARY 25, 1979

1. NAME OF APPLICANT: County of Yolo and the Cities of Davis, Winters and Woodland

2. APPLICATION NO.: B-76-DS-06-0005

3. ☒ ORIGINAL ☐ AMENDMENT

ENTITLEMENT APPLICANTS ONLY

4. PROGRAM YEAR: 12 Months

FROM: TO:

ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION	RELATED SHORT-TERM OBJECTIVE	CENSUS TRACT/ ENUMERATION DISTRICT	ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW STATUS	RELATED BUDGET LINE ITEM	ESTIMATED COST ($000) BLOCK GRANT FUNDS PROGRAM YEAR	BLOCK GRANT FUNDS SUBSEQUENT YEAR	BLOCK GRANT FUNDS TOTAL	OTHER FUNDS AMOUNT	OTHER FUNDS SOURCE
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Yolo County (Bryte Area) - To provide storm drainage, curbs and gutters within the selected 4 block area	C-2	101.02	Clearance - No EIS	2	66		66		
City of Davis To acquire land in neighborhoods for construction of 4 low income energy-conserving housing units.	C-3	105.02		1	40		40		
City of Winters To provide street reconstruction projects in designated target areas	C-2	113.00		2	150		150		
City of Woodland To provide residential rehabilitation program	C-1	108.00 111.00		5	125 -65-		125 -65-		
~~b. To acquire land for low-moderate income housing~~	~~C-3~~	~~108.00~~ ~~111.00~~		~~1~~	~~60~~		~~60~~		
		Census Tracts 1970							
GRAND TOTAL					$ 381	$ 0	$ 381	$ 0	

HUD-7015.1 (11-75)

AMENDED JANUARY 25, 1979

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BUDGET	A. ☒ ORIGINAL ☐ AMENDMENT	B. APPLICATION NO. B-76-DS-06-0005
C. NAME OF APPLICANT County of Yolo and the Cities of Davis, Winters, and Woodland	D. PROGRAM YEAR FROM TO	

LINE NO.	E. PROGRAM ACTIVITY	AMOUNT	FOR HUD USE ONLY
1.	ACQUISITION OF REAL PROPERTY	40,000	
2.	PUBLIC WORKS, FACILITIES, SITE IMPROVEMENTS	216,000	
3.	CODE ENFORCEMENT		
4.	CLEARANCE, DEMOLITION, REHABILITATION		
5.	REHABILITATION LOANS AND GRANTS	125,000	
6.	SPECIAL PROJECTS FOR ELDERLY AND HANDICAPPED		
7.	PAYMENTS FOR LOSS OF RENTAL INCOME		
8.	DISPOSITION OF REAL PROPERTY		
9.	PROVISION OF PUBLIC SERVICES		
10.	PAYMENT OF NON-FEDERAL SHARES		
11.	COMPLETION OF URBAN RENEWAL/NDP PROJECTS		
12.	RELOCATION PAYMENTS AND ASSISTANCE		
13.	PLANNING AND MANAGEMENT DEVELOPMENT		
14.	ADMINISTRATION		
15.	CONTINUATION OF MODEL CITIES ACTIVITIES		
16.	SUBTOTAL *(Sum of Lines 1 thru 15)*	381,000	
17.	CONTINGENCIES AND/OR UNSPECIFIED LOCAL OPTION ACTIVITIES *(Not to exceed 10% of line 16)*		
18.	TOTAL PROGRAM ACTIVITY COSTS *(Sum of Lines 16 and 17)*	381,000	

LINE NO.	F. RESOURCES FOR PROGRAM ACTIVITY COSTS			
1.	ENTITLEMENT OR DISCRETIONARY AMOUNT	381,000		
2.	LESS DEDUCTIONS			
3.	ENTITLEMENT/DISCRETIONARY AMOUNT FOR PROGRAM ACTIVITIES *(Line 1 minus 2)*		381,000	
4.	PROGRAM INCOME			
5.	SURPLUS FROM URBAN RENEWAL/NDP SETTLEMENT			
6.	LOAN PROCEEDS			
7.	REPROGRAMMED UNOBLIGATED FUNDS FROM PRIOR PROGRAM YEAR			
8.	TOTAL RESOURCES FOR PROGRAM ACTIVITY COSTS *(Sum of Lines 3-7)*		381,000	

[1] ☐ *Check box if costs include indirect costs which require approval of a cost allocation plan as required by Federal Management Circular 74-4.*

EDITION OF 10-74 IS OBSOLETE

HUD-7015.5 (11-75)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

ASSURANCES

The applicant hereby assures and certifies with respect to the grant that:

(1) It possesses legal authority to apply for the grant, and to execute the proposed program.

(2) Its governing body has duly adopted or passed as an official act a resolution, motion or similar action authorizing the filing of the application, including all understandings and assurances contained therein, and directing and designating the authorized representative of the applicant to act in connection with the application and to provide such additional information as may be required.

(3) It has complied with all the requirements of OMB Circular No. A-95 as modified by Sections 570.300(c) (for entitlement applicants) or 570.400(d) (for discretionary applicants) and that either

(i) any comments and recommendations made by or through clearinghouses are attached and have been considered prior to submission of the application; or

(ii) the required procedures have been followed and no comments or recommendations have been received.

(4) Prior to submission of its application the applicant has:

(i) provided citizens with adequate information concerning the amount of funds available for proposed community development and housing activities, the range of activities that may be undertaken, and other important program requirements;

(ii) held at least two public hearings to obtain the views of citizens on community development and housing needs; and

(iii) provided citizens an adequate opportunity to articulate needs, express preferences about proposed activities, assist in the selection of priorities, and otherwise to participate in the development of the application.

(5) Its chief executive officer or other officer of applicant approved by HUD:

(i) Consents to assume the status of a responsible Federal official under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 insofar as the provisions of such Act apply to the applicant's proposed program pursuant to 24 CFR 570.604; and

(ii) Is authorized and consents on behalf of the applicant and himself to accept the jurisdiction of the Federal courts for the purpose of enforcement of his responsibilities as such an official.

(6) The Community Development Program has been developed so as to give maximum feasible priority to activities which will benefit low or moderate income families or aid in the prevention or elimination of slums or blight. Where all or part of the community development program activities are designed to meet other community development needs having a particular urgency, such needs are specifically described in the application under the Community Development Plan Summary.

(7) It will comply with the regulations, policies, guidelines and requirements of Federal Management Circulars 74-4 and 74-7, as they relate to the application, acceptance and use of Federal funds for this federally-assisted program.

(8) It will administer and enforce the labor standards requirements set forth in Section 570.605 and HUD regulations issued to implement such requirements.

(9) It will comply with all requirements imposed by HUD concerning special requirements of law, program requirements, and other administrative requirements approved in accordance with Federal Management Circular 74-7.

(10) It will comply with the provisions of Executive Order 11296, relating to evaluation of flood hazards.

(11) It will comply with:

(i) Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (P.L. 88-352) and the regulations issued pursuant thereto (24 CFR Part 1), which provides that no person in the United States shall on the ground of race, color, or national origin, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be otherwise subjected to discrimination under any program or activity for which the applicant receives Federal financial assistance and will immediately take any measures necessary to effectuate this assurance. If any real property or structure thereon is provided or improved with the aid of Federal financial assistance extended to the applicant, this assurance shall obligate the applicant, or in the case of any transfer of such property, any transferee, for the period during which the real property or structure is used for a purpose for which the Federal financial assistance is extended or for another purpose involving the provision of similar services or benefits.

(ii) Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968 (P.L. 90-284) as amended, administering all programs and activities relating to housing and community development in a manner to affirmatively further fair housing; and will take action to affirmatively further fair housing in the sale or rental of housing, the financing of housing, and the provision of brokerage services within the applicant's jurisdiction.

(iii) Section [illegible] of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, and the regulations issued pursuant thereto (24 CFR 570.601), which provides that no person in the United States shall, on the ground of race, color, national origin or sex, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under, any program or activity funded in whole or in part with Title I funds.

(iv) Executive Order 11063 on equal opportunity in housing and nondiscrimination in the sale or rental of housing built with Federal assistance.

(v) Executive Order 11246, and all regulations issued pursuant thereto (24 CFR Part 130), which provides that no person shall be discriminated against on the basis of race, color, religion, sex or national origin in all phases of employment during the performance of Federal or federally - assisted contracts. Such contractors and subcontractors shall take affirmative actions to insure fair treatment in employment, upgrading, demotion, or transfer; recruitment or recruitment advertising; layoff or termination, rates of pay or other forms of compensation and selection for training and apprenticeship.

(vi) Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968, as amended, requiring that to the greatest extent feasible opportunities for training and employment be given lower income residents of the project area and contracts for work in connection with the project be awarded to eligible business concerns which are located in, or owned in substantial part by, persons residing in the area of the project.

(12) It will:

(i) In acquiring real property in connection with the community development block grant program, be guided to the greatest extent practicable under State Law, by the real property acquisition policies set out under Section 301 of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 (P.L. 91-646) and the provisions of Section 302 thereof;

(ii) Pay or reimburse property owners for necessary expenses as specified in Section 303 and 304 of the Act; and

(iii) Inform affected persons of the benefits, policies, and procedures provided for under HUD regulations (24 CFR Part 42).

(13) It will:

(i) Provide fair and reasonable relocation payments and assistance in accordance with Sections 202, 203, and 204 of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 and applicable HUD regulations (24 CFR Part 42), to or for families, individuals, partnerships, corporations or associations displaced as a result of any acquisition of real property for an activity assisted under the program;

(ii) Provide relocation assistance programs offering the services described in Section 205 of the Act to such displaced families, individuals, partnerships, corporations or associations in the manner provided under applicable HUD regulations;

(iii) Assure that, within a reasonable time prior to displacement, decent, safe, and sanitary replacement dwellings will be available to such displaced families and individuals in accordance with Section 205(c)(3) of the Act, and that such housing will be available in the same range of choices to all such displaced persons regardless of their race, color, religion, national origin, sex, or source of income;

(iv) Inform affected persons of the benefits, policies, and procedures provided for under HUD regulations; and

(v) Carry out the relocation process in such a manner as to provide such displaced persons with uniform and consistent services, including any services required to insure that the relocation process does not result in different or separate treatment to such displaced persons on account of their race, color, religion, national origin, sex, or source of income.

(14) It will establish safeguards to prohibit employees from using positions for a purpose that is or gives the appearance of being motivated by a desire for private gain for themselves or others, particularly those with whom they have family, business, or other ties.

(15) It will comply with the provisions of the Hatch Act which limit the political activity of employees.

(16) It will give HUD and the Comptroller General through any authorized representative access to and the right to examine all records, books, papers, or documents related to the grant.

BK

FILED

MAY - 9 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ DEPUTY

RESOLUTION NO. 79-70

(Resolution Creating a Revolving Fund for the Family Support Division of the District Attorney's Office)

WHEREAS, Government Code §§29320, et seq. authorize the Board of Supervisors to establish a revolving fund for the use of a person in charge of a department of the County; and

WHEREAS, it appears that some individuals have had their state income tax refunds attached by the District Attorney's Office, Family Support Division, when the obligation to Yolo County has subsequently been satisfied; and

WHEREAS, it appears that the State Franchise Tax Board will not transmit the attached income tax refunds to Yolo County until after June 1, 1979; and

WHEREAS, it appears necessary to establish a revolving fund from which to reimburse those individuals entitled to a state income tax refund which has been attached by Yolo County and said fund may be established pursuant to the above referenced statutes;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, ORDERED AND FOUND by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows:

1. That it is necessary to establish a Family Support Division revolving trust fund for the purpose of eliminating delays in returning to the taxpayer any excess reimbursement obtained by attachment of state income tax returns for assigned child support arrearages;

2. That the amount of the revolving fund be hereby set at a sum of Ten Thousand Dollars ($10,000.00);

3. That the use of the revolving fund is limited to disbursements for the purpose set forth above;

4. That the Auditor of Yolo County be authorized and directed to draw a warrant upon the revolving trust fund at the request of the District Attorney's Office, Family Support Division;

5. That the Treasurer of Yolo County is hereby authorized and directed to honor the hereinabove warrant issued to the Office of the District Attorney, Family Support Division;

6. That upon demand of the Auditor or the Board of Supervisors, the District Attorney shall give an account of the revolving fund for the Office of the District Attorney, Family Support Division;

7. That the Clerk of the Board shall transmit certified copies of this Resolution to the County Auditor and to the County Treasurer.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 8th day of May, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Black.

B. L. C. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

MAY 9 - 1979

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

BY _____ DEPUTY

(SEAL)

Approved as to form

CHARLES R. MACK
COUNTY COUNSEL

BY _____ DEPUTY

BR

FILED
MAY - 9 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By _____ DEPUTY

RESOLUTION NO. 79-71

RESOLUTION GRANTING JURISDICTION TO THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF WOODLAND IN THE MATTER OF 1978 ASSESSMENT DISTRICT, CITY OF WOODLAND, YOLO COUNTY, CALIFORNIA

The Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo resolves:

The City Council of the City of Woodland, did, on the 1st day of May, 1979, adopt a Resolution Requesting Jurisdiction of the County of Yolo in connection with 1978 Assessment District, City of Woodland, Yolo County, California, which resolution proposed the construction of certain improvements, and the City Council of the City of Woodland, did, by adoption of said resolution, request the consent of this Board of Supervisors thereto.

That the public interest and convenience require the making of the improvement described in the above resolution and in the manner therein set forth, and that all of the lands included within the assessment district described in the proposed Resolution of Intention will be benefited by the proposed improvement.

That this Board gives consent to the formation of this assessment district described in the proposed Resolution of Intention, to the making of the improvement therein described, and to the assumption of jurisdiction by the City Council of the City of Woodland for all purposes in connection with the formation of 1978 Assessment District and the making of the improvement, including the levying, collecting and enforcement of the assessment of costs in relation to the benefits received from the various improvements. The County Clerk is directed to certify a copy of this resolution and transmit it to the City Clerk of the City of Woodland.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 8th day of May, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Black.

Betsey A. Marchand
Chairman, Board of Supervisors
County of Yolo, State of California.

ATTEST: BOARD OF

PETER McNAMEE, County Clerk,
Yolo County, California

By [signature]
Deputy

APPROVED TO FORM

[signature]
County Counsel of Yolo County



I hereby certify that the within map showing proposed boundaries of CITY OF WOODLAND 1978 ASSESSMENT DISTRICT PROJECT NO. 78-1 City of Woodland, County of Yolo, State of California was approved by the City Council of the City of Woodland at a regular meeting thereof held on the _____ day of _____, 197__, by its Resolution NO. _____

City Clerk, City of Woodland
County of Yolo, State of California

_____

Filed this _____ day of _____, 197__, in the office of the City Clerk of the City of Woodland, County of Yolo, State of California

City Clerk, City of Woodland
County of Yolo, State of California

_____

BASIS of BEARINGS:
The bearings shown on this District Map are from Recorded Deeds and Recorded Maps and are not rotated to any single base of bearing

LEGEND:
- ——— Indicates City Boundary
- ——·—— Indicates Assessment District Boundary
- ◇ Indicates Page Number
- M.B. 84-79 Indicates Recorded Map, Book, & Page

Filed this _____ day of _____, 197__, at the hour of _____ o'clock __.M. in Book _____ of Maps of Assessment Districts at page _____ in the office of the County Recorder of the County of Yolo, State of California.

County Recorder
County of Yolo, State of California

_____

INDEX MAP
PROPOSED BOUNDARIES
OF
CITY OF WOODLAND
1978 ASSESSMENT DISTRICT
PROJECT NO. 78-1
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ENGINEER OF WORK
LAUGENOUR AND MEIKLE
CIVIL ENGINEERS
P.O. BOX 628
WOODLAND, CA 95695

BY _____
W.L. MEIKLE R.C.E. 8468

SCALE 1" = 1000' DATE Feb 7, 1979 PAGE 1 OF 20



PROPOSED BOUNDARIES
OF
CITY OF WOODLAND
1978 ASSESSMENT DISTRICT
PROJECT NO. 78-1
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
ENGINEER OF WORK
LAUGENOUR AND MEIKLE
CIVIL ENGINEERS
P.O. BOX 818
WOODLAND, CA 95695

Sheet 3 of 22

BK

FILED

MAY 14 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-72

(Resolution of Intention to Dedicate Easements to the City of Woodland for North Cottonwood Street)

WHEREAS, construction of North Cottonwood Street, between West Beamer Street and Woodland Avenue, located within the boundaries of the City of Woodland, has been completed; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the provisions of Government Code Section 25365, the County of Yolo has determined it is in the public interest to dedicate to the City of Woodland the easements for that portion of North Cottonwood Street between West Beamer Street and Woodland Avenue, located within the boundaries of the City of Woodland. Said easements are more particularly described in Exhibit "A"; which is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference; and

WHEREAS, said dedication is necessary for the adoption of North Cottonwood Street between West Beamer Street and Woodland Avenue, into the City of Woodland street system; and

WHEREAS, the easements described in Exhibit "A" are not required for County use; and

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors finds that the dedication of the easements described in Exhibit "A" to the City of Woodland would be beneficial to the County of Yolo.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, hereby declares its intention to dedicate the easements described in Exhibit "A" to the City of Woodland.

Said dedication is being made under the authority granted by Section 25365 of the Government Code of the State of California and will be

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

consummated at the regular meeting of the Board on the 29th day of May, 1979, at 10:00 A.M.

The Clerk is hereby directed to cause notice of this Board's intention to dedicate said easements by causing a copy of the "Notice of Intention to Dedicate Easements" to be published one time, at least one week prior to said meeting, in a newspaper of general circulation published in the County of Yolo. A copy of said Notice is marked Exhibit "B" and is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 8th day of May, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Black.

Betty C. Marchand

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
5-14-79

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, CLERK
BY Peter E. Lucas
DEPUTY

(SEAL)

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

EXHIBIT 'A'

The County of Yolo, a political subdivision, does hereby grant, convey and dedicate to the City of Woodland, a municipal corporation, the right of way and incidents thereto for the purposes described below, upon, over and across the following three parcels of land in the County of Yolo, State of California, described as follows:

PARCEL 1 PUBLIC UTILITIES EASEMENT

An Easement for Public Utilities purposes described as follows:

A 5.00 foot wide strip of land being a portion of Section 30, Township 10 North, Range 2 East, M.D.B. & M., Yolo County, California, said 5.00 foot wide strip being more fully described as follows:

COMMENCING at the intersection of the center lines of Beamer and Cottonwood Streets, being the center of said Section 30, as said intersection appears of record on the Record of Survey filed in Book 10 of Maps and Surveys at page 37 in the Office of the Recorder of the County of Yolo; thence North 00°27'53" East 30.00 feet to the North right-of-way line of Beamer Street; thence South 89°29'37" East 46.10 feet along said North right-of-way line of Beamer Street to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE North 00°30'23" East 5.00 feet to a non-tangent curve; thence along said curve, concave to the Northeast, with a radius of 20.00 feet, a central angle of 70°10'27", subtended by a chord bearing North 54°24'23" West 22.99 feet, an arc length of 24.50 feet to a tangent line; thence North 19°19'10" West 276.55 feet to a tangent curve; thence along said curve, concave to the East, with a radius of 558.00 feet, a central angle of 19°37'28" subtended by a chord bearing North 09°30'26" West 190.19 feet, an arc length of 191.12 feet to a tanget line; thence North 00° 18'18" East 507.42 to a tangent curve; thence along said curve, concave to the East, with a radius of 896.07 feet, a central angle of 17° 23'34", subtended by a chord bearing North 09° 00'05" East 270.97 feet, an arc length of 272.01 feet to a point of compound curve; thence along said compound curve, concave to the Southeast, with a radius of 20.00 feet, a central angle of 72°43'46", subtended by a chord bearing North

54°03'45" East 23.72 feet, an arc length of 25.39 feet; thence leaving said curve, North 00° 25'38" East 5.00 feet to the South right-of-way line of Woodland Avenue; thence leaving the South right-of-way line of Woodland Avenue on a curve tangent to said South right-of-way line, concave to the Southeast with a radius of 25.00 feet, a central angle of 72°43'46", subtended by a chord bearing South 54°03'51" West 29.65 feet, an arc length of 31.73 feet; thence on a tangent curve, concave to the East, with a radius of 901.07 feet, a central angle of 17°23'34", subtended by a chord bearing South 09°00'05" West 272.48 feet, an arc length of 273.53 feet; thence on a tangent line South 00°18'18" West 507.42 feet; thence on a tangent curve concave to the East, with a radius of 563.00 feet, a central angle of 19°37'28" subtended by a chord bearing South 9°30'26" East 191.89 feet, an arc length of 192.83 feet; thence on a tangent line South 19°19'10" East 276.55 feet; thence on a tangent curve, concave to the Northeast, with a radius of 25.00 feet, a central angle of 70° 10'27", subtended by a chord bearing South 54°24'23" East 28.74 feet, an arc length of 30.62 feet to a point of tangency on said North right-of way line of Beamer Street, the true point of beginning.

Containing 0.150 acres

PARCEL 2 DRAINAGE EASEMENT

That certain Drainage Easement granted to the County of Yolo by Western Real Estate Exchange, Inc., recorded on November 7, 1977, in Book 1278 of Official Records at Page 310, Yolo County Records, said drainage easement being more fully described as follows:

A 10.00 foot wide strip of land being a portion of the Northeast ¼ of the Northwest ¼ Section 30 Township 10 North, Range 2 East , M.D.B. & M., Yolo County, California, said 10.00 foot wide strip being more fully described as follows:

The centerline of said 10.00 foot wide strip of land being described as follows:

COMMENCING at the Northeast corner of said Northwest ¼ of Section 30; thence North 89° 33'27" West 5.00 feet along the North line

of said Northwest ¼ of Section 30 to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING OF THE HEREINAFTER DESCRIBED CENTERLINE, THENCE South 00° 18'18" West 1003.35 feet along a line parallel with and 5.00 feet Westerly of the East line of said Northwest ¼ of Section 30 to a tangent curve; thence along said curve, concave to the West, with a radius of 600.00 feet, thru a central angle of 19°37'28" subtended by a chord bearing South 10°07'02" West 204.50 feet, an arc length of 205.51 feet; thence South 19° 55'46" West 120.33 feet to a point in South line of the Northeast ¼ of the Northwest ¼ of said Section 30 that bears North 89°34'22" West 80.27 feet from the Southeast corner of said Northeast ¼ of the Northwest ¼ of Section 30.

The end of the herein described centerline.

PARCEL 3 HIGHWAY EASEMENT

That certain Highway Easement granted to the County of Yolo by Western Real Estate Exchange, Inc., recorded November 7, 1977 in Book 1278 of Official Records at Page 312, said highway easement being more fully described as follows:

A 30.00 foot wide strip of land being a portion of the Northeast ¼ of the Northwest ¼ Section 30, Township 10 North, Range 2 East, M.D.B. & M., Yolo County, California, said 30.00 foot wide strip being more fully described as follows:

BEGINNING, at the Southeast corner of said Northeast ¼ of the Northwest ¼ of Section 30; THENCE North 89°34'22" West 164.74 feet along the South line of said Northeast ¼ of the Northwest ¼ of Section 30; thence North 00°25'38" East 30.00 feet; thence South 89°34'22" East 164.67 feet along a line parallel with and 30.00 feet Northerly of said South line of the Northeast ¼ of the Northwest ¼ of Section 30 to the East line of said Northwest ¼; thence South 00° 18' 18" West 30.00 feet along the East line of said Northwest ¼ to the point of beginning.

Containing 0.113 acres.

PARCEL 4 HIGHWAY EASEMENT

A Parcel of land being a portion of Section 30, T. 10 N., R. 2 E., M.D.B.& M., Yolo County, California, more fully described as follows:

COMMENCING at the intersection of the center lines of Beamer and Cottonwood Streets, being the center of said Section 30, as said intersection appears of record on the Record of Survey filed in Book 10 of Maps and Surveys at page 37 in the Office of the Recorder of the County of Yolo; thence North 00° 27'53" East 30.00 feet to the North right-of-way line of Beamer Street, the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; thence along said North right-of-way line of Beamer Street the following three (3) courses: (1) North 89° 29'37" West 30.00 feet; (2) North 00° 27'53" East 7.00 feet; (3) North 89° 38'51" West 58.11 feet; thence leaving said North right-of-way line on a tangent curve, concave to the Northwest, with a radius of 25.00 feet, a cental angle of 109° 40'19" subtended by a chord bearing North 35° 30'59" East 40.88 feet, an arc length of 47.85 feet; thence on a tangent line North 19° 19'10" West 224.56 feet; thence on a tangent curve, concave to the East, with a radius of 637.00 feet, a central angle of 19° 37' 28", subtended by a chord bearing North 9° 30' 26" West217.11 feet, an arc length of 218.18 feet; thence on a tangent line North 00° 18'18" East 507.42 feet; thence on a tangent curve, concave to the East, with a radius of 975.07 feet, a central angle of 15° 11' 48", subtended by a chord bearing North 7° 54' 12" East 257.86 feet, an arc length of 258.62 feet, thence on a tangent curve, concave to the Southwest, with a radius of 25.00 feet, a central angle of 105° 04' 28", subtended by a chord bearing North 37° 02' 08" West 39.69, an arc length of 45.85 feet to a tangent point on the South right-of-way line of Woodland Avenue as recorded in a deed from the County of Yolo to the City of Woodland as filed in Book 1073 of Official Records at page 628, said point being distant the following two (2) courses from the Northwest corner of Davis Estates Unit Four as said corner appears of record on the Subdivision Map filed in Book 5 of Maps at pages 108 and 109 in the Office of the Recorder of Yolo County: (1) South 00° 18' 18" West 30.00 feet; (2) North 89° 34' 22" West 164.80 feet; thence along said South right-of-way line South 89° 34' 22" East 129.08 feet; thence leaving said South right-of-way line on a tangent curve, concave to the Southeast, with a radius of 25.00 feet, a central angle of 72° 43'46", subtended by a chord bearing South 54° 03' 51" West 29.65 feet, an arc length of 31.73 feet; thence on a tangent curve, concave to the East, with a radius

of 901.07 feet, a central angle of 17° 23' 34", subtended by a chord bearing South 09° 00" 05" West 272.48 feet, an arc length of 273.53 feet; thence on a tangent line South 00° 18' 18" West 507.42 feet; thence on a tangent curve, concave to the East, with a radius of 563.00 feet, a central angle of 19° 37' 28", subtended by a chord bearing South 9° 30' 26" East 191.89 feet, an arc length of 192.83 feet; thence on a tangent line South 19° 19' 10" East 276.55 feet; thence on a tangent curve, concave to the Northeast, with a radius of 25.00 feet, a central angle of 70° 10' 27", subtended by a chord bearing South 54° 24' 23" East 28.74 feet, an arc length of 30.62 feet to a point of tangency on said North right-of-way line of Beamer Street; thence along said North right-of-way line North 89° 29' 37" West 46.10 feet to the point of beginning.

Containing 2.195 acres.

Dated: _____ 1979

_____
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

EXHIBIT "B"

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO DEDICATE EASEMENTS

Pursuant to the provisions of Government Code Section 25365, the County of Yolo has determined it is in the public interest to dedicate to the City of Woodland easements for that portion of North Cottonwood Street between West Beamer Street and Woodland Avenue, located within the boundaries of the City of Woodland. A complete legal description of said easements is available for inspection at the Office of the Director of Public Works of the County of Yolo, 292 West Beamer Street, Woodland, California.

The dedication of the easements is necessary for the adoption of North Cottonwood Street, between West Beamer Street and Woodland Avenue, into the City of Woodland street system. Said easements are not required for County use.

The Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo intends to order the dedication of such easements to the City of Woodland at the regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors to be held on the 29th day of May, 1979, at 10:00 A.M. in the Board of Supervisors' Chambers, Room 207, Yolo County Courthouse, Woodland, California.

PETER McNAMEE, COUNTY CLERK
Ex-Officio Clerk of the Board
of Supervisors

By _____ Deputy

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

FILED
MAY - 91979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
DEPUTY

RESOLUTION NO. 79-73

(Resolution of Acceptance for Parcel Map No. 2706 for Teichert Aggregates)

WHEREAS, the final tracing for Parcel Map 2706 has been prepared and presented to the Board of Supervisors for approval; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission considered and approved a Negative Declaration of Environmental Impact for the proposed project, and

WHEREAS, the tentative map for Parcel Map 2706 has been approved by said Planning Commission; and

WHEREAS, said map contemplates creation of two parcels in the Agricultural General (A-1) Zone, of Yolo County; and

WHEREAS, the final tracing of said Parcel Map has been signed by all necessary parties, pursuant to the provisions of the Government Code of the State of California; and

WHEREAS, said map in all respects complies with the provisions of the State Subdivision Map Act and of the Local Ordinances.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows: (1) Each of the foregoing recitals is true and correct. (2) After consideration of the Negative Declaration of Environmental Impact for the proposed project this Board finds that the project as proposed and approved will not have a significant effect on the environment, and the Negative Declaration on file is hereby approved by this Board. (3) This Board hereby finds that the proposed subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvements, is consistent with general plan adopted for the County of Yolo. (4) This Board hereby accepts on behalf of public, the 60 foot road and utility easment as dedicated on said map. (5) This Board hereby approves said Parcel Map No. 2706, and instructs the Clerk to prepare subject map for transmittal to the County Recorder for filing.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Clerk of this Board hereby is authorized and ordered to file a Notice of Determination upon this project, with a copy of the Negative Declaration attached, said notice to include the decisions of this Board to approve the proposed project, the finding of this Board that the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment, and a statement that no environmental impact report has been prepared, all pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 8th day of May, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Black.

Bob A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
5-9-79

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

BY Pete Eliason, Deputy
(Seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

, Deputy
County Counsel

FILED

MAY 2 9 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By Barbara Rodgers Deputy

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION

NO. 79-20

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21152 and Yolo County Code Section 10-1.910 that the County of Yolo acting by its decision-making officer or body has made the following determination whether to approve or disapprove a project as follows:

1. The decision-making officer or body is the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California.

2. The project is described as follows: Parcel Map No. 2706 created two parcels of 6.65 acres, and 18.25 acres, located on the south side of County Road 20, approximately 9/10 of a mile west of County Road 96. Zoning of the project site area, is Agricultural General (A-1). In August 1978 Yolo County entered into an agreement with Teichert Aggregates for the exchange of property within the Cache Creek area, near County Road 20, with the intent of exchanging a parcel of land owned by Yolo County, for a parcel of equivalent acreage. This Parcel Map was prepared by the County pursuant to Agreement No. 78-212 inorder to create the parcel necessary to effect the terms of said agreement. The parcel to be acquired by Yolo County is the 6.65 acre parcel created by this Parcel Map.

3. The Board of Supervisors accepted Parcel Map No. 2706 for Teichert Aggregates on May 8, 1979, by Resolution No. 79-73, upon the following findings: (1) - The project as proposed and approved will not have a significant effect on the environment; (2) Negative Declaration on file is hereby approved; (3) Proposed Subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvements, is consistent with general plan adopted for the County of Yolo; (4) Board accepts on behalf of public, the 60 foot road and utility easement as dedicated

on said map and (5) No environmental impact report has been prepared, all pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act.

Dated: May 22, 1979.

Yolo County Board of Supervisors
Decision-making Officer or body

BY Peter McNamee

Its Clerk

5-29-79

COUNTY OF YOLO

PLANNING DEPARTMENT
292 WEST BEAMER
WOODLAND, CALIFORNIA 9569)
TELEPHONE 666-8556
AREA CODE 916

June 1, 1977

Negative Declaration
Zone File #2707 & Tent. PM #2706
Department of Public Works
with Teichert Aggregates
Assessor's Parcel No. 25-120-14
HEARING DATE: July 6, 1977

The Yolo County Public Works Department requests a use permit and a Tentative Parcel Map to create a 6.650 acre parcel from an existing 24.904 acre parcel located on the south side of County Road 20, approximately 9/10 of a mile west of County Road 96, in the Agricultural-General (A-1) Zone. The applicant proposes to use the 6.650 acre parcel, which is currently being used by A. Teichert & Sons, for storage of construction aggregate. The Yolo County Public Works Department will acquire the 6.650 acre parcel by trading a 6.650 acre parcel lying westerly of the subject site, which Teichert says will eventually revert back to agricultural usage.

Existing land uses on the parcel are an aggregate concrete plant and two buildings and a propane gas tank. The proposed uses are storage of aggregate material, which already exists at the proposed site and 60' access easement. The hours of operation at the proposed site (6.650 acres)for storage of construction aggregate will be from 7:00 to 3:30. The number of employees will be four.

Project impacts: There will be four employee vehicles at an average per day, and 0-80 customers on service vehicles at an average per day; and heavy equipment and trucks are currently operating on the site.

Although this project might normally have significance, due to the information provided by the applicant, the staff determined a Negative Declaration was appropriate for the following reasons:

1) There will be no change in the environment as the site is presently being used by A. Teichert & Sons for the storage of construction aggregate;

2) The present noise level will be similar to the future noise level because there will not be any significant increase of operating vehicles; and

3) No biological damage will result from storage of aggregate material.

RK

(2)

Item 29

FILED

MAY - 9 1979

PETER J. McCAMLEY, Clerk

By _____ DEPUTY

RESOLUTION NO. 79-74

(Resolution of Acceptance for Parcel Map No. 2885 for Bill Spurlock)

WHEREAS, the final tracing for Parcel Map No. 2885 has been prepared and presented to the Board of Supervisors for approval; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission previously considered and approved an Environmental Impact Report for the Monument Hills Area which discussed the environmental impact of this Project and other Projects proposed in the Hillcrest area of Yolo County; and said Planning Commission has determined that no subsequent EIR need be prepared, pursuant to Title 14, Section 15067 of the California Administrative Code and said environmental impact report demonstrates that the proposed project will have a significant effect on the environment and has been presented to and considered by this Board; and

WHEREAS, the tentative map for Parcel Map No. 2885 has been approved by said Planning Commission; and

WHEREAS, said map contemplates creation of four parcels in the Agricultural General (A-1) Zone, of Yolo County; and

WHEREAS, the final tracing of said Parcel Map has been signed by all necessary parties, pursuant to the provisions of the Government Code of the STate of California; and

WHEREAS, said map in all respects complies with the provisions of the State Subdivision Map Act and of the Local Ordinances.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows: (1) Each of the foregoing recitals is true and correct; (2) This Board, after consideration of the Environmental Impact Report previously filed upon this proposed project and the project area, hereby adopts and approves the final Environmental Impact Report, and finds that said final

EIR has been completed in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act and State Guidelines, and finds that the project will have a significant effect upon the environment. However, the EIR for said project identifies mitigation measures which are hereby found by this board to be appropriate and feasible, which will avoid or mitigate to acceptable levels identified in said EIR the significant effects of the project and which hereby are ordered to be incorporated into this project. (3) This Board hereby finds that the proposed subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvement, is consistent with general plan adopted for the County of Yolo, (4) This Board hereby approves Parcel Map No. 2885 as submitted and instructs the Clerk to prepare said map for transmittal to the County Recorder for filing.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED (1) The Clerk of the Board hereby is authorized and directed to file a Notice of Determination upon the proposed project; said notice shall include the decision of this Board to approve the project, and the finding of this Board that the proposed project will have a significant effect upon the environment. Said Notice also shall include the statement of mitigation measures incorporated into the project as stated hereinabove. Said Notice also shall include the statement that the Environmental Impact Report considered and approved by this Board is the MONUMENT HILLS EIR previously adopted by the Planning Commission which discussed this project and others in the MONUMENT HILLS area, and no subsequent Environmental Impact Report is required pursuant to Title 14, Section 15067 of the California Administrative Code, and said EIR has been prepared pursuant to and is consistent with the California Environmental Quality Act and State Guideline adopted pursuant thereto.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 8th day of May, 1979, by the following vote:

///

///

AYES: DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Black.

Bobby A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA.
5-9-79

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, CLERK,

By Dale E. Sprowls
Deputy

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Edmonds, deputy
COUNTY COUNSEL

FILED
MAY 9 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Barbara Rodgers Deputy

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION

NO. 79-21

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21152 and Yolo County Code Section 10-1.910 that the County of Yolo acting by its decision-making officer or body has made the following determination whether to approve or disapprove a project as follows:

1. The decision-making officer or body is The Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California.

2. The project is described as follows: Parcel Map No. 2885 creates four parcel of five acres each, located at the southwest corner of County Roads 95 and 24. The zoning in the project area is Agricultural General (A-1). The Tentative Map for this land division was approved by the Yolo County Planning Commission on February 21, 1979. All conditions of approval have been complied with.

3. The Board of Supervisors accepted Parcel Map No. 2885 on May 8, 1979, by Resolution No. 79-74, for Bill Spurlock, upon the following findings: (1) This Board, after consideration of the Environmental Impact Report previously filed upon this proposed project and the project area, hereby adopts and approves the final Environmental Impact Report; (2) Final EIR has been completed in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act and State Guidelines, and finds that the project will have a significant effect upon the environment. However, the EIR for said project identifies mitigation measures which are hereby found by this board to be appropriate and feasible, which will avoid or mitigate to acceptable levels identified in said EIR the significant effects of the project and which hereby are ordered to be incorporated into this project; (3) Proposed

subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvement, is consistent with general plan adopted for the County of Yolo; (4) Environmental Impact Report considered and approved by this Board is the MONUMENT HILLS EIR previously adopted by the Planning Commission which discussed this project and others in the MONUMENT HILLS area, and no subsequent Environmental Impact Report is required pursuant to Title 14, Section 15067 of the California Administrative Code.

Dated: May 22, 1979.

Yolo County Board of Supervisors
Decision-making Officer or body

by Peter McNamee

Its Clerk

5-29-79

BK.

FILED
MAY 2 - 9:00 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By [illegible] DEPUTY

(2)
Item 30

RESOLUTION NO. 79-75

(Resolution of Acceptance for Parcel Map No. 2888 for David Scheuring)

WHEREAS, the final tracing for Parcel Map No. 2888 has been prepared and presented to the Board of Supervisors for approval; and,

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission previously considered and approved a Negative Declaration of Environmental Impact in conjunction with application for a variance on this proposed project and said Planning Commission has determined that no subsequent environmental assessment need be prepared, pursuant to Title 14, Section 15067 of the California Administrative Code, and this Board has considered and approved said Negative Declaration; and

WHEREAS, the tentative map for Parcel Map No. 2888 has been approved by said Planning Commission; and

WHEREAS, said map contemplates creation of Two parcels in the Agricultural General A-1 Zone, of Yolo County; and

WHEREAS, the final tracing of said Parcel Map has been signed by all necessary parties, pursuant to the provisions of the Government Code of the State of California; and

WHEREAS, said map in all respects complies with the provisions of the State Subdivision Map Act and of the Local Ordinances,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows: (1) Each of the foregoing recitals is true and correct. (2) After consideration of the Negative Declaration of Environmental Impact for the proposed

project, this Board finds that the project as proposed and approved will not have a signiticant effect on the environment and the Negative Declaration is hereby approved by this Board. (3) This Board hereby finds that the proposed subdivision together with the provisions for its design and improvements, is consistent with general plan adopted for the County of Yolo. (4) This Board hereby approves said Parcel Map No. 2888 as submitted, and instructs the Clerk to prepare said map for transmittal to the County Recorder for filing.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Clerk of this Board hereby is authorized and ordered to file a Notice of Determination upon this project, with a copy of the Negative Declaration attached, said notice to include the decision of this Board to approve the proposed project, the finding of this Board that the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment, and a statement that no environmental impact report has been prepared, all pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 8th day of May, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Black.

Bob A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

5-9-79

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE

By Pete E Lucas, Deputy

APPROVED AS TO FORM

County Counsel

FILED

MAY 29 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By Barbara Rodgers Deputy

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION

NO. 79-22

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN pursuant to Public Resouces Code Section 21152 and Yolo County Code Section 10-1.910 that the County of Yolo acting by its decision-making officer or body has made the following determination whether to approve or disapprove a project as follows:

1. The decision-making officer or body is The Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California.

2. The project is described as follows: Parcel Map No. 2888 separates a 2 acres Parcel from a 97.5 acre Parcel in the Agricultural General (A-1) Zone of Yolo County. The project is located on County Road 22 and 85B. Dedication of additional Right of Way was required as a condition of approval of the project and said dedications have been granted and noted on subject map. The tentative map for this project was approved by the Yolo County Planning Commission on March 7, 1979. All conditions of approval have been complied with.

3. The Board of Supervisors accepted Parcel Map No. 2888 on May 8, 1979, by Resolution No. 79-75, for David Scheuring, upon the following findings: (1) The project as proposed and approved will not have a significant effect on the environment and the Negative Declaration is hereby approved by this Board; (2) Proposed Subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvements, is consistent with general plan adopted for the County of Yolo and (3) No Environmental Impact Report has been prepared, all pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act.

DATED: May 22, 1979.

Yolo County Board of Supervisors
Decision-making Officer or Body

BY Peter McNamee

Its Clerk

COUNTY OF YOLO

PLANNING DEPARTMENT
292 WEST BEAMER
WOODLAND, CALIFORNIA 95695
TELEPHONE 666-8556
AREA CODE 916

October 26, 1978

Negative Declaration
Zone File #2978
David Scheuring, Applicant-owner
Assessor's Parcel No. 49-190-12
HEARING DATE: December 6, 1978

The applicant requests a variance to allow the division of an existing 97.5 acre parcel into a 2-acre parcel and 95.5-acre parcel in the Agricultural General (A-1) Zone. Subject site is located on the southwest corner of the intersection of County Road 22 and 85C near Esparto.

The proposed two acre parcel will contain an existing residence, and the 95.5-acre parcel will also contain a residence, two farm buildings and a bunkhouse.

The staff determined a negative declaration was appropriate for the following reasons:

1. Based upon the responses received from the responsible agencies.

2. That the applicant is aware that the Code only allows one homesite per lot, and that no new homesites can be created on the 95.5 acre parcel.

FILED

MAY - 9 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ DEPUTY

RESOLUTION NO. 79-76

BE IT RESOLVED AND ORDERED, that the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, does hereby authorize and direct CHARLES R. MACK, COUNTY COUNSEL to execute a disclaimer to be filed in that condemnation action filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, and encaptioned: "United States of America, Plaintiff, vs. 13.6 Acres of Land, More or Less, Situate in Yolo County, State of California, this 8th day of May, 1979, by the following vote, to wit:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Bobby G. Marchand

Chairman of the Board of Supervisors, Yolo County, California

5-9-79

(SEAL)

PETER McNAMEE, COUNTY CLERK

ATTEST: Rita E. Lucas

Deputy

APPROVED TO FORM

Date: _____

Robert Rust

County Counsel of Yolo County.

FORM OBO-94
8-8-74
Formerly LAA-94
U.S. GPO: 1978
270-102

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, V. 13.6 Acres of Land, More or Less, in the County of Yolo, State of California; MICHAEL LOPEZ, et al., and Unknown Owners, Defendants.	CIVIL NO. S-79-55-TJM DISCLAIMER

NOW COMES the County of Yolo one of the defendants in the above-entitled action, and disclaims all right, title and interest in and to the estates and interests taken and condemned by plaintiff in the lands subject of this action, as described in the Complaint in Condemnation and Declaration of Taking on file herein, and to any compensation which may be awarded for the taking thereof.

A resolution of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, authorizing the filing of this Disclaimer, is attached hereto.

DATED: May 14, 1979

COUNTY OF YOLO

By: _____

Title: COUNTY COUNSEL

FORM OBD 94
5-3-74
Formerly LAA-54
U.S. ... 1978
270-167

County of Yolo
Board of Supervisors

FILED
MAY 15 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By [signature] DEPUTY

Resolution No. 79-77

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors wishes to recognize and acknowledge the significant contribution made by Walter M. Cougle to the people of Yolo County; and,

WHEREAS, Walt served as Secretary-Treasurer of the Yolo Sportsmen's Association from 1961 to 1977, and as Secretary to the Yolo County Fish and Game Advisory Committee from 1970 to 1979; and,

WHEREAS, during this time the Pheasant Raising Program, formerly done by Fouts Springs Internees, was relocated to Yolo County Airport and Walt was instrumental in bringing about this cooperative program with the County of Yolo, California Department of Fish and Game, and Yolo Sportsmen's Association; and,

WHEREAS, this program represents 20% of all the pheasants released in the wild in cooperation with the Fish and Game Department; and,

WHEREAS, Walt was a major proponent in efforts to increase the effectiveness of enforcing Fish and Game regulations, in helping to save the Cache Creek Tule Elk herd, and assisted in the creation of the Putah Creek and Cache Creek Regional Park areas; and,

WHEREAS, Walt was working on a reseeding program for public lands in western Yolo County hills at the time of his death, and was also assisting on a program to reduce coyote depredation and its effect on fawn survival in Yolo County; and,

WHEREAS, Walt gave so much of his time and effort to the preservation and management of wildlife in Yolo County.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Supervisors wishes to express its thanks and appreciation for the valuable contribution and service of Walter M. Cougle to the people of Yolo County.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 15th day of May, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: BLACK, DEMARS, THOMPSON, EDMONDS, AND MARCHAND

NOES: NONE

ABSENT: NONE

Betsy Marchand

BETSY A. MARCHAND, CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, COUNTY OF YOLO

FILED
MAY 22 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
BY Carole E. Graves DEPUTY

RESOLUTION NO. 79-78

(Resolution of Certification of Mileage)

WHEREAS, Section 2121 of the Streets and Highways Code provides that in May of each year, each County shall submit to the State of California, Department of Transportation, any additions or exclusions from its mileage of maintained County roads, specifying the termini and mileage of each route added to or excluded; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Public Works of the State of California certified to the State Controller that the total mileage of maintained County roads in Yolo County was 920.250 miles, as certified March 31, 1979; and

WHEREAS, the County now finds that the total mileage of maintained County roads is 922.315 miles.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS RESOLVED that the mileage of maintained County roads be corrected in accordance with the indication in color on the accompanying map(s) marked "Exhibit A" and in accordance with the additions or corrections to the attached tabulation marked "Exhibit B', both Exhibits being hereby made a part of the resolution.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, on the 22nd day of May, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betsy A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER MCHAREE, COUNTY CLERK

BY _____, Deputy

(seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

BY _____, Deputy
County Counsel

CITY-COUNTY ROAD SYSTEM EDP INPUT FORM HOO 342

TOWER COURT	W. CAPITOL AVE.	END	MU	.108	6	4H
WEST ST.	BD 10	BD 9	MU	.060	6	3G
KEGLE DR.	29BW. CAPITOL AVE.	BD9 F ST.	SUU	.380	6	3F

"EXHIBIT B"

CITY-COUNTY ROAD SYSTEM EDP INPUT FORM HCC 342

	TOWN	FROM	TO				
TOWER COURT	W. CAPITOL AVE.	END	MU	.108	6		4H
WEST ST.	BD 10	BD 9	MU	.060	6		3G
KEGLE DR.	29B W. CAPITOL AVE.	BD9 F ST.	SUU	.380	6		3F

"EXHIBIT B"

TS5030 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 02/01/79

COUNTY ROADS MAINTAINED AND OTHER LOCAL ROADS

YOLO (YOL) YEAR 1978

GR SEQ (A)	ITEM (C)	ROAD NUMBER (C)	CO SEQ (E)	NAME OF ROAD OR STREET (F)	FROM BUNGEN (G)	FROM NAME (G)	TO NUMBER (I)	TO NAME (J)	SYS (A)	LENGTH (L)	NON ADD (R)	C I (R)	CONS STAT (S)	Z D (P)	URB SHEET (S)	URB CODNO (S)
05		E014		A ST	E063		E064	FIFTH ST	SU	.060					06	03H
05		E014	10	A ST	E064	FIFTH ST	END		MU	.020					06	02H
05		W570		ACORN CT	1370		END		MU	.060					06	09L
05		W536		ALABAMA AVE	W531		W545		MU	.770					06	06F
05		W525		ALABAMA CT	W531		END		MU	.040					06	06F
		146		ALAMEDA AVE	157		194		MR	2.510					03	10K
05		W542		ALAMEDA ST	137A		SHORT		MU	.300					06	05F
05		LA02		ALDER WY	LA01		138A		RU	.250					07	02G
		31E		ALLEN AVE	310		END		MR	.470					07	02J
		J2CA		ALMOND LN	1036		END		MU	.210					00033	09K
05		ES06		ALPHA ST	ES05		ES01		MR	.300					05	07H
		30E		ALVARADO AVE	100A		OVS		SU	.150	S		UC		08	02C
05		E002		ALVIN ST	E017		E016		MU	.180					06	03H
		100A	60	ANDERSON RD	30		OVS		SR	.450	S		UC		00033	07E
05		E016		ANDRES ST	E026		E033		MU	.350					06	03H
05		BY04	05	ANNA ST	BY10 PRYTE		RD40 KEGLE DR		SU	.650					06	02E
05		BY04	10	ANNA ST	RD40 KEGLE DR		130		SU	.700					06	02F
05		E511		ANTELOPE ST	E505		S-01A		MR	.300					05	03F

PAGE

TES024

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

02/07/79

COUNTY ROADS MAINTAINED AND OTHER LOCAL ROADS

YEAR 1978

YOLO (YOL)

(A)	BP SEQ (B)	ITEM (C)	ROAD NUMBER (D)	CO SEQ (E)	NAME OF ROAD OR STREET (F)	FROM NUMBER (G)	FROM NAME (H)	TO NUMBER (I)	TO NAME (J)	SYS (K)	LENGTH (L)	NON ADD (M)	C I (N)	CONS STAT (O)	I O (P)	MAP SHEET (Q)	COORD (R)	U A (S)
			1	1	ROAD 1	END		SH005		SRS	1.600					2	3B	
			1	3	ROAD 1	SH005		84		SR	3.470					1	1P	
			1	5	ROAD 1	84		END		MR	2.030					1	2N	
			1A		ROAD 1A	2		END		MR	1.430					2	4B	
			2		ROAD 2	99W		83		MR	5.030					2	4B	
			3B		ROAD 3B	89		88D		MR	.250					9	1F	
			4	20	ROAD 4	89		88		MR	1.020					9	2F	
			4	30	ROAD 4	86		END		MR	.800					1	1P	
			5		ROAD 5	89		END		MR	2.300					9	3G	
			5	1	ROAD 5	YH2		8043		MR	.420					9	3D	
			5	3	ROAD 5	8042		99W		MR	.180					9	3F	
			6	20	ROAD 6	86		SH005		SR	2.950					1	1P	
			6	21	ROAD 6	SH005		99W		SR	.300					9	5F	
			6	25	ROAD 6	99P		91A		MR	2.180					2	4C	
			6	40	ROAD 6	SH045		END		MR	.200					2	4F	
			7		ROAD 7	91A		89B		MR	1.530					2	5C	
			7A		ROAD 7A	89A		89		MR	.250					2	7B	
			8	5	ROAD 8	85		86		SR	.910					1	2P	
			8	10	ROAD 8	89A		99W		MR	.930					2	5B	
			10	20	ROAD 10	94		91B		MR	2.470					2	5D	
			10	30	ROAD 10	85		END		MR	.500					1	2N	
			11	20	ROAD 11	93		99W		MR	.680					2	6D	
			11	25	ROAD 11	END		91B		MR	.520					2	6C	
			11	30	ROAD 11	85		END		MR	1.500					1	3N	

PARTS OF EXHIBIT B WERE TOO LARGE
TO MAKE

EXHIBIT A WAS TOO LARGE TO MAKE.

IF YOU REQUIRE COPIES, SEE THE
CLERK OR PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR.

BK

RESOLUTION NO. 79-79

(Designating Riverbank Avenue from Todhunter Avenue to State Highway 16 a through Street)

WHEREAS, Sections 21101, 21351, 21354, 21355 of the Vehicle Code of the State of California authorize the designation of any highway as a through highway requiring all vehicles to stop at the entrance thereto:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED AND ORDERED that Riverbank Avenue Avenue from Todhunter Avenue to State Highway 16 is designated a through street and all traffic is required to stop at County street entrances thereto; and that the Director of Public Works is directed to install stop signs at the County street entrances to Riverbank Avenue:

1. Stopping northbound traffic at Jasmine Street.
2. Stopping northbound traffic at Myrtle Avenue.
3. Stopping northbound traffic at Smilax Avenue.
4. Stopping northbound traffic at Bryte Avenue.
5. Stopping northbound traffic at Yolo Street.
6. Stopping northbound traffic at Solano Street.
7. Stopping northbound traffic at Weber Street.
8. Stopping northbound traffic at Short Street.
9. Stopping northbound traffic at North Hobson Street.

FILED
MAY 29 1979
PETER McNAMEE, CLERK
By Lois E. Lucas
Deputy

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 29th day of May, 1979, by the following vote:

Ayes: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.
Noes: None.
Absent: None.

Betsey A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS,
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, CLERK
By Lois E. Lucas, Deputy
(Seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

County Counsel

FILED

MAY 29 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By Kathy E. Lucas Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-80

(Designating Lisbon Street from Bryte Avenue to Todhunter Avenue a through Street)

WHEREAS, Sections 21101, 21351, 21354, 21355 of the Vehicle Code of the State of California authorize all vehicles to stop at the entrance thereto:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED AND ORDERED that Lisbon Street from Bryte Avenue to Todhunter Avenue is designated a through street; and all traffic is required to stop at County street entrances thereto; and that the Director of Public Works is directed to install stop signs at the County street entrances to Lisbon Street:

1. Stopping southbound traffic at Smilax Avenue.
2. Stopping southbound traffic at Jasmine Street.
3. Stopping northbound and southbound traffic at Myrtle Avenue.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 29th day of May, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betsey A. Marchand

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

Kathy E. Lucas, Deputy

(Seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

County Counsel

FILED

MAY 29 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

Pete E Lucas
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-81

(Designating Bryte Avenue from State Highway 16 to Lisbon Street and from Lisbon Street to Riverbank Avenue, a through street.)

WHEREAS Sections 21101, 21351, 21354, 21355 of the Vehicle Code of the State of California authorize the designation of any highway as a through highway requiring all vehicles to stop at the entrances thereto:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED AND ORDERED that Bryte Avenue from State Highway 16 to Lisbon Street and from Lisbon Street to Riverbank Avenue is designated a through street; and all traffic is required to stop at County street entrances thereto; and that the Director of Public Works is directed to install stop signs at the County street entrances to Bryte Avenue:

1. Stopping westbound and eastbound traffic at Lisbon Street.
2. Stopping eastbound and westbound traffic at Hobson Avenue.
3. Stopping westbound traffic at Anna Street.
4. Stopping westbound traffic at May Street.
5. Stopping westbound traffic at Carrie Street.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 29th day of May, 1979, by the following vote:

Ayes; Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

Noes: None.

Absent: None.

Betty A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By Pete E Lucas, Deputy
(Seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

County Counsel

BR.

9839

Return to:
Pete Lucas
Room 104 Board of Supervision
Courthouse
Woodland, Ca. 95695

RESOLUTION NO. 79-82

Granting of Easements to the City of Woodland for North Cottonwood Street.

FILED
JUN - 6 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Peter McNamee
Deputy

WHERAS, construction of North Cottonwood Street, between West Beamer Street and Woodland Avenue, located within the boundaries of the City of Woodland, has been completed; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the provisions of Government Code Section 25365, the County of Yolo has determined it is in the public interest to dedicate to the City of Woodland the easements described in Exhibit "A", attached hereto, necessary for the adoption of North Cottonwood Street into the City of Woodland street system; and

WHEREAS, the easements described in the attached Exhibit "A" are not required for County use; and

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors has caused notice of this intended action to be published pursuant to Government Code Section 6061 at least one week prior to this resolution in a newspaper of general circulation published in this County; and

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors finds that the dedication of the easements described in the attached Exhibit "A" to the City of Woodland would be beneficial to the County of Yolo.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that each of the foregoing recitals is true and correct and that the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors is hereby authorized and directed to execute the easements in the form as attached hereto marked Exhibit "A" on behalf of the County of Yolo, and the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors is directed to record said easements together with this resolution in the Offical Records of the County Recorder of

Yolo County when said easements have been executed on behalf of the City of Woodland.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 29th day of May, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Bobby A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

BY Pete E Lucas Deputy
(seal)

099839

OFFICIAL RECORDS
YOLO COUNTY, CALIF.
RECORD REQUESTED BY:
COUNTY of YOLO
JUN 4 12 35 PM '79
PETER McNAMEE
COUNTY RECORDER

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

County Counsel, Deputy

EXHIBIT 'A'

The County of Yolo, a political subdivision, does hereby grant, convey and dedicate to the City of Woodland, a municipal corporation, the right of way and incidents thereto for the purposes described below, upon, over and across the following three parcels of land in the County of Yolo, State of California, described as follows:

PARCEL 1 PUBLIC UTILITIES EASEMENT

An Easement for Public Utilities purposes described as follows:

A 5.00 foot wide strip of land being a portion of Section 30, Township 10 North, Range 2 East, M.D.B. & M., Yolo County, California, said 5.00 foot wide strip being more fully described as follows:

COMMENCING at the intersection of the center lines of Beamer and Cottonwood Streets, being the center of said Section 30, as said intersection appears of record on the Record of Survey filed in Book 10 of Maps and Surveys at page 37 in the Office of the Recorder of the County of Yolo; thence North 00°27'53" East 30.00 feet to the North right-of-way line of Beamer Street; thence South 89°29'37" East 46.10 feet along said North right-of-way line of Beamer Street to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; THENCE North 00°30'23" East 5.00 feet to a non-tangent curve; thence along said curve, concave to the Northeast, with a radius of 20.00 feet, a central angle of 70°10'27", subtended by a chord bearing North 54°24'23" West 22.99 feet, an arc length of 24.50 feet to a tangent line; thence North 19°19'10" West 276.55 feet to a tangent curve; thence along said curve, concave to the East, with a radius of 558.00 feet, a central angle of 19°37'28" subtended by a chord bearing North 09°30'26" West 190.19 feet, an arc length of 191.12 feet to a tanget line; thence North 00° 18'18" East 507.42 to a tangent curve; thence along said curve, concave to the East, with a radius of 896.07 feet, a central angle of 17° 23'34", subtended by a chord bearing North 09° 00'05" East 270.97 feet, an arc length of 272.01 feet to a point of compound curve; thence along said compound curve, concave to the Southeast, with a radius of 20.00 feet, a central angle of 72°43'46", subtended by a chord bearing North

54°03'45" East 23.72 feet, an arc length of 25.39 feet; thence leaving said curve, North 00° 25'38" East 5.00 feet to the South right-of-way line of Woodland Avenue; thence leaving the South right-of-way line of Woodland Avenue on a curve tangent to said South right-of-way line, concave to the Southeast with a radius of 25.00 feet, a central angle of 72°43'46", subtended by a chord bearing South 54°03'51" West 29.65 feet, an arc length of 31.73 feet; thence on a tangent curve, concave to the East, with a radius of 901.07 feet, a central angle of 17°23'34", subtended by a chord bearing South 09°00'05" West 272.48 feet, an arc length of 273.53 feet; thence on a tangent line South 00°18'18" West 507.42 feet; thence on a tangent curve concave to the East, with a radius of 563.00 feet, a central angle of 19°37'28" subtended by a chord bearing South 9°30'26" East 191.89 feet, an arc length of 192.83 feet; thence on a tangent line South 19°19'10" East 276.55 feet; thence on a tangent curve, concave to the Northeast, with a radius of 25.00 feet, a central angle of 70° 10'27", subtended by a chord bearing South 54°24'23" East 28.74 feet, an arc length of 30.62 feet to a point of tangency on said North right-of way line of Beamer Street, the true point of beginning.

Containing 0.150 acres

PARCEL 2 DRAINAGE EASEMENT

That certain Drainage Easement granted to the County of Yolo by Western Real Estate Exchange, Inc., recorded on November 7, 1977, in Book 1278 of Official Records at Page 310, Yolo County Records, said drainage easement being more fully described as follows:

A 10.00 foot wide strip of land being a portion of the Northeast ¼ of the Northwest ¼ Section 30 Township 10 North, Range 2 East , M.D.B. & M., Yolo County, California, said 10.00 foot wide strip being more fully described as follows:

The centerline of said 10.00 foot wide strip of land being described as follows:

COMMENCING at the Northeast corner of said Northwest ¼ of Section 30; thence North 89° 33'27" West 5.00 feet along the North line

of said Northwest ¼ of Section 30 to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING OF THE HEREINAFTER DESCRIBED CENTERLINE, THENCE South 00° 18'18" West 1003.35 feet along a line parallel with and 5.00 feet Westerly of the East line of said Northwest ¼ of Section 30 to a tangent curve; thence along said curve, concave to the West, with a radius of 600.00 feet, thru a central angle of 19°37'28" subtended by a chord bearing South 10°07'02" West 204.50 feet, an arc length of 205.51 feet; thence South 19° 55'46" West 120.33 feet to a point in South line of the Northeast ¼ of the Northwest ¼ of said Section 30 that bears North 89°34'22" West 80.27 feet from the Southeast corner of said Northeast ¼ of the Northwest ¼ of Section 30.

The end of the herein described centerline.

PARCEL 3 HIGHWAY EASEMENT

That certain Highway Easement granted to the County of Yolo by Western Real Estate Exchange, Inc., recorded November 7, 1977 in Book 1278 of Official Records at Page 312, said highway easement being more fully described as follows:

A 30.00 foot wide strip of land being a portion of the Northeast ¼ of the Northwest ¼ Section 30, Township 10 North, Range 2 East, M.D.B. & M., Yolo County, California, said 30.00 foot wide strip being more fully described as follows:

BEGINNING, at the Southeast corner of said Northeast ¼ of the Northwest ¼ of Section 30; THENCE North 89°34'22" West 164.74 feet along the South line of said Northeast ¼ of the Northwest ¼ of Section 30; thence North 00°25'38" East 30.00 feet; thence South 89°34'22" East 164.67 feet along a line parallel with and 30.00 feet Northerly of said South line of the Northeast ¼ of the Northwest ¼ of Section 30 to the East line of said Northwest ¼; thence South 00° 18' 18" West 30.00 feet along the East line of said Northwest ¼ to the point of beginning.

Containing 0.113 acres.

BOOK 1278 PAGE 313

PARCEL 4 HIGHWAY EASEMENT

A Parcel of land being a portion of Section 30, T. 10 N., R. 2 E., M.D.B.& M., Yolo County, California, more fully described as follows:

COMMENCING at the intersection of the center lines of Beamer and Cottonwood Streets, being the center of said Section 30, as said intersection appears of record on the Record of Survey filed in Book 10 of Maps and Surveys at page 37 in the Office of the Recorder of the County of Yolo; thence North 00° 27'53" East 30.00 feet to the North right-of-way line of Beamer Street, the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING; thence along said North right-of-way line of Beamer Street the following three (3) courses: (1) North 89° 29'37" West 30.00 feet; (2) North 00° 27'53" East 7.00 feet; (3) North 89° 38'51" West 58.11 feet; thence leaving said North right-of-way line on a tangent curve, concave to the Northwest, with a radius of 25.00 feet, a cental angle of 109° 40'19" subtended by a chord bearing North 35° 30'59" East 40.88 feet, an arc length of 47.85 feet; thence on a tangent line North 19° 19'10" West 224.56 feet; thence on a tangent curve, concave to the East, with a radius of 637.00 feet, a central angle of 19° 37' 28", subtended by a chord bearing North 9° 30' 26" West217.11 feet, an arc length of 218.18 feet; thence on a tangent line North 00° 18'18" East 507.42 feet; thence on a tangent curve, concave to the East, with a radius of 975.07 feet, a central angle of 15° 11' 48", subtended by a chord bearing North 7° 54' 12" East 257.86 feet, an arc length of 258.62 feet, thence on a tangent curve, concave to the Southwest, with a radius of 25.00 feet, a central angle of 105° 04' 28", subtended by a chord bearing North 37° 02' 08" West 39.69, an arc length of 45.85 feet to a tangent point on the South right-of-way line of Woodland Avenue as recorded in a deed from the County of Yolo to the City of Woodland as filed in Book 1073 of Official Records at page 628, said point being distant the following two (2) courses from the Northwest corner of Davis Estates Unit Four as said corner appears of record on the Subdivision Map filed in Book 5 of Maps at pages 108 and 109 in the Office of the Recorder of Yolo County: (1) South 00° 18' 18" West 30.00 feet; (2) North 89° 34' 22" West 164.80 feet; thence along said South right-of-way line South 89° 34' 22" East 129.08 feet; thence leaving said South right-of-way line on a tangent curve, concave to the Southeast, with a radius of 25.00 feet, a central angle of 72° 43'46", subtended by a chord bearing South 54° 03' 51" West 29.65 feet, an arc length of 31.73 feet; thence on a tangent curve, concave to the East, with a radius

of 901.07 feet, a central angle of 17° 23' 34", subtended by a chord bearing South 09° 00" 05" West 272.48 feet, an arc length of 273.53 feet; thence on a tangent line South 00° 18' 18" West 507.42 feet; thence on a tangent curve, concave to the East, with a radius of 563.00 feet, a central angle of 19° 37' 28", subtended by a chord bearing South 9° 30' 26" East 191.89 feet, an arc length of 192.83 feet; thence on a tangent line South 19° 19' 10" East 276.55 feet; thence on a tangent curve, concave to the Northeast, with a radius of 25.00 feet, a central angle of 70° 10' 27", subtended by a chord bearing South 54° 24' 23" East 28.74 feet, an arc length of 30.62 feet to a point of tangency on said North right-of-way line of Beamer Street; thence along said North right-of-way line North 89° 29' 37" West 46.10 feet to the point of beginning.

Containing 2.195 acres.

Dated: May 29, 1979

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

"This conveyance is accepted by the undersigned on behalf of the City of Woodland, pursuant to City Council Resolution No. 1457, recorded October 15, 1959, in the Recorder's Office of the aforesaid County and State."

City Clerk of the City of Woodland

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)
) ss.
COUNTY OF YOLO)

On May 29, 1979, before me, the undersigned, County Clerk of the County of Yolo, personally appeared BETSY A. MARCHAND, known to me to be the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, and also known to me to be the person who executed said document on behalf of the County of Yolo, and acknowledged to me that the County of Yolo executed the within instrument pursuant to a resolution of its Board of Supervisors.

Witness my hand and official seal the day and year first above appearing.

PETER McNAMEE, COUNTY CLERK

By Deputy

9839

BOOK 1369 PAGE 627

FILED
MAY 31 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By [signature] Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-83

RESOLUTION OVERRULING PROTESTS

ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 121
EL MACERO UNIT NO. 5

The Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo resolves:

On May 29, 1979, the Board of Supervisors opened a public hearing on the resolution of intention and the engineer's report on the proposed improvement in Assessment District No. 121 (El Macero Unit No. 5).

At or before the time set for hearing, certain interested persons made protests or objections to the proposed improvement, the extent of the assessment district or the proposed assessment.

The Board of Supervisors hereby overrules each of these protests, written or oral.

The Board of Supervisors finds that the protest against the proposed improvement (including all written protests not withdrawn in writing before the conclusion of the protest hearing) is made by the owners of less than one-half of the area of the land to be assessed for the improvement.

* * *

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 29th day of May, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betsy A. Marchand
Chairman, Board of Supervisors

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE,
County Clerk, County of Yolo,
California

By _____ Deputy

Approved as to Form
Dated 5-31-79
County Counsel of Yolo County

Book

RESOLUTION NO. 79-84

FILED

MAY 31 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ Deputy

RESOLUTION APPROVING REPORT AND ASSESSMENT AND ORDERING IMPROVEMENT

ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 121
EL MACERO UNIT NO. 5

The Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo resolves:

This Board of Supervisors has taken a series of actions preliminary to ordering the improvement in Assessment District No. 121, and now makes the following findings and orders:

1. The Board of Supervisors adopted a map showing the boundaries of the land benefited by the proposed improvement. A copy of the boundary map was filed in the office of the County Recorder of the County of Yolo in the Book of Maps of Assessment Districts.

2. The Board of Supervisors adopted its resolution of intention to order the improvement described therein under the Municipal Improvement Act of 1913, and directed NK Engineering & Surveying, Inc., as the Engineer of Work for the assessment district, to prepare the report required by Section 10204 of the Streets and Highways Code.

The improvement is generally described as follows:

> The construction of concrete curbs and gutters, paving (consisting of aggregate base with asphaltic concrete surface), onsite and offsite storm drainage facilities, a system of sanitary sewers, street signs, a system of domestic water supply and all necessary appurtenances for a complete scheme of public improvements in El Macero Subdivision Unit No. 5, County of Yolo.

ORIGINAL

3. The Engineer of Work filed the report as directed, and the Board of Supervisors called a hearing on the report as required by Section 10301 of the Streets and Highways Code. Notice of the hearing was given by publication, by street posting and by mailing to affected property owners, all according to the Municipal Improvement Act of 1913. Affidavits of publication, posting and mailing were filed with the County Clerk.

4. At the time and place for which notice was given, the Board of Supervisors conducted a public hearing and gave every interested person an opportunity to object to the proposed improvement, the extent of the assessment district, or the proposed assessment. Following the hearing, at the direction of the Board of Supervisors, the Engineer of Work filed an amended report.

5. The Board of Supervisors finds that written protests against the proposed improvement have not been made by owners representing more than one-half of the area of the land to be assessed for the improvement.

6. The documents and events described in paragraphs 1 to 4, inclusive, are stated here in tabular form, with their dates and, where appropriate, their numbers. All documents are now on file with the County Clerk.

Document or Event	Date	Number
(a) Resolution approving boundary map	12/26/78	78-196
(b) Boundary Map filed with County Recorder	12/29/78	--
(c) Resolution of Intention	12/26/78	78-197
(d) Filing of Engineer's Report	4/17/79	--
(e) Resolution accepting report	4/17/79	79-56
(f) Affidavit of publication of Notice of Improvement		
(g) Certificate of Mailing Notice of Improvement	4/18/79	--
(h) Certificate of Posting Notice of Improvement	5/4/79	--
(i) Public Hearing Conducted	5/29/79	--
(j) Amended Engineer's Report Filed	5/29/79	

7. The Board of Supervisors approves the Amended Engineer's Report and each component part of it, including each exhibit incorporated by reference in the report.

8. The Board of Supervisors finds that the Engineer of Work, in the Amended Report, has fairly and properly apportioned the cost of the improvement to each parcel of land in the assessment district in proportion to the estimated benefits to be received by each parcel, respectively, from the improvement. The Board of Supervisors hereby confirms and levies each individual assessment as stated in the Amended Engineer's Report.

9. This Board of Supervisors orders the improvement described in paragraph 2 and as detailed in the Amended Engineer's Report.

10. Serial bonds representing unpaid assessments of one hundred fifty dollars ($150) or more, and bearing interest at a rate not to exceed eight percent (8%) per annum, will be issued in the manner provided in the Improvement Act of 1911 (Part 5 of Division 7, Streets and Highways Code), and the last installment of the bonds shall mature fourteen years from the second day of

January next succeeding the next September 1st following their date. The bonds shall be payable to bearer and shall include a redemption premium of five percent (5%) of the unmatured principal.

11. According to Section 10603 of the Streets and Highways Code, the Board of Supervisors designates the County Treasurer to collect and receive payment of the assessments.

12. If, on completion of the improvement and payment of all costs, any surplus remains in the improvement fund for the assessment district, the surplus shall be distributed as follows:

a. If the surplus does not exceed five percent (5%) of the total amount expended from the improvement, or $1000, whichever is less, the surplus shall be transferred to the general fund of the County.

b. If the surplus exceeds the amount set forth in subparagraph a., the surplus shall be credited to each assessment according to Section 10427.1 of the Streets and Highways Code.

* * *

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 29th day of May, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betsy A. Marchand
Chairman, Board of Supervisors

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, County Clerk,
Yolo County, California

By Pete E. Lucas
Deputy

Approved as to Form
Dated 5-31-79
County Counsel of Yolo County

Book

FILED

MAY 31 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-85

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING CHANGE ORDERS

ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 121
EL MACERO UNIT NO. 5

The Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo resolves:

The Board of Supervisors hereby authorizes the Director of Public Works to issue change orders for the work in Assessment District No. 121 (El Macero Unit No. 5), Yolo County, California, under the following conditions:

1. To correct clerical and technical errors in the plans and specifications.
2. To modify the design of the improvement to accord with better engineering practice or to achieve economy of construction.
3. To adjust the design of the improvement to utility locations, soil conditions, or other conditions unknown or uncertain when plans were drawn.

Cost increases in change orders issued under this authority shall not aggregate more than the amount set aside for construction contingencies in the cost estimate approved by the Board of Supervisors.

* * *

ORIGINAL

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 29th day of May, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betsey A. Marchand
Chairman, Board of Supervisors

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE,
County Clerk, County of Yolo,
California

By Pete E. Lucas
Deputy

Approved as to Form
Dated 5-21-79
County Counsel of Yolo County

RESOLUTION NO. 79-86

FILED
MAY 31 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By [signature] Deputy

RESOLUTION AWARDING CONTRACT
ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 121
(EL MACERTO UNIT NO. 5)

The Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo resolves:

The County of Yolo has received public bids for the construction of improvements in Assessment District No. 121 (El Macero Unit No. 5), Yolo County, California.

The Engineer of Work has reviewed the bids and now recommends award of the construction contract to the lowest possible bidder,

TEICHERT CONSTRUCTION

whose bid price is $894,641.00.

The Board of Supervisors therefore awards the contract to that bidder. All other bids are rejected.

The Board of Supervisors directs the County Clerk to publish a notice of award of contract.

The Board of Supervisors authorizes and directs the Chairman and the County Clerk to sign the written contract for this construction when it is presented to them for signature, along with the surety bonds and insurance certificates required by the construction specifications.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 29th day of May, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betsy A. Marchand
Chairman, Board of Supervisors

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE,
County Clerk, County of Yolo,
California

By Jule E. Lucas
Deputy

Approved as to Form
Dated 5-31-79
County Counsel of Yolo County

FILED

MAY 31 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-87

RESOLUTION AMENDING RESOLUTION OF INTENTION

ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 121 (EL MACERO UNIT NO. 5)

The Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo resolves:

1. Resolution of Intention No. 78-197 for Assessment District No. 121 (El Macero Unit No. 5), Yolo County, California, adopted by the Board of Supervisors on December 26, 1978, is hereby amended by deleting the following sentence:

> The one percent (1%) penalty first imposed shall be retained by the Treasurer as a cost of servicing the delinquency and all subsequent penalties shall be paid to the holder of the bond along with and as a part of such defaulted payment.

and substituting the following:

> The one percent (1%) penalty first imposed and all subsequent penalties shall be paid to the holder of the bond along with and as part of such defaulted payment.

* * *

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 29th day of May, 1979, by the following vote, to wit:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betsy C. Marchand
Chairman, Board of Supervisors

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, County Clerk,
Yolo County, California

By _____ Deputy

Approved as to Form
Dated 5-31-79
County Counsel of Yolo County

ORIGINAL

FILED
MAY 31 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Pete E. Lucas
Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-88

RESOLUTION ORDERING SALE OF BONDS
ASSESSMENT DISTRICT NO. 121
EL MACERO UNIT NO. 5

The Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo resolves:

The Board of Supervisors accepts the offer of Stone & Youngberg (attached to this resolution and by reference incorporated in it), to purchase all of the improvement bonds to be issued in Assessment District No. 121 (El Macero Unit No. 5), Yolo County, California.,

The Board of Supervisors directs the sale and delivery of the bonds to the offeror in accordance with the terms and conditions stated in the offer.

* * *

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 29th day of May, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betsey A. Marchand
Chairman, Board of Supervisors

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE,
County Clerk, County of Yolo,
California

By Pete E. Lucas
Deputy

Approved as to Form
Dated 5/31-79
County Counsel of Yolo County

ORIGINAL

STONE & YOUNGBERG

MEMBERS: PACIFIC STOCK EXCHANGE

May 17, 1979

Board of Supervisors of Yolo County
County Court House
725 Court Street
Woodland, California 95695

RE: Yolo County Improvement Bonds
A. D. #121 El Macero Unit #5

Gentlemen:

In accordance with our negotiations with the owner of the properties within the above-described district, we are pleased to submit the following bid for all the bonds to issue.

> We bid Ninety-Five Percent (95%) of the principal amount without accrued interest for all bonds to bear interest at the rate of Seven and One-Half Percent (7 1/2%) per annum.

This bid on our part is subject to the following terms and conditions:

1. Bonds shall be issued pursuant to the Municipal Improvement Act of 1913 and the Improvement Act of 1911.

2. Principal shall mature in 15 equal annual installments from January 2, 1980, through January 2, 1994, and shall be subject to call prior to maturity at the unpaid principal amount plus a premium of 5%.

3. The first interest installment shall be payable January 2, 1980, and semi-annually thereafter until maturity.

4. The bonds shall be dated July 2, 1979, and be ready for delivery on or about their date but no later than September 4, 1979.

5. The amount of bonds to issue shall not exceed $1,600,000 par value.

6. The bonds shall be accompanied by the unqualified legal opinion of Sturgis, Ness & Brunsell of Emeryville, California. The cost of such legal opinion to be included in the cost of the proceedings.

7. The purchase price shall be paid in full when the bonds are delivered to us by the County accompanied by the legal opinion and non-litigation certificate.

We appreciate the opportunity to submit this bid.

Sincerely,

STONE & YOUNGBERG

Richard A. Scibird

RAS/dks

BK

(18)

County of Yolo
Board of Supervisors

FILED
JUN 11 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By BARBARA A. RODGERS
Deputy

Resolution No. 79-89

WHEREAS, Earl Balch was appointed the first Director of Parks, which later became Parks and Recreation Department, and has held that position since January 1, 1966; and

WHEREAS, Earl has guided the planning and development of the County's park system from the "idea" stage to "reality" resulting in such facilities as the Cache Creek Canyon Regional Park, Guinda Park and the Broderick Boat Ramp, plus many other facilities, all of which are enjoyed today and will be for years to come; and

WHEREAS, Earl, with unwavering and dedicated spirit has prepared the greatest, most comprehensive, extensive and complete environmental documents ever to have been filed in Yolo County; and

WHEREAS, when the "chips were down" during the Yolo County drought, and rain was holier than gasoline, single-handedly and with courage matched by no one, Earl volunteered to go on vacation, thereby bringing torrents of rainfall to all in the valley and his family; and

WHEREAS, Earl has guided the Airport Committee, Historical Society Committee, Museum Advisory Committee, Fish and Game Advisory Board, and the Parks and Recreation Committee along with numerous other groups, boards, committees and commissions, and was always the first to volunteer the services of his staff, who served unflinchingly while Earl managed to successfully play the role of the Director; and

WHEREAS, Earl, having fulfilled his destiny serving the citizens of Yolo County through dedicated service in the development of the County's park system is now going on to a new career in the private sector of the business world.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors hereby wishes to express its appreciation and thanks to Earl Balch, and further extends its congratulations and best wishes for the future to Earl and his family.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 29th day of May, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, and Marchand
NOES: None
ABSENT: None

Betsy A. Marchand
BETSY A. MARCHAND, CHAIRMAN, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
YOLO COUNTY

BK

FILED

JUN - 5 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By [signature]
DEPUTY

RESOLUTION NO. 79-90

(Resolution Making Application for a Launching Facility Grant From Cal-Boating)

WHEREAS, the County of Yolo is desirous of continuing the improvements to the launching facility in Knights Landing area to meet the boating needs of the people of Yolo County; and

WHEREAS, Cal-Boating provides launching facility grant funds to counties, cities and districts for the construction and development of small craft launching facilities; and

WHEREAS, the County of Yolo has conducted a feasibility report which shows the project to increase the capacity of the ramp while resulting in no increase in maintenance; and

WHEREAS, the County of Yolo is willing to enter into a Construction and Operation Agreement to provide for the maintenance and operation of the proposed facilities;

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Yolo County Board of Supervisors by the adoption of this Resolution hereby make application to Cal-Boating for a grant for the purpose of constructing Phase II improvements to the small craft launching facility in the Knights Landing area;

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that JANET M. RUGGIERO, Assistant Director of Planning, is authorized to perform those duties and functions necessary to the fulfillment of this resolution.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 5th day of June, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betsy A. Marchand

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER MCNAMEE, CLERK

BY Pete C Lucas DEPUTY

(SEAL)

BK

FILED

JUN 12 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Peter E. Spencer
DEPUTY

RESOLUTION NO. 79-91

(Resolution of Acceptance for Parcel Map 2873 for Fred Kondo)

WHEREAS, the final tracing for Parcel Map 2873 has been prepared and presented to the Board of Supervisors for approval; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission considered and approved a Negative Declaration of Environmental Impact for the proposed project, and this Board has considered and approved said Negative Declaration; and

WHEREAS, the tentative map for Parcel Map 2873 has been approved by said Planning Commission; and

WHEREAS, said map contemplates creation of two parcels in Multiple Family Residential (R-3) Zone of Yolo County; and

WHEREAS, the final tracing of said Parcel Map has been signed by all necessary parties, pursuant to the provisions of the Government Code of the State of California; and

WHEREAS, said map in all respects complies with the provisions of the State Subdivision Map Act and of the Local Ordinances;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows: (1) Each of the foregoing recitals is true and correct. (2) After consideration of the Negative Declaration Impact for the proposed project, this Board finds that the project as proposed and approved will not have a significant effect on the environment, and the Negative Declaration on file is hereby approved by this Board. (3) This Board hereby finds that the proposed subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvements, is consistent with general plan adopted for the County of Yolo. (4) This Board hereby accepts on behalf of the public, the drainage and utility easements as dedicated on said map. (5) This Board hereby approves said Parcel Map No. 2873 as submitted, and instructs the Clerk to prepare subject map for transmittal to the County Recorder for filing.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Clerk of this Board hereby is authorized and ordered to file a Notice of Determination upon this project, with a copy of the Negative Declaration attached, said notice to include the decision of this Board to approve the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment, and a statement that no environmental impact report has been prepared, all pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 12th day of June, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betty A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

BY: Pete E Lucas, Deputy

(Seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

County Counsel, Deputy

FILED

JUN 19 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By Barbara A Rodgers

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION

NO. 79-27

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21152 and Yolo County Code Section 10-1.910 that the County of Yolo acting by its decision-making officer or body has made the following determination whether to approve or disapprove a project as follows:

1. The decision-making officer or body is The Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California.

2. The project is described as follows: Request for tentative parcel map to divide an existing 5.432 acre parcel into a .365 acre parcel and a 5.067 acre parcel, located on both sides of Westacre Road south of the Sacramento Northern Railway, West Sacramento, in the Multiple Family Residential (R-3) Zone. Project is located on Westacre Road, just south of Manzanita Way in West Sacramento. The tentative map was approved by the Yolo County Planning Commission on January 17, 1979. All conditions of approval have been complied with.

3. The project was approved by the Board of Supervisors on June 12, 1979, by accepting Parcel Map No. 2873, for Fred Kondo, by Resolution No. 79-91 based upon the following findings: 1 - Board finds that the project as proposed and approved will not have a significant effect on the environment, and the Negative Declaration on file is hereby approved; 2 - Board hereby finds that the proposed subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvements, is consistent with general plan adopted for the County of Yolo; 3 - Board hereby accepts on behalf of the public, the drainage and utility easements as dedicated on said map; 4 - Board hereby approves said Parcel Map No. 2873 as submitted, and instructs the Clerk to prepare subject map for transmittal to the County Recorder for filing.

4. No Environmental Impact Report has been prepared, pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act.

Dated: June 18, 1979.

Yolo County Board of Supervisors
Decision-making officer or body

PETER McNAMEE

Its Clerk

BY Barbara A Rodgers
Deputy

NEGATIVE DECLARATION
OF ENVIRONMENTAL SIGNIFICANCE

29-11

The Yolo County Planning Commission has reviewed all available information on the environmental effect of the project described as

request for tentative parcel map to divide an existing 5.432 acre parcel into a .365 acre parcel and a 5.067 acre parcel, located on both sides of Westacre Road south of the Sacramento Northern Railway, West Sacramento, in the Multiple Family Residential (R-3) Zone.
Assessor's Parcel No. 8-290-07 and 09.
Tentative Parcel Map #2873

The Planning Commission has determined that:

1. The project described is one that would ordinarily be expected to have a significant effect on the environment, and

2. The project will have no significant adverse effect on the environment due to circumstances peculiar to the specific project.

An Environmental Impact Report will not be required.

Said determination was made at a Public Hearing held on January 17, 1979 in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act and Title 10 of the Yolo County Code. Appeals from this determination may be made within fifteen (15) days of said action by filing a letter of appeal with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors as prescribed for appeals from decisions of the Board of Zoning Adjustment.

Robert A. Peterson

Robert A. Peterson
Director of Planning
Secretary, Yolo County Planning Commission

FILED
FEB - 2 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Barbara Rodgers
Deputy

COUNTY OF YOLO

PLANNING DEPARTMENT
292 WEST BEAMER
WOODLAND, CALIFORNIA 95695
TELEPHONE 666-8556
AREA CODE 916

November 21, 1978

Negative Declaration
Fred Kondo etal (Oliveri Realty, Inc.), Applicant-owner
Assessor's Parcel Nos. 8-290-07 and 09
Tentative Parcel Map #2873
HEARING DATE: January 17, 1979

Responses from responsible agencies regarding the attached parcel map have indicated that a negative declaration is appropriate. Taking these responses and comments into consideration, staff has determined that a negative declaration is the appropriate level of environmental review.

Please indicate any additional comments regarding the appropriateness of the negative declaration on the attached response form.

Book

FILED

JUN 12 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By PETE E LUCAS
DEPUTY

RESOLUTION NO. 79-92

(Resolution Providing for the Determination of Mileage Rates for County Officers, Employees, and Members of County Commissions and Committees)

WHEREAS, the provisions of Section 2-6.24 of the Yolo County Code requires the Board of Supervisors to designate the amount of mileage for each mile traveled on County business in a private passenger vehicle; and

WHEREAS, this Board desires to provide for the specification of such mileage rates.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows:

1. The mileage rate designated pursuant to Yolo County Code Section 2-6.24 for the use of private passenger vehicle shall be NINETEEN CENTS (19¢) per mile effective June 1, 1979.

2. Commencing October 1, 1979, the mileage rate shall be determined quarterly by the Board of Supervisors based upon the mean price of unleaded gasoline established as provided below. The mileage rate shall be set in accordance with the following table:

Mean Price	Reimbursement Rate
$0.71/gallon or less	$0.17 per mile
$0.72 - $0.85/gallon	$0.18 per mile
$0.86 - $0.99/gallon	$0.19 per mile
$1.00 - $1.13/gallon	$0.20 per mile
$1.14 - $1.27/gallon	$0.21 per mile
$1.28 - $1.41/gallon	$0.22 per mile
$1.42 - $1.55/gallon	$0.23 per mile
$1.56 - $1.69/gallon	$0.24 per mile
$1.70 - $1.83/gallon	$0.25 per mile
$1.84 - $1.97/gallon	$0.26 per mile

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

Adjustments may be in an upward or downward direction.

3. The mean price of unleaded gasoline shall be determined in the following manner:

(a) The Director of Personnel Services shall cause to be selected randomly a sample of five (5) major brand retail outlets from a list of such retailers operating within the boundaries of Yolo County.

(b) On the first, tenth, and twentieth days of September, December, March, and June the pump price of unleaded regular gasoline at each sampling location will be recorded by the County. Both "self-serve" and "full-serve" prices will be recorded where available.

(c) A mean price will then be determined from the total number of recorded prices. The mean will be rounded to the nearest one cent.

(d) The mean price thus determined will be used to set the reimbursement rate for the next calendar quarter.

4. The Board of Supervisors under this procedure shall not establish a mileage rate in excess of $0.26 per mile.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 12th day of June, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Black.

Helen A. Marchand

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

By: Pete E. Lucas
Deputy

(SEAL)

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

BK

FILED

JUN 12 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ DEPUTY

RESOLUTION NO. 79-93

(Resolution Requesting Inclusion of Supplemental Water Needs of Yolo, Solano, Lake and Napa Counties, California, in any Legislation to Re-Authorize the Central Valley Project and in any Environmental Impact Studies Relating Thereto)

WHEREAS, the United States Department of the Interior is planning legislation which would reauthorize the federal Central Valley Project for the purpose of, among other things:

1. Authorizing the Secretary to operate the Central Valley Project in accordance with State delta standards.
2. Including protection and enhancement of fish and wildlife as project purposes, and giving equal consideration to fish and wildlife and Delta water quality in the allocation of future water supplies.
3. Authorizing the relocation of the Contra Costa canal intake from its present location to the Clifton Court forebay.
4. Providing funding for a conjunctive ground and surface water management program in Central Valley national wildlife refuges to increase water supplies to these refuges.

WHEREAS, the environmental impact statement to be prepared by the Department, through the Bureau of Reclamation will discuss options and impacts relating thereto; and

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

WHEREAS, the addition of such additional purposes, taken together with the substantial reduction in Central Valley project yield announced by the Bureau of Reclamation in December, 1978, threatens the water supplies from the Tehama-Colusa Canal which the people of Yolo, Solano, Lake and Napa Counties have been planning for and supporting for almost thirty years.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, that the Secretary of the Interior should forthwith commit the Department and the Bureau of Reclamation to meeting the legitimate needs of these counties without delay and in accordance with long-standing assurances and commitments.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the proposed Environmental Study should include comprehensive evaluations of any possible withholding of or further delay in furnishing water on the social and economic well-being of the people of these counties.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 12th day of June, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Bob A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

/ / /

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

By: Peter E. Lyons
Deputy

(SEAL)

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

FILED

JUN 12 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By PETE E Lucas DEPUTY

RESOLUTION NO. 79-93

(Resolution Requesting Inclusion of Supplemental Water Needs of Yolo, Solano, Lake and Napa Counties, California, in any Legislation to Re-Authorize the Central Valley Project and in any Environmental Impact Studies Relating Thereto)

WHEREAS, the United States Department of the Interior is planning legislation which would reauthorize the federal Central Valley Project for the purpose of, among other things:

1. Authorizing the Secretary to operate the Central Valley Project in accordance with State delta standards.
2. Including protection and enhancement of fish and wildlife as project purposes, and giving equal consideration to fish and wildlife and Delta water quality in the allocation of future water supplies.
3. Authorizing the relocation of the Contra Costa canal intake from its present location to the Clifton Court forebay.
4. Providing funding for a conjunctive ground and surface water management program in Central Valley national wildlife refuges to increase water supplies to these refuges.

WHEREAS, the environmental impact statement to be prepared by the Department, through the Bureau of Reclamation will discuss options and impacts relating thereto; and

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO. (916) 666-8211

WHEREAS, the addition of such additional purposes, taken together with the substantial reduction in Central Valley project yield announced by the Bureau of Reclamation in December, 1978, threatens the water supplies from the Tehama-Colusa Canal which the people of Yolo, Solano, Lake and Napa Counties have been planning for and supporting for almost thirty years.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, that the Secretary of the Interior should forthwith commit the Department and the Bureau of Reclamation to meeting the legitimate needs of these counties without delay and in accordance with long-standing assurances and commitments.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the proposed Environmental Study should include comprehensive evaluations of any possible withholding of or further delay in furnishing water on the social and economic well-being of the people of these counties.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 12th day of June, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

BETSY A. MARCHAND
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

/ / /

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO. (916) 666-8211

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

By: PETE E. LUCAS
Deputy

(SEAL)

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

Draft

Charles:
Twyla wants the Resolution typed for the June 12th meeting at 11:30AM

RESOLUTION REQUESTING INCLUSION OF SUPPLEMENTAL WATER NEEDS OF YOLO, SOLANO, LAKE AND NAPA COUNTIES, CALIFORNIA, IN ANY LEGISLATION TO RE-AUTHORIZE THE CENTRAL VALLEY PROJECT AND IN ANY ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STUDIES RELATING THERETO.

WHEREAS the United States Department of the Interior is planning legislation which would reauthorize the federal Central Valley Project for the purpose of, among other things:

1. Authorizing the Secretary to operate the CVP in accordance with State delta standards.

2. Including protection and enhancement of fish and wildlife as project purposes, and giving equal consideration to fish and wildlife and Delta water quality in the allocation of future water supplies.

3. Authorizing the relocation of the Contra Costa canal intake from its present location to the Clifton Court forebay.

4. Providing funding for a conjunctive ground and surface water management program in Central Valley national wildlife refuges to increase water supplies to these refuges.

WHEREAS the environmental impact statement to be prepared by the Department, through the Bureau of Reclamation will discuss options and impacts relating thereto; and

WHEREAS the addition of such additional purposes, taken together with the substantial reduction in Central Valley Project yield announced by the Bureau of Reclamation in December, 1978 threatens the water supplies from the Tehama-Colusa Canal which the people of Yolo, Solano, Lake and Napa Counties have been planning for and supporting for almost thirty years.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo that the Secretary of the Interior should forthwith commit the Department and the Bureau of Reclamation to meeting the legitimate needs of these counties without delay and in accordance with long-standing assurances and committments.

RESOLVED FURTHER that the proposed Environmental Study should include comprehensive evaluations of any possible withholding of or further delay in furnishing water ~~[illegible]~~ on the social and economic wellbeing of the people of these counties.

FILED

JUL - 3 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Barbara Rodgers
DEPUTY

RESOLUTION NO. 79-94

BE IT RESOLVED AND ORDERED, that the Board of Supervisors of the County of YOLO, State of California, does hereby authorize and direct MELBA YEE, DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL, to execute a disclaimer to be filed in that condemnation action filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California, and encaptioned: "United States of America, Plaintiff, vs. 10.4 Acres of Land, More or Less, Situate in YOLO Count(y)(ies), State of California, this 26th day of June, 19 79, by the following vote, to wit:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Bobby A. Marchand
Chairman of the Board of Supervisors, YOLO County, California.
7-3-79

(SEAL)

ATTEST: Peter McNamee, Clerk

BY Vickie Mayhan Deputy

16—76514-1
GPO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, -vs- 10.4 Acres of Land, More or Less, in the County of Yolo, State of California; TARATWO, A General Partnership Composed of STEPHEN M. KASS, RUTH F. KASS, MIKE CARBAHAL and DOROTHY J. CARBAHAL, et al., and Unknown Owners, Defendants.	CIVIL NO. S79-58-PCW DISCLAIMER

NOW COMES the County of YOLO one of the defendants in the above-entitled action, and disclaims all right, title and interest in and to the estates and interests taken and condemned by plaintiff in the lands subject of this action, as described in the Complaint in Condemnation and Declaration of Taking on file herein, and to any compensation which may be awarded for the taking thereof.

A resolution of the Board of Supervisors of the County of YOLO, authorizing the filing of this Disclaimer, is attached hereto.

DATED: June

COUNTY OF YOLO

By: [signature]

Title: Deputy County Counsel

10-76314-1
GPO



COUNTY OF YOLO

Woodland, California

95695

PERSONNEL SERVICES AGENCY
Courthouse - Room 102
Telephone (916) 666-8386

July 30, 1979

FILED
AUG 3 - 1979
PETER J. NAMEE, Clerk
By [signature] Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-95.5

Honorable Betsy A. Marchand, Chairman
and Members of the Board of Supervisors

Subject: Amendment to Salary Resolution

Dear Ms. Marchand:

Due to a clerical error the salary range for Community Development Director was incorrectly listed in the new Salary Resolution. The range was listed as 25.90 ($2590-$3149). The correct range should be 24.30 ($2430-$2955), which provides for a 6% increase.

THEREFORE, it is recommended:

1. That the Board of Supervisors adopt the following Resolution:

   Resolution No. 79-95.5 resolves that Salary Resolution No. 79-95 is amended to:

   Delete the following from Section 102. Alphabetical Listing of Classifications.

Class Code	Classification	Rep Unit	Range	Bi-weekly	Monthly	Annual
000842	Community Development Director	M	25.90	$1195.38-$1453.38	$2590-$3149	$31,080-$37,788

   Add the following to Section 102. Alphabetical Listing of Classifications.

Class Code	Classification	Rep Unit	Range	Bi-weekly	Monthly	Annual
000842	Community Development Director	M	24.30	$1121.54-$1363.85	$2430-$2955	$29,160-$35,460

Very truly yours,

Floyd E. McCain

FLOYD E. McCAIN
DIRECTOR OF PERSONNEL

FM:t
Approved by the Yolo County Board of Supervisors
Resolution No. 79-95.5 Date July 31, 1979
By Betsy A. Marchand Chairman
cc: County Counsel, Auditor-Controller, Data Processing

AUG 3 1979

FILED

JUL 23 - 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ DEPUTY

Book Item 16

RESOLUTION NO. 79-95

(Resolution of Acceptance for Parcel Map No. 2860 for Charles Joens)

WHEREAS, the final tracing for Parcel Map No. 2860 has been prepared and presented to the Board of Supervisors for approval; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission, in conjunction with an application for a use permit on this proposed project, has considered and approved an Addendum to a previously considered and approved Environmental Impact Report for the Recreation Beach RV Park which discussed the environmental impact of this Project and other Projects proposed in the Recreation Beach area of Yolo County; and said Planning Commission had determined that no subsequent EIR need be prepared, pursuant to Title 14, Section 15067 of the California Administrative Code and said environmental impact report demonstrates that the proposed project will have a significant effect on the environment and has been presented to and considered by this Board; and

WHEREAS, the tentative map for Parcel Map No. 2860 has been approved by said Planning Commission; and

WHEREAS, said map contemplates creation of two parcels in the Agricultural General (A-1) Zone, of Yolo County; and

WHEREAS, the final tracing of said Parcel Map has been signed by all necessary parties, pursuant to the provisions of the Government Code of the State of California; and

WHEREAS, said map in all respects complies with the provisions of the State Subdivision Map Act and of the Local Ordinances.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows: (1) Each of the foregoing recitals is true and correct; (2) This Board, after consideration of the Environmental

Impact Report previously filed, and Addendum, upon this proposed project and the project area, hereby adopts and approves the final Environmental Impact Report, and finds that said final EIR has been completed in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act and State Guidelines, and finds that the project will have a significant effect upon the environment. However, the EIR for said project identifies mitigation measures which are hereby found by this Board to be appropriate and feasible, which will avoid or mitigate to acceptable levels identified in said EIR the significant effects of the project and which hereby are ordered to be incorporated into this project, (3) This Board hereby finds that the proposed subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvement, is consistent with general plan adopted for the County of Yolo, (4) This Board hereby approves Parcel Map No. 2860 as submitted and instructs the Clerk to prepare said map for transmittal to the County Recorder for filing.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED (1) The Clerk of the Board hereby is authorized and directed to file a Notice of Determination upon the proposed project; said notice shall include the decision of this Board to approve the project, and the finding of this Board that the proposed project will have a significant effect upon the environment. Said Notice also shall include the statement of mitigation measures incorporated into the project as stated hereinabove. Said Notice also shall include the statement that the Environmental Impact Report considered and approved by this Board is the Recreation Beach RV Park previously adopted by the Planning Commission which discussed this project and others in the Recreation Beach area, and no subsequent Environmental Impact Report is required pursuant to Title 14, Section 15067 of the California Administrative Code, and said EIR has been prepared pursuant to and is consistent with the

California Environmental Quality Act and State Guideline adopted pursuant thereto.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California this 3rd day of July ,1979, by the following vote:

AYES: DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Black.

Bobby C. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

By Pete E. Lucas
Deputy

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

COUNTY COUNSEL

FILED

JUL 10 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Barbara A Rodgers
DEPUTY

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION
NO. 79-35

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21152 and Yolo County Code Section 10-1.910 that the County of Yolo acting by its decision-making officer or body has made the following determination whether to approve or disapprove a project as follows:

1. The decision-making officer or body is the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California.

2. The project is described as follows: Proposed Parcel Map No. 2860 for Charles Joens divides an existing 472.1 acre parcel into one 470 acre parcel (Parcel 1) and one 2.1 acre parcel (Parcel 2). Project is located on the north side of State Highway 128, east of Monticello Dam, west of Winters, in the A-1 Zone. Purpose of this division is to allow the merger of Parcel 2 with a 8.4 acre parcel (Parcel 1 of Tentative Parcel Map No. 2822) contiguous on the south. Land use will remain unchanged as a result of this division. The Tentative Map for this Project was approved by the Yolo County Planning Commission on December 6, 1978. All conditions have been complied with.

3. The project was approved by the Board of Supervisors on July 3, 1979, in which they accepted Parcel Map No. 2860 for Charles Joens, by Resolution No. 79-96 based upon the following findings: 1 - Adopted and approves the final Environmental Impact Report; 2 - Finds that said final EIR has been completed in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act and State Guidelines; 3 - finds that the project will have a significant effect upon the environment; 4 - EIR for said project identifies mitigation measures which are hereby found by this Board to be appropriate and feasible, which will avoid or mitigate to acceptable levels identified in said EIR the significant effects of the project and which hereby are ordered to be incorporated into this project; 5 - Finds that the proposed subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvement, is consistent with general plan adopted for the County of Yolo;6 - Board approves Parcel Map No. 2860 as submitted; 7 - The Environmental Impact Report considered and approved by the Board is the Recreation Beach RV Park previously adopted by the Planning Commission which discusded this project and others in the Recreation Beach area and no subsequent EIR is required pursuant to Title 14, Section 15067 of the California Administrative Code, and said EIR has been prepared pursuant to and is consistent with the California Environmental Quality Act and Stete Guidelines adopted pursuant thereto.

Dated: July 10, 1979.

Yolo County Board of Supervisors

PETER McNAMEE

its Clerk

BY Barbara A Rodgers
Deputy

FILED

JUL 11 1979

PETE V. McNAMEE, Clerk

By [illegible] DEPUTY

RESOLUTION NO. 79-97

(Resolution of Acceptance for Parcel Map No. 2822 for Vincent Talluto)

WHEREAS, the final tracing for Parcel Map No. 2822 has been prepared and presented to the Board of Supervisors for approval; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission, in conjunction with an application for a use permit on this proposed project, has considered and approved an Addendum to a previously considered and approved Environmental Impact Report for the Recreation Beach RV Park which discussed the environmental impact of this Project and other Projects proposed in the Recreation Beach area of Yolo County; and said Planning Commission has determined that no subsequent EIR need be prepared, pursuant to Title 14, Section 15067 of the California Administrative Code and said environmental impact report demonstrates that the proposed project will have a significant effect on the environment and has been presented to and considered by this Board; and

WHEREAS, the tentative map for Parcel Map No. 2822 has been approved by said Planning Commission; and

WHEREAS, said map contemplates creation of four parcels in the Agricultural General (A-1) Zone, of Yolo County; and

WHEREAS, the final tracing of said Parcel Map has been signed by all necessary parties, pursuant to the provisions of the Government Code of the State of California; and

WHEREAS, said map in all respects complies with the provisions of the State Subdivision Map Act and of the Local Ordinances.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows: (1) Each of the foregoing recitals is true and correct; (2) This Board, after consideration of the Environmental

Impact Report previously filed, and Addendum, upon this proposed project and the project area, hereby adopts and approves the final Environmental Impact Report, and finds that said final EIR has been completed in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act and State Guidelines, and finds that the project will have a significant effect upon the environment. However, the EIR for said project identifies mitigation measures which are hereby found by this Board to be appropriate and feasible, which will avoid or mitigate to acceptable levels identified in said EIR the significant effects of the project and which hereby are ordered to be incorporated into this project; (3) This Board hereby finds that the proposed subdivison, together with the provisions for its design and improvement, is consistent with general plan adopted for the County of Yolo; (4) This Board hereby approves Parcel Map No. 2822 as submitted and instructs the Clerk to prepare said map for transmittal to the County Recorder for filing.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED (1) The Clerk of the Board hereby is authorized and directed to file a Notice of Determination upon the proposed project; said notice shall include the decision of this Board to approve the project, and the finding of this Board that the proposed project will have a significant effect upon the environment. Said Notice also shall include the statement of mitigation measures incorporated into the project as stated hereinabove. Said Notice also shall include the statement that the Environmental Impact Report considered and approved by this Board is the Recreation Beach RV Park previously adopted by the Planning Commission which discussed this project and others in the Recreation Beach area, and no subsequent Environmental Impact Report is required pursuant to Title 14, Section 15067 of the California Administrative Code, and said EIR has been prepared pursuant to and is consistent with the

California Environmental Quality Act and State Guideline adopted pursuant thereto.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California this 3rd day of July, 1979 by the following vote:

AYES: DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Black.

Betsey A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

By Pete E. Lucas
Deputy

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

County Counsel of Yolo County

JUL 11 1979

FILED

JUL 10 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Barbara A. Rodgers
DEPUTY

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION
NO. 79-36

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21152 and Yolo County Code Section 10-1.910 that the County of Yolo acting by its decision-making officer or body has made the following determination whether to approve or disapprove a project as follows:

1. The decision-making officer or body is The Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California.

2. The project is described as follows: Parcel Map No. 2822 which divides an existing 9.5 acre parcel into one 8.4 acre parcel (Parcel 1) and one 1.1 acre parcel (Parcel 2) and also divides an existing 11 acre parcel into one 4.5 acre parcel (Parcel 3) and one 6.5 acre parcel (Parcel 4). Project is located on the north side of State Highway 128, east of Monticello Dam, west of Winters, in the A-1 Zone. Purpose of this division is to allow the merger of Parcels 2 and 3 with a 470 acre parcel (Parcel 1 of Tentative Parcel Map No. 2860) contiguous on the north. Land use will remain unchanged as a result of this division. Tentative map for this project was approved by the Yolo County Planning Commission on December 6, 1978. All conditions of approval have been complied with.

3. The project was approved on July 3, 1979, by Resolution No. 79-97, accepting Parcel Map No. 2822 for Vincent Talluto based upon the following findings: 1 - Adopts and approves the final EIR and finds that said final EIR has been completed in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act and State Guidelines; 2 - Finds that the project will have a significant effect upon the environment; 3 -However the EIR for said project identifies mitigation measures which are hereby found by this Board to be appropriate and feasible which will avoid or mitigate to acceptable levels identified in said EIR the significant effects of the project and which are hereby are ordered to be incorporated in to this project; 4 - Finds that the proposed subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvement, is consistent with general plan adopted for the County of Yolo; 5 - approves Parcel Map No. 2822 as submitted; 5 - The Environmental Impact Report considered and approved by this Board is the Recreation Beach RV Park previously adopted by the Planning Commission which discussed this project and others in the Recreation Beach area and no subsequent EIR is required pursuant to Title 14, Section 15067 of the California Administrative Code and said EIR has been prepared pursuant to and is consistent with the California Environmental Quality Act and State Guidelines adopted pursuant thereto.

Dated: July 10, 1979.

Yolo County Board of Supervisors

PETER McNAMEE

its Clerk

BY Barbara A. Rodgers
Deputy

Book 79-37

FILED

JUL - 6 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By [signature] DEPUTY

RESOLUTION NO. 79-98

(Resolution of Acceptance for Parcel Map No. 2908 for Port Sacramento Land Company)

WHEREAS, the final tracing for Parcel Map No. 2908 has been prepared and presented to the Board of Supervisors for approval and acceptance and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission previously considered and approved an Environmental Impact Report for the Port Area of West Sacramento, which discussed the environmental impacts of this Project and other Subdivisions within the Project area and and said Planning Commission has determined that no subsequent EIR need be prepared, pursuant to Title 14, Section 15067 of the California Administrative Code; said Environmental Impact Report demonstrates that the proposed project will have a significant effect on the environment and has been presented to and considered by this Board; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission approved the tentative map for Parcel Map No. 2908 on May 16, 1979; and

WHEREAS, said map creates 2 lots from an existing single lot in the Heavy Industrial (M-2) Zone of Yolo County; and

WHEREAS, the final map of Parcel Map No. 2908 has been signed by all necessary parties, pursuant to the provisions of the Government Code of the State of California; and

WHEREAS, the improvements have been assured and the map in all respects complies with the provisions of the State Subdivision Map Act and of the local ordinances.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Yolo County as follows: (1) Each of the foregoing recitals is true and correct; (2) This Board, after consideration of the Environmental

Impact Report previously filed upon this proposed project and the project area, hereby adopts and approves the final Environmental Impact Report, and finds that said final EIR has been completed in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act and State Guidelines, and finds that the project will have a significant effect upon the environment. However, the Environmental Impact Report on said Project identifies several mitigation measures which are hereby found by this Board to be appropriate and feasible, and ordered to be incorporated into this Project; (3) This Board hereby finds t hat the proposed subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvement, is consistent with general plan adopted for the East Yolo area of the County of Yolo; (4) This Board hereby approves Parcel Map No. 2908 for Port Sacramento Land Company as submitted and instructs the Clerk to prepare Parcel Map No. 2908 for transmittal to the County Recorder for filing.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Clerk of this Board hereby is authorized and directed to file a Notice of Determination upon the proposed project; said notice shall include the decision of this Board to approve the project; and the finding of this Board that the proposed project will have a significant effect upon the environment. Said notice shall also include the statement that mitigation measures identified in said Environmental Impact Report are incorporated in said Project as stated herein above.id notice also shall include the statement that the Environmental Impact Report considered and approved by this Board is the West Sacramento Port Center EIR previously adopted by the Planning Commission, which discussed this Project and others in the East Yolo Area, and no subsequent environmental impact report is required, pursuant to Title 14, Section 15067 of the California Administrative Code and said EIR has been prepared pursuant to and is consistent with

the California Environmental Quality Act and State Guidelines adopted pursuant thereto.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 3rd day of July, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Black

Betsey G. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

By Pete E Lucas, Deputy
(Seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

[illegible], Deputy
County Counsel

JUL 6 1979

RESOLUTION NO. 79-99

WHEREAS, the County of Yolo has traffic signals installed at the intersections of West Capitol Avenue at Harbor Boulevard, Merkley Avenue at Westacre Road, and West Capitol Avenue at Westacre Road; and,

WHEREAS, the County does not have adequate personnel or equipment for the maintenance of such traffic signals:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the State of California Department of Transportation, be requested to maintain said signal system at an estimated cost of $5,000.00 for the 1979-80 fiscal year.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the following "Save Harmless" provision is approved by the Board in connection with such maintenance.

> "It is expressly understood and agreed by the County that the State of California and its officers and its employees shall not be answerable or accountable in any manner for injuries to or the death of persons or for any damage to property resulting from the operation of or the failure to maintain the traffic signals.
>
> The County agrees to indemnify and save harmless the State of California and its officers and employees from all suits, actions, or claims of any characters brought as a consequence of the operation of, or the failure to maintan the traffic signals."

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Director of Public Works be authorized to deposit sufficient funds not to exceed $5,000.00 with the Department of Transportation for the maintenance of said signals during the 1979-80 fiscal year..

PASSED AND ADOPTED BY the Board of Supervisors, County of Yolo, State of California on the 3rd day of July 1979 by the following vote:

/////

/////

/////

FILED

JUL 3 - 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ DEPUTY

AYES: DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Black

Baker C. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

BY Peter E. Lee Deputy
(Seal)

Approved as to Form

Dated .....

County Counsel of Yolo County

BK

FILED

JUL 23 1979

PETER MCNAMEE, Clerk
By Barbara Rodgers
DEPUTY

RESOLUTION NO. 79-100
(Resolution of Acceptance for Parcel Map No. 2903 for West Sacramento Port Center)

WHEREAS, the final tracing for Parcel Map 2903 has been prepared and presented to the Board of Supervisors for approval; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission considered and approved a Negative Declaration of Environmental Impact for the proposed project, and

WHEREAS, the tentative map for Parcel Map 2903 has been approved by said Planning Commission; and

WHEREAS, said map contemplates creation of two parcels in the Agricultural General (A-1) Zone, of Yolo County; and

WHEREAS, the final tracing of said Parcel Map has been signed by all necessary parties, pursuant to the provisions of the Government Code of the State of California; and

WHEREAS, said map in all respects complies with the provisions of State Subdivision Map Act and of the Local Ordinances.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows: (1) Each of the foregoing recitals is true and correct. (2) After consideration of the Negative Declaration of Invironmental Impact for the proposed project this Board finds that the project as proposed and approved will not have a significant effect on the environment, and the Negative Declaration on file is hereby approved by this Board. (3) This Board hereby finds that the proposed subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvements, is consistent with general plan adopted for the County of Yolo. (4) This Board hereby approves said Parcel Map No. 2903, and instructs the Clerk to prepare subject map for transmittal to the County Recorder for filing.

/////

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Clerk of this Board hereby is authorized and ordered to file a Notice of Determination upon this project, with a copy of the Negative Declaration attached, said notice to include the decisions of this Board to approve the proposed project, the finding of this Board that the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment, and a statement that no environmental impact report has been prepared, all pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED THIS 10th day of July, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Bette A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

By Peter E Lucas Deputy
(Seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Deputy
County Counsel
7-20-79

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION
NO. 79-38

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21152 and Yolo County Code Section 10-1.910 that the County of Yolo acting by its decision-making officer or body has made the following determination whether to approve or disapprove a project as follows:

1. The decision-making officer or body is The Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California.

2. The project is described as follows: Parcel Map No. 2903 which divides an existing 191.633 parcel into two parcels, one containing 126.841 acres and one containing 64.792 acres. The project is located between the Sacramento Northern Railroad right of way and the Sacramento River, south of Davis Road, Southport. Zoning is A-1 Zone. Tentative Map for this project was approved by the Yolo County Planning Commission on June 6, 1979. All conditions of approval have been complied with.

3. The project was approved on July 10, 1979, by Resolution No. 79-100 for the West Sacramento Port Center based upon the following findings: 1 - Finds that the project as proposed and approved will not have a significant effect on the environment and the Negative Declaration onfile is hereby approved; 2 - Finds that the proposed subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvements, is consistent with general plan adopted by the County of Yolo; 3 - Approves said Parcel Map No. 2903; 4 - No Environmental Impact Report has been prepared, all pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act.

Dated: July 19, 1979.

Yolo County Board of Supervisors

PETER McNAMEE
its Clerk

BY Barbara A. Rodgers
Deputy

FILED

JUL 19 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Barbara A. Rodgers
DEPUTY

FILED

JUL 2 3 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Barbara A. Pedersen
DEPUTY

RESOLUTION NO. 79-101
(Resolution of Acceptance for Parcel Map No. 2887 for Frank Rodgers)

WHEREAS, the final tracing for Parcel Map 2887 has been prepared and presented to the Board of Supervisors for approval; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission considered and approved a Negative Declaration of Environmental Impact for the proposed project, and

WHEREAS, the tentative map for Parcel Map 2887 has been approved by said Planning Commission; and

WHEREAS, said map contemplates creation of two parcels; one in the Agricultural General (A-L) Zone and one in the Agricultural General/Sand and Gravel (A-1/S-G) Zone.

WHEREAS, the final tracing of said Parcel Map has been signed by all necessary parties, pursuant to the provisions of the Government Code of the State of California; and

WHEREAS, said map in all respects complies with the provisions of the State Subdivision Map Act and of the Local Ordinances.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows: (1) Each of the foregoing recitals is true and correct. (2) After consideration of the Negative Declaration of Environmental impact for the proposed project this Board finds that the project as proposed and approved will not have a significant effect on the environment, and the Negative Declaration on file is hereby approved by this Board. (3) This Board hereby finds that the proposed subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvements, is consistent with general plan adopted for the County of Yolo. (4) This Board hereby approves said Parcel Map No. 2887, and instructs the Clerk to prepare subject map for transmittal to the County Recorder for filing.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Clerk of this Board hereby is authorized and ordered to file a Notice of Determination upon this project, with a copy of the Negative Declaration attached, said notice to include the decisions of this Board to approve the proposed project, the finding of this Board that the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment, and a statement that no environmental impact report has been prepared, all pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 10th day of July, 1979 by the following vote:

AYES Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betty C. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
7-20-79

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

By Pete Chuas Deputy
(Seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Robert Middaugh, Deputy
County Counsel
7-20-79

FILED

JUL 23 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Roland Rodgers
DEPUTY

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION
NO. 79-39

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21152 and Yolo County Code Section 10-1.910 that the County of Yolo acting by its decision-making officer or body has made the following determination whether to approve or disapprove a project as follows:

1. The decision-making officer or body is The Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California.

2. The project is described as follows: Parcel Map No. 2887 divides an existing 98.9 acre parcel into two parcels, one containing 30.0 acres and one containing 68.9 acres. The Project is located on the east side of County Road 96 and north of County Road 20. Zoning is Agricultural General/Sand and Gravel (A-1/S-G) Zone for the 30.0 acre parcel and Agricultural Preserve (A-P) Zone for the 68.9 acre parcel. The purpose of this division is the proposed sale of the 30.0 acre parcel. Tentative Map for this project was approved by the Yolo County Planning Commission on April 4, 1979. All conditions of approval have been complied with.

3. The project was approved on July 10,1979, by Resolution No. 79-101, accepting Parcel Map No. 2887, for Frank Rodgers, based upon the following findings: 1 - Finds that the project as proposed and approved will not have a significant effect on the environment, and the Negative Declaration on file is hereby approved; 2 - Finds that the proposed subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvements, is consistent with general plan adopted for the County of Yolo 3 - Approves said Parcel Map No. 2887.

4. No environmental impact report has been prepared, all pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act.

Dated: July 23, 1979.

Yolo County Board of Supervisors

Peter McNamee
its Clerk

BY Roland Rodgers
Deputy

Bk.

RESOLUTION NO. 79-102

(CR 95 Bridges - Willow & Union Sloughs)

WHEREAS, the State of California, Department of Transportation has presented a Program Supplement No. 9 to Local Agency-State Agreement #03-5922 (Yolo County Agreement No. 77-201) covering Federal Aid to Yolo County:

AND WHEREAS, Federal Aid Highway funds are available on a 90%/10% matching ration for improvement and /or reconstruction of these Bridges:

AND WHEREAS, the County of Yolo has budgeted and will make available County's share of matching funds as required in said Program Supplement No. 9:

NOW THEREFORE, be it resolved that the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors be authorized to execute the "Program Supplement No. 9" on behalf of the County of Yolo:

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, the 10th day of July, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betsy C. Marchand

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST: PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

By Peter E Lucas, Deputy

(Seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

By [signature]
County Counsel

7-20-79

FILED

JUL 23 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Barbara Rodgers
DEPUTY

County of Yolo
Board of Supervisors

Resolution No. 79-103

WHEREAS, Amelia Lucero (affectionately called "Mel") is retiring after devoting sixteen (16) years serving the youth of Yolo County through the Yolo County Probation Department; and

WHEREAS, Amelia Lucero has lived in Yolo County most all her life, settling in Cacheville (which is now called "Yolo") at an early age; and

WHEREAS, Amelia Lucero pursued dual academic careers--cosmetology and nursing; and

WHEREAS, Amelia Lucero joined the Yolo County Probation Department as part of the staff of the Juvenile Hall where she performed a variety of tasks, which included meal preparation for the entire Juvenile Hall population, and nursing; and

WHEREAS, Amelia Lucero developed and initiated a program that insured the presence of a holiday atmosphere during Christmas, Easter and other holidays to ensure that the special times of the year, including birthdays, were celebrated by the children who were not home during these special times; and

WHEREAS, Amelia Lucero will be missed by all for her special "touch" during the holidays; and

WHEREAS, Amelia Lucero plans to use her time to continue her various hobbies and social involvements, which will include travels with her Husband, Al, work with semi-precious stones--particularly turquoise, and continued involvement as a Life Member of the Disabled American Veterans Auxiliary.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors hereby wishes to express its appreciation and thanks to Amelia Lucero, and further extends its congratulations and best wishes for the future to Amelia and her Husband.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 17th day of July, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson and Marchand.

NOES: None

ABSENT: Edmonds

Betsy A. Marchand

BETSY A. MARCHAND, CHAIRMAN, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF YOLO COUNTY



County of Yolo
Board of Supervisors

Resolution No. 79-104

WHEREAS, Mr. Lynn F. Edward joined the Yolo County Probation Department in 1952, which totals 27 years service in that department; and

WHEREAS, Lynn Edward has served under four Chief Probation Officers during his employment and

WHEREAS, Lynn Edward has been a proud member for 28 years in the California Probation, Parole and Corrections Association, and in the National Council on Crime and Delinquency; and

WHEREAS, Lynn Edward has demonstrated his leadership by serving three terms as President of the Yolo County Employees Association; 5 years as the Northern Region Director of the League of County Employees Association, as President of the Yolo County Employees Federal Credit Union, Past Commander of the American Legion Post 77, as a Scout Master throughout the Woodland area, member of the Y.M.C.A., and the American Red Cross; and

WHEREAS, Lynn Edward is also an active participant in the California Auctioneers Association and the National Auctioneers Association, which experience he has put to use both for the Yolo County Sheriff's Department auctions, but for the City of Woodland also; and

WHEREAS, Lynn Edward will now be able to devote his time not only to auctioneering, but also to saddle making, for which he is well known.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Supervisors hereby wishes to express its appreciation and thanks to Lynn Edward, and further extends its congratulations and best wishes for the future to Lynn and his family.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 17th day of July, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson and Marchand

NOES: None

ABSENT: Edmonds

Betsy A. Marchand

BETSY A. MARCHAND, CHAIRMAN, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF YOLO COUNTY



County of Yolo
Board of Supervisors

Resolution No. 79-105

WHEREAS, ERWIN "SCOTTY" LIPELT has held the title of Labor Superintendent, a non-sworn, badge position with the Yolo County Sheriff's Department from March 1, 1969 through July 31, 1979; and

WHEREAS, Scotty's duties have involved the transfer of inmates from the Main Jail to the branch jail; the transportation of cooks to Juvenile Hall; the supervision of a crew at the garden at the branch jail, the supervision of a crew to care for the landscaping of the grounds surrounding the Sheriff's Office, supervision of a crew to move office furniture and supplies, and many others too numerous to mention; and

WHEREAS, Scotty has had countless lunch periods interrupted by County personnel calling to arrange the moving of said supplies or furniture, said lunch periods being the only time in which one could catch up with him; and

WHEREAS, Scotty's job with the County of Yolo has interferred for some years with his pursuits; namely, fishing, gardening, bike riding with his dog, Lucky, and keeping up with the San Francisco Giants; and

WHEREAS, Scotty has decided to give up his badge and "chuck it all" to devote his time to the aforementioned pursuits, which include the growing of the largest, sweetest red onions in Woodland.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo hereby wishes to express its appreciation and thanks to ERWIN "SCOTTY" LIPELT, and further extends its congratulations and best wishes for the future to Scotty and his family.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 17th day of July, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson and Marchand.
NOES: None
ABSENT: Edmonds

Betsy A. Marchand

BETSY A. MARCHAND, CHAIRMAN, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF YOLO COUNTY

BK

FILED

JUL 18 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ DEPUTY

RESOLUTION NO. 79-106

(Resolution Certifying Yolo County Sheriffs' Safety Management Association as the Recognized Organization for the Sheriff's Safety Management Representation Unit)

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors has heretofore by Minute Order No. 78-667 established the Sheriffs' Safety Management Representation Unit; and

WHEREAS, no employee organization sought petition for certification as a recognized employee organization for that unit; and

WHEREAS, on June 22, 1979, the Yolo County Sheriffs' Safety Management Unit filed with the Clerk of this Board a statement of qualified employee organization and a petition for certification as a recognized employee organization for the Sheriffs' Safety Management Representation Unit; and

WHEREAS, said petition was set for hearing and notice thereof was given in the manner prescribed by law in Resolution No. 72-75 and came on regularly for hearing before this Board at a public hearing held at 10:00 a.m. on July 17, 1979; and

WHEREAS, said petition was approved by more than a majority of the employees holding positions in the Sheriffs' Safety Management Representation Unit.

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED, ORDERED AND FOUND as follows:

1. The foregoing recitals are true and correct.

2. The proceedings taken herein have been taken in accordance with the provisions of Article VI of Resolution No. 72-75, the

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

Employer-Employee Relations Policy of the County of Yolo.

3. The Yolo County Sheriffs' Safety Management Association is hereby ordered certified as the recognized organization for the Sheriffs' Safety Management Representation Unit also known as the Sheriffs' Management Unit.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 17th day of July, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Marchand.
NOES: None.
ABSENT: Edmonds.

COUNTY OF YOLO, a political subdivision of the State of California

By: Betty C. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

JUL 18 1979

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

By: Peter E. Lucas
Deputy

(SEAL)

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

auditor

FILED

JUL 20 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-107

Resolution of the Board of Supervisors of Yolo County, State of California, opposing repeal of California State Emergency Telephone Users Surcharge Act (SB 374)

WHEREAS, Senator Holmdahl has introduced SB 374 in the State Legislature to repeal Article 6 commencing with Section 53100 of Chapter I of Part I of Division 2 of Title 5 of Government Code, and to repeal part 20, commencing with Section 41001 of Division 2 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, relating to taxation of basic telephone charges for the purpose of funding a statewide 911 system; and

WHEREAS, since 1972 the California State Legislature has shown shown previous commitment to a statewide mandated program of 911 commencing with the passage of Assemblyman Warren's AB 515 (72) and AB 416 (76), and thereby recognizing 911 as a critical and lifesaving necessity for all the citizens of California; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Yolo County believe a statewide mandated 911 system best assures the most adequate equal and timely access to all emergency services for all residents and visitors for the State of California; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of Yolo County have, in good faith, affected significant commitment of local resources to creation of a countywide 911 system; and

WHEREAS, Senator Holmdahl's SB 374 advances a repeal provision which eliminates the mandated telephone surcharge as a mechanism for funding a statewide 911 system.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the County of Yolo stands in adamant opposition to SB 374.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County of Yolo recommends the current legislation be modified to increase the telephone surcharge to a level suitable to fund local requests for 911 systems.

/ / / / /

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California this 10th day of July, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Edmonds.

Bobby C. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
JUN 19 1979

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

BY Peter E. Lucas
Deputy

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

County Counsel
JUN 20 1979

Item 1

County of Yolo
Board of Supervisors

Resolution No. 79-108

WHEREAS, Barbara Kleespie is retiring from the Yolo County Library after 22 years of dedicated service; and

WHEREAS, she has demonstrated courage, resourcefulness, and exceptional stamina by serving under five County Librarians and outlasting all but one of them; and

WHEREAS, since 1966 she has practically singlehandedly cataloged 44,745 titles; has supervised the addition of 139,002 volumes to the total collection; has discarded 56,510 volumes; has divided the Union Card Catalog and, crowning that effort, fitted all the subject cards into one catalog section; and

WHEREAS, she has survived such miscellaneous catastrophes as the moving of every book at Headquarters twice, to permit the varnishing of the stacks; the closing and re-opening of the small branches; the reorganization of the book budget from one budget unit into five; cascades of water pouring in from the Headquarters roof; floods at the back entryways; and

WHEREAS, in her sparetime, she has achieved such other accomplishments as recataloging all the Sunset books, all of the Time-Life series and all copies of all titles therein, as well as a variety of esoteric single items; cataloging volumes without number of the publications of the California Division of Mines and Geology; cataloging, re-cataloging, and uncataloging "Yount Adult" adult books, "Young Adult" juvenile books, and just plain "Young Adult" books; moving, at one time or another, practically every card catalog in the County without losing a single drawer (though we are missing some drawer rods); bringing order out of chaos and calling it "Winters Storage"; and

WHEREAS, she has coped outstandingly with the elusive, eternal, and demanding problem of keeping all libraries operational when vacations, sickleaves, jury duty, and floating holidays had wiped out the whole staff; and

WHEREAS, she has accomplished all of the above and more while not only maintaining her own sanity but contributing to the sanity of others and acting as general factotum and aid-de-camp to the County Librarian for the last 21 months; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo hereby wish to express its appreciation and thanks to Barbara Kleespie and extends its best wishes to her and her husband, John, for a tranquil, serene, full, happy, but piquant retirement in Fort Bragg.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 24th day of July, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: BLACK, DEMARS, THOMPSON, EDMONDS AND MARCHAND
NOES: NONE
ABSENT: NONE

Betsy A. Marchand

BETSY A. MARCHAND, CHAIRMAN, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
YOLO COUNTY

Date: July 31, 1979
Entry No.: 1

File:

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Yolo County, California

To:

Auditor
Public Works
County Counsel
George Jacob

SEE AGREEMENT & RESOLUTION BOOKS

EXCERPT OF MINUTE ORDER NO. 79-588 , ITEM NO. 19 , OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS MEETING FOR JULY 31, 1979 , AS INDICATED BELOW:

Upon motion of Supervisor Black , seconded by Supervisor Edmonds , and duly carried, the following actions were so ordered by the following vote:

Ayes: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand. Noes: None. Absent: None.

15- Approved Agreement No. 79-294, with the Social Temporal Emergency Alleviation Committee (S.T.E.A.C.) for emergency services; authorized the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors to sign the agreement; and approved Auditor's Transfer of Funds No. 2 in the amount of $552.00 for the hot line authorized by Minute Order No. 79-208.

16- Took the following action regarding bad debts at the Yolo General Hospital:

a- Approved the removal of the account of Mary Mendez from accounts receivable and write it off as a bad debt.

b- Approved the removal of bad debts, in the amount of $14,641.84, from accounts receivable and write them off, in accordance with the list filed with the Clerk.

17- Approved the Radiology Department Policy and Procedure Manual at the Yolo General Hospital; and authorized the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors to sign the signature page.

18- Authorized the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors to sign a letter of Promulgation for the Emergency Plan, as submitted by the Office of Emergency Services.

19- Resolution No. 79-109, was passed and adopted, authorizing the Chairman of the Board to execute "Program Supplement #05 (revised) of Master Agreement No. 03-5922", also identified as Agreement No. 79-295. The Chairman of the Board of Supervisors was authorized to sign the resolution.

20- Approved Agreement No. 79-296, with Joe Kamakichi Yamada and Haru Yamada, his wife, and Henry Y. Yamada, for certain road right-of-way on County Road No. 104; and authorized the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors to sign the agreement.

RESOLUTION NO. 79-109

Approving Supplemental Agreement #05 (revised) to
Master Agreement #03-5922 (COY agreement #77-201)

WHEREAS, the State of California, Department of Transportation has presented a "program of Local Agency Federal Aid Road Projects" (program supplement #05, revised).

WHEREAS, the Congress of the United States has declared it to be in the national interest for Federal Funds to be expended for highway and other transportation projects; and

WHEREAS, there exists a compelling need for restoration, rehabilitation and/or reconstruction of roads within the boundaries of Yolo County; and

WHEREAS, it is the desire of Yolo County to amend Master Agreement #03-5922,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Chairman of the Board of Supervisors be authorized to execute "Program Supplement #05 (revised) of Master Agreement No. 03-5922 on behalf of Yolo County.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 31st day of July, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Peter C. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, CLERK
Barbara Rodgers Deputy

APPROVED AS TO FORM

Deputy County Counsel

FILED

JUL 31 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Barbara Rodgers
DEPUTY

BOOK

FILED

JUL 31 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By DEPUTY

RESOLUTION NO. 79-110

(Resolution of Acceptance for Parcel Map No. 2892 for Dettling Brothers)

WHEREAS, the final tracing for Parcel Map 2892 has been prepared and presented to the Board of Supervisors for approval; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission considered and approved a Negative Declaration of Environmental Impact for the proposed project, and

WHEREAS, the tentative map for Parcel Map 2892 has been approved by said Planning Commission; and

WHEREAS, said map contemplates creation of two parcels, one in the Agricultural General (A-1) Zone and one in the Agricultural Preserve (A-P) Zone of Yolo County; and

WHEREAS, the final tracing of said Parcel Map has been signed by all necessary parties, pursuant to the provisions of the Government Code of the State of California; and

WHEREAS, said map in all respects complies with the provisions of the State Subdivision Map Act and of the Local Ordinances.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows: (1) Each of the foregoing recitals is true and correct. (2) After consideration of the Negative Declaration of Environmental Impact for the proposed project this Board finds that the project as proposed and approved will not have a significant effect on the environment, and the Negative Declaration on file is hereby approved by this Board. (3) This Board hereby finds that the proposed subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvements, is consistent with general plan adopted for the County of Yolo. (4) This Board hereby approves said Parcel Map No. 2892, and instructs the Clerk to prepare subject map for transmittal to the County Recorder for filing.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Clerk of this Board hereby is authorized and ordered to file a Notice of Determination upon this project, with a copy of the Negative Declaration attached, said notice to include the decisions of this Board to approve the proposed project, the finding of this Board that the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment, and a statement that no environmental impact report has been prepared, all pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 31st day of July, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betsy A. Marchand

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

By _____, Deputy
(Seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

_____, Deputy
County Counsel

FILED

AUG - 8 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Debra Conley Kirkland
Deputy

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION

NO. 79-42

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN pursuant to Public Resources Code § 21152 and Yolo County Code § 10-1.910 that the County of Yolo acting by its decision-making officer or body has made the following determination whether to approve or disapprove a project as follows:

1. The decision-making officer or body is the Yolo County Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California.

2. The project is described as follows: Request for proposed Parcel Map No. 2892 which divides an existing 162.367± acre parcel into two parcels, one containing 160 ± acre and one containing 2.367 acres. Project is located at the Southwest corner of CR 13 & State Hwy. 113. Zoning is Agricultural General (A-1) Zone for the 2.367 acres parcel & Agricultural Preserve (A-P) Zone for the 160± acres parcel.

3. The project was (~~disapproved~~ approved) on July 31, 1979

4. The project (~~will~~ will not) have a significant effect on the environment.

5. An environmental impact report (~~has~~ has not) been prepared pursuant to the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act.

DATED: August 8, 1979

YOLO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

PETER McNAMEE, COUNTY CLERK
Ex-Officio Clerk of the
Board of Supervisors

BY Debra Conley Kirkland
Deputy

NEGATIVE DECLARATION
ENVIRONMENTAL SIGNIFICANCE
No. 79-34

The Yolo County Planning Commission has reviewed all available information on the environmental effect of the project described as

request for variance to separate a 2.367 acre parcel containing a residence, shop, and storage structure in addition to a dryer and silo, from a 162.367± acre parcel, located on the southwest corner of State Highway 113 and County Road 13 in the Agricultural General (A-1) and Agricultural Preserve (A-P) Zones.

Assessor's Parcel Nos. 56-190-19.

Zone File #3014.

The Planning Commission has determined that:

1. The project described is one that would ordinarily be expected to have a significant effect on the environment, and

2. The project will have no significant adverse effect on the environment due to circumstances peculiar to the specific project.

An Environmental Impact Report will not be required.

Said determination was made at a Public Hearing held on April 18, 1979 in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act and Title 10 of the Yolo County Code. Appeals from this determination may be made within fifteen (15) days of said action by filing a letter of appeal with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors as prescribed for appeals from decisions of the Board of Zoning Adjustment.

Robert A. Peterson

Robert A. Peterson
Director of Planning
Secretary, Yolo County Planning Commission

FILED
APR 30 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Barbara A Rodgers
Deputy



County of Yolo

PLANNING DEPARTMENT
292 WEST BEAMER
WOODLAND, CALIFORNIA 95695
TELEPHONE 666-8556
AREA CODE 916

March 19, 1979

Negative Declaration
Detling Bros, Applicant
Assessor's Parcel No. 56-190-19
Tentative Parcel Map No. 2892
HEARING DATE: April 18, 1979

Responses received from reviewing agencies concerning the proposal to create a 2.367 acre parcel containing a residence shop and storage structire in addition to a dryer and silo was that a Negative Declaration would be the appropriate level of environmental review. Under the proposal which involved a map and variance request, no change in land use is proposed. The intent of the proposal was to clear up a legal description problem with the smaller parcel containing the residence and other structures.

Staff believes a Negative Declaration is appropriate for the following reasons:

1) No change in land use is proposed.

2) The intent is to rectify a previous error in the property description.

Book

FILED

AUG 3 - 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79- 111

(Authorizing disposition of excess assessment of Assessment District No. 119, El Macero #3)

WHEREAS Section 5132.1 of the Streets and Highways Code of the State of California provides that the actual cost of the acquisition as finally determined is less than the amount included in the assessment as the cost of such acquisition, such excess may be spent for the repair or maintenance of the work or improvements.

AND WHEREAS said excess shall be used for maintenance or repair from a separate fund of such excess and shall use the same solely for said purpose in El Macero County Service Area.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that said funds in the amount of One Thousand Eight Hundred Eighty Nine Dollars and Seventeen Cents ($1,889.17) be transferred from Assessment District Trust Fund 298 Account 76-0019 to El Macero County Service Area Budget 481-0 Account 1-0000 (cash).

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 31st day of July, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betsy C. Marchand

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

BY Velma Corbin Kirkland
Deputy

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

AUG 3 1979

COUNTY COUNSEL

/ / / / /

/ / / / /

FILED
JUL 31 1979
DEPUTY

RESOLUTION NO. 79-112

(Resolution of Acceptance for Subdivision 2782, Westwoods Unit 2-b)

WHEREAS, the final subdivision map for Subdivision No. 2782 has been presented to the Board of Supervisors for approval and acceptance; and

WHEREAS, said map has been signed by all necessary parties; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission previously considered and approved an Environmental Impact Report for the Touchstone area of Yolo County, which discussed the environmental impact of the Project and other Projects proposed in the Project area; and said Planning Commission has determined that no subsequent EIR need be prepared pursuant to Title 14, Section 15067 of the California Administrative Code and said environmental impact report demonstrates that the proposed project will have a significant effect on the environment and has been presented to and considered by this Board; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission approved the tentative map of Subdivision No. 2782 on May 17, 1978; and

WHEREAS, the improvements have been assured and the map in all respects complies with the provisions of the State Subdivision Map Act and of the local ordinances.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows: (1) Each of the foregoing recitals is true and correct; (2) This Board, after consideration of the Environmental Impact Report previously filed upon this proposed project and the project area, hereby adopts and approves the final Environmental Impact Report, and finds that

said final EIR has been completed in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act and State Guidelines, and finds the project will have a significant effect upon the environment. However, the Environmental Impact Report on said Project identifies several mitigation measures which are hereby found by this Board to be appropriate and feasible, and ordered to be incorporated into this Project; (3) this Board hereby finds that the proposed subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvement, is consistent with general plan adopted for the Southport area of the County of Yolo, (4) this Board hereby approves said map for Subdivision No. 2782, Westwoods Unit No. 2-b; (5) this Board hereby accepts on behalf of the public the easements and rights of ways, all as provided for and indicated on said final map.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED (1) that this Board approved Agreement No. 79-297, the Subdivision Improvement Agreement for said Subdivision No. 2782, Westwoods Unit No. 2-b, and authorized the Chairman of the Board to sign said agreement, (2) The Clerk of this Board is hereby authorized and directed to file a Notice of Determination upon the proposed project; said notice shall include the decision of this Board to approve the project, and the finding of this Board that the proposed project will have a significant effect upon the environment. Said notice shall also include the statement that mitigation measures identified in said Environmental Impact Report are incorporated in said Project as stated hereinabove. Said notice also shall include the statement that the Environmental Impact Report considered and approved by this Board is the Touchstone EIR previously adopted by the

Planning Commission, which discussed this Project and others in the Southport area of Yolo County, and no subsequent environmental impact report is required, pursuant to Title 14, Section 15067 of the California Administrative Code and said EIR has been prepared pursuant to and is consistent with the California Environmental Quality Act and State Guidelines adopted pursuant thereto.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 31th day of July, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Black

Betsy A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

By _____, Deputy
(Seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

_____, Deputy
COUNTY COUNSEL

FILED

AUG - 7 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By Debra Corley Kirkland
Deputy

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION

NO. 79-41

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN pursuant to Public Resources Code § 21152 and Yolo County Code § 10-1.910 that the County of Yolo acting by its decision-making officer or body has made the following determination whether to approve or disapprove a project as follows:

1. The decision-making officer or body is the Yolo County Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California.

2. The project is described as follows: Request for approval of this subdivision Resolution for Subdivision No. 2782 Westwoods, Unit No. 2b, consisting of 90 lots for single family homes, is the third unit of a proposed 766 lot subdivision. The project is bordered on the North by Subdiv. 2782, Westwoods Unit No. 1b, on the East by Linden Road, on the West by the Reclamation District main canal & on the south by the undeveloped remainder of Tentative Map No. 2782.

3. The project was (~~xxxxxxxxxxxxxx~~ approved) on July 31, 1979

4. The project (will ~~xxxxxxxxxx~~) have a significant effect on the environment.

5. An environmental impact report (has ~~xxxxxxxxx~~) been prepared pursuant to the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act.

DATED: AUGUST 7, 1979

YOLO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

PETER McNAMEE, COUNTY CLERK
Ex-Officio Clerk of the
Board of Supervisors

BY Debra Corley Kirkland
Deputy

RECEIVED
AUG 28 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By _____ Deputy

FILED
AUG 29 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Deborah Carle Kirkland Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-113

(Resolution Amending the Yolo County General Plan)

WHEREAS, on the 28th day of January, 1959, by Resolution No. 59-15, the Board of Supervisors adopted the present and now existing Yolo County Master Plan; and

WHEREAS, on June 6, 1979, the Yolo County Planning Commission held public hearings as required by law to consider amending the Master Plan, and recommended to this Board the amendments hereinafter set forth, specifically amending that portion referred to as the "East Yolo General Plan" adopted by this Board by Resolution No. 76-57 on May 18, 1976; and

WHEREAS, this Board conducted a public hearing after proper notice for the purpose of considering protestants to the recommended amendments to the East Yolo General Plan, and the Yolo County Planning Commission, being fully advised of the matter, have expressed their belief that the general welfare of this County will best be served by making said proposed amendment; and

WHEREAS, an Environmental Impact Report has been certified by the Planning Commission in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act and contains all information required to be contained in a final Environmental Impact Report, which Report found no unmitigated significant impacts, and this Board approves said Report and has considered it; and

WHEREAS, this Board has determined that it is in the public interest to adopt the aforesaid recommendation of the Yolo County Planning Commission;

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows:

1. That the foregoing recitals are true and correct.

2. The Yolo County General Plan, specifically that area set forth as Area "g-6" in the East Yolo General Plan is hereby amended to read as follows:

> g-6 This 55-acre area is zoned Planned Development. The purpose of the Planned Development is to provide an area for the location of support services for the heavy industrial uses located in the vicinity of the port. It is also to serve as a transition between the area devoted to office uses and the heavy industrial area. Office and service uses to be allowed in this area are intended as a convenience for the operators, employees and clients of the industrial plants and are not intended to detract from the central business district nor to primarily serve the general public.
>
> Area A, containing approximately 15 acres located westerly of Harbor Boulevard between I-80 Freeway and Beacon Boulevard, is proposed for office and related services only. Area B, contain-

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

ing approximately 30 acres located westerly of Harbor Boulevard between Beacon Boulevard and Industrial Boulevard, is intended for a mixture of offices and light industrial uses with not more than 30% of the area to contain office uses exclusive of office uses accessory to the industrial uses. This area will serve as a transition between the offices to the north and the heavy industrial uses to the west, south and east.

Specific development standards and uses to be allowed in this area will be determined by the Planning Commission by the adoption of specific planned development standards for this area and the use permit process.

3. The provisions referred as "g-6" hereinabove set forth depicting said changes of the General Plan are adopted and made a part of the Yolo County General Plan and the Clerk of the Board is hereby ordered to notice such on said document.

4. This Board hereby certifies that an Environmental Impact Report was completed in accordance with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act and that it reviewed and considered the contents thereof in making its decision in this

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

matter.

4. The Clerk of the Board is directed to file a Notice of Determination reciting that an EIR was prepared for this project in accord with CEQA; that said EIR found no unmitigated significant effects; and indicating the decision of this Board to approve said amendments, all in accord with CEQA.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 31st day of July, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Black.

Peter C. Marchand

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

By: Debra Conley Kirkland
Deputy

(SEAL)



THIS EXHIBIT BEING INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE IN RESOLUTION NO. 79 - 113 ADOPTED BY THE YOLO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ON JULY 31, 1979.

RECEIVED
AUG 29 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By _____
Deputy

FILED

AUG 2 9 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By DEBRA CONLEY KIRKLAND
Deputy

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION

79-44

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN pursuant to Public Resources Code § 21152 and Yolo County Code § 10-1.910 that the County of Yolo acting by its decision-making officer or body has made the following determination whether to approve or disapprove a project as follows:

1. The decision-making officer or body is the Yolo County Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California.

2. The project is described as follows: SEE ATTACHED

3. The project was (~~disapproved~~ approved) on July 31, 1979

4. The project (~~will~~ will not) have a significant effect on the environment.

5. An environmental impact report (~~has not been~~) been prepared pursuant to the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act.

DATED: August 28, 1979

YOLO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

PETER McNAMEE, COUNTY CLERK
Ex-Officio Clerk of the
Board of Supervisors

BY Debra Conley Kirkland
Deputy

2. The Yolo County General Plan, specifically that area set forth as Area "g-6" in the East Yolo General Plan is hereby amended to read as follows:

g-6 This 55-acre area is zoned Planned Development. The purpose of the Planned Development is to provide an area for the location of support services for the heavy industrial uses located in the vicinity of the port. It is also to serve as a transition between the area devoted to office uses and the heavy industrial area. Office and service uses to be allowed in this area are intended as a convenience for the operators, employees and clients of the industrial plants and are not intended to detract from the central business district nor to primarily serve the general public.

Area A, containing approximately 15 acres located westerly of Harbor Boulevard between I-80 Freeway and Beacon Boulevard, is proposed for office and related services only. Area B, containing approximately 30 acres located westerly of Harbor Boulevard between Beacon Boulevard and Industrial Boulevard, is intended for a mixture of offices and light industrial uses with not more than 30% of the area to contain office uses exclusive of office uses accessory to the industrial uses. This area will serve as a transition between the offices to the north and the heavy industrial uses to the west, south and east.

Specific development standards and uses to be allowed in this area will be determined by the Planning Commission by the adoption of specific planned development standards for this area and the use permit process.

FILED

AUG - 7 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Pete E. Lucas
DEPUTY

RESOLUTION NO. 79-114

(Resolution Designating Central Counting Place)

WHEREAS, Election Code Section 17050 provides that the governing body of any jurisdiction may provide by resolution that all ballots shall be tallied at a central place and not at precincts, and

WHEREAS, a Special All-Mail Ballot Election has been called by the East Yolo Community Services District for September 4, 1979, and

WHEREAS, no polling place or election officers will be established, and

WHEREAS, this Board desires to designate such a central counting place,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED as follows:

1. That the foregoing recitals are true and correct.

2. That the Board Room of the East Yolo Community Services District, located at 1951 South River Road, West Sacramento, California, is hereby designated as the central place to conduct the semi-official canvass for the Special East Yolo Community Services District All-Mail Election to be conducted on September 4, 1979.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 7th day of August, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Edmonds, Thompson.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Marchand.

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, CLERK
BY: Pete E. Lucas
Deputy
(Seal)

Frank J. Thompson
VICE-CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

FILED

AUG - 7 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ DEPUTY

RESOLUTION NO. 79-115

(Resolution of Intention to Abandon two Alley's in Block 34, Town of Washington)

WHEREAS, a petition has been filed for abandonment of the hereinafter described Alley's lying within Block 34 in the Town of Washington, situated in Township 9 North, Range 4 East, M.D. B. & M., under the provisions of Sections 957-959 of the Streets and Highways Code of the State of California.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that this Board fixes the 13th day of September, 1979, at the hour of 10:00 A.M. in the Chambers of the Board of Supervisors, Yolo County, Courthouse, Woodland, California, as the time and place fixed for a hearing on the abandonment of the hereinafter described property.

PARCEL NO. 1
Beginning at the Northeast corner of Lot 1, of said Block 34; thence along the Northerly line of Lots 1 through 8 of said Block, N 81° 20'00" W, 320.00 feet to the Northwest corner of said Lot 8. Said corner also being the easterly right of way line of 8th. Street; thence Northerly along said right of way line N 08° 40'00" E, 20.00 feet to the Southwest corner of Lot 9 of said Block 34; thence easterly along the Southerly line of Lot 9 and Lot 13, S 81° 20'00" E, 320.00 feet to the Southeast corner of said Lot 13. Said corner being on the Westerly right of way line of Seventh Street, thence Southerly along said right of way line S 08° 40'00" W, 20.00 feet to the Point of Beginning.
Containing .147 acres of land.

PARCEL NO. 2
Beginning at the Northeast corner of Lot 16, of said Block 34; thence along the Northerly line of lots 16 and 12, N 81° 20'00" W, 320.00 feet to the Northwest corner of said Lot 12, said corner also being the Easterly right of way line of Eighth Street; thence Northerly along said Easterly line N 08° 40'00" E 20.00 feet to the Southwest corner of Lot 24, of said Block 34; thence Easterly along the Southerly line of Lots 24 through 17, S 81° 20'00" E, 320.00 feet to the Southeast corner of said Lot 17, said corner being on the Westerly right of way line of Seventh Street; thence Southerly along said right of way line,

S 08° 40'00" W, 20.00 feet to the Point of Beginning. Containing .147 acres of land.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Clerk of the Board shall be instructed to publish and post notice pursuant to Section 958 of the Streets and Highways Cole.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, on this 7th day of August, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES Black, DeMars, Edmonds, Thompson.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Marchand.

Virgil J. Thompson
VICE-CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

By Peter E. Lucas
Deputy

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

By
County Counsel

FILED

AUG - 7 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By [illegible] DEPUTY

RESOLUTION NO. 79-116

(Resolution of Intention to Abandon two Alley's in Block's 7 & 8 , Town of Guinda)

WHEREAS, a petition has been filed for abandonment of the hereinafter described Alley's lying within Block's 7 & 8 in the Town of Guinda, situated in Township 11 North, Range 3 West, M.D.B. & M., under the provisions of Sections 957-959 of the Streets and Highways Code of the State of California.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that this Board fixes the 18th day of September, 1979, at the hour of 10:00 A.M. in the Chambers of the Board of Supervisors, Yolo County, Courthouse, Woodland, California, as the time and place fixed for a hearing on the abandonment of the hereinafter described property.

PARCEL NUMBER 1
All of that certain Alley lying within Block 7, as shown on the Map of the Townsite of Guinda, filed for record in Map Book 1 at Page 12 in the Office of the Recorder, County of Yolo, State of California, said portion of Alley is bounded on the Northeast by the Southwest right of way line of Folsom Street and is bounded on the Southwest by the Northeast right of way line of Webster Street.

PARCEL NUMBER 2
All of that certain Alley lying within Block 8, as shown on the Map of the Townsite of Guinda, filed for record in Map Book 1 at Page 12 in the Office of the Recorder, County of Yolo, State of California, said portion of Alley is bounded on the Northeast by the Southwest right of way line of Folsom Street and is bounded on the Southwest by the Northeast right of way line of Webster Street.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Clerk of the Board shall be instructed to publish and post notice pursuant to Section 958 of the Streets and Highways Code.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, on this 7th day of

August, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Edmonds, Thompson.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Marchand.

VICE-CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE

BY (Deputy)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

BY
County Counsel

FILED

AUG - 7 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By _____ DEPUTY

RESOLUTION NO. 79-117

(Resolution of Intention to Abandon Portion of Road Lying Between Lots 41 and 42 in the West Sacramento Gardens Subdivision)

WHEREAS, a petition has been filed for abandonment of the hereinafter described portion of road lying between Lots 41 and 42 in the West Sacramento Gardens Subdivision, situated in Township 9 North, Range 4 East, M.D.B. & M., under the provisions of Sections 957-959 of the Streets and Highways Code of the State of California.

NOW, THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that this Board fixes the 18th day of September, 1979, at the hour of 10:00 A.M. in the Chamber of the Board of Supervisors, Yolo County Courthouse, Woodland, California, as the time and place fixed for a hearing on the abandonment of the hereinafter described property.

Beginning at a point on the North line of Lot 41 of said West Sacramento Gardens Subdivision, that is distant, N81°01'06"E, 20.25 feet from the Northwest corner, of said Lot 41; thence from said Point of Beginning, parallel with and 20.00 feet Easterly of the Westerly line of said Lot 41, S 00°02'00" W, 215.01 feet more or less to the Northerly right of way line of Rice Avenue, as said Avenue appears on that certain Parcel Map No. 2169, filed in Parcel Map Book 1, at Page 81, Yolo County Records; thence along said Northerly R/W line, S 85°17'19" W, 40.14 feet; thence leaving said Northerly R/W line, parallel with and 20 feet Westerly of the West line of said lot 41, N 00° 02'00" E, 211.96 feet more or less to the Northerly line of Lot 42 of said West Sacramento Gardens Subdivision. Said Northerly line also being the Southerly right of way line of the Southern Pacific Railroad; thence along said R/W line, along a curve to the right, said curve having a central angle of 00°16'18", a radius of 8,544.40 feet and a chord bearing N 80°59'04" E, 40.50 feet to the Point of Beginning. And containing .194 acres of land.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Clerk of the Board shall be instructed to publish and post notice pursuant to Section 958 of the Streets and Highways Code.

///

///

///

///

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, on this 7th day of August, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMara, Edmonds, Thompson.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Marchand.

Linda J. Thompson
VICE-CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, CLERK
By Peter E Lucas, Deputy
(Seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
By _____, Deputy
(County Counsel)

TO:

Public Works
County Counsel
California Department of
Transportation

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Yolo County, California

Date: August 14, 1979
Entry No. 6

File: PW-28
Freeways/Highways - State
Highways - 16
84

Amended by Res. 79-120

SEE RESOLUTION BOOK

RESOLUTION NO. 79-118, WAS PASSED AND ADOPTED, REAFFIRMING THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS POSITION TO ACCEPT FOR MAINTENANCE THAT PORTION OF STATE ROUTE 16 FROM ELKHORN BRIDGE ON I-5 TO REED AVENUE IN BRYTE UPON RELINQUISHMENT BY THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION UPON COMPLETION OF THE ROUTE 84 EXTENSION FROM JEFFERSON BOULEVARD IN WEST SACRAMENTO TO ROUTE 16 AND KEGLE DRIVE IN BRODERICK, CA. THE CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WAS AUTHORIZED TO SIGN THE RESOLUTION.

Upon motion of Supervisor Edmonds, seconded by Supervisor Thompson, passed and adopted and duly carried, the above Resolution was ~~xx xxxxxxx~~ by the following vote:

Ayes: Black, DeMars , Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

Noes: None.

Absent: None.

ORIGINAL

FILED

AUG 14 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Debra Charles Kirkland
DEPUTY

Amended by Res. 79-120

RESOLUTION NO. 79-118

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Board of Supervisors since early 1960 has continually supported the construction of Route 84 extension from Route 80, Jefferson Boulevard, in the West Sacramento area to Route 16 near Kegle Drive in the Broderick area, and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Board of Supervisors has formerly agreed to assume maintenance of State Sign Route 16 from Elkhorn Bridge on Interstate 5 to its intersection with Reed Avenue in the Town of Bryte; and

WHEREAS, the Sacramento Regional Area Planning Commission has included the construction of said Route 84 in its current Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP);

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors reaffirms its position to accept for maintainance that portion of State Route 16 from Elkhorn Bridge on I-5 to Reed Avenue in Bryte upon relinquishment by the California Department of Transportation upon completion of the Route 84 Extension from Jefferson Boulevard in West Sacramento to Route 16 and Kegle Drive in Broderick, CA.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 14th day of August, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betsy A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

By [signature] Deputy

(SEAL)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

[signature] Chief Deputy
COUNTY COUNSEL

TO:

Public Works
County Counsel
California Department of Transportation

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
Yolo County, California

Date: August 14, 1979
Entry No. 18

File: PW-28
Freeways/Highways - State Highways - 16.
84

See Resolution Book

RESOLUTION NO. 79-120, WAS PASSED AND ADOPTED, AMENDING RESOLUTION NO. 79-118, REAFFIRMING YOLO COUNTY'S POSITION TO ACCEPT FOR MAINTENANCE THAT PORTION OF STATE ROUTE 16 FROM ELKHORN BRIDGE ON I-5 TO 3rd STREET IN BRODERICK, CALIFORNIA, EXCLUDING ANY PORTION OF THE "I" STREET BRIDGE, UPON RELINQUISHMENT BY THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION AFTER COMPLETION OF ROUTE 84 EXTENSION FROM JEFFERSON BOULEVARD IN WEST SACRAMENTO TO ROUTE 16 AND KEGLE DRIVE IN BRODERICK, CALIFORNIA. THE CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WAS AUTHORIZED TO SIGN THE RESOLUTION.

Upon motion of Supervisor Edmonds, seconded by Supervisor DeMars, and duly carried, the above Resolution was passed and adopted by the following vote:

Ayes: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

Noes: None.

Absent: None.

ORIGINAL

RESOLUTION NO. 79-120

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Board of Supervisors amends Resolution 79-118 dated August 14, 1979 to read as follows:

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Board of Supervisors since early 1960 has continually supported the construction of Route 84 extension from Route 80, Jefferson Boulevard, in the West Sacramento area to Route 16 near Kegle Drive in the Broderick area, and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Board of Supervisors has formerly agreed to assume maintenance of certain portions of State Sign Route 16 from Elkhorn Bridge on Interstate 5 to its intersection with 3rd Street in the Town of Broderick at the western approach to the "I" Street Bridge, and

WHEREAS, the Sacramento Regional Area Planning Commission has included the construction of said Route 84 in its current Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP);

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors reaffirms its position to accept for maintainance that portion of State Route 16 from Elkhorn Bridge on I-5 to 3rd Street in Broderick excluding any portion of the "I" Street Bridge upon relinquishment by the California Department of Transportation after completion of the Route 84 Extension from Jefferson Boulevard in West Sacramento to Route 16 and Kegle Drive in Broderick, CA .

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 14th day of August, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

Absent: None.

Betsy C. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FILED

AUG 14 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Debra Corley Kirkland
DEPUTY

///

///

RESOLUTION NO. 79-120

cont'

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

By _____, Deputy

(SEAL)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

_____,
Chief Deputy
COUNTY COUNSEL

B/C

FILED
AUG 2 1 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Barbara Rodgers
Deputy

Resolution No. 79-219

(Resolution Establishing Representation Unit for Appointed Department Heads)

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors has established the County of Yolo Employer-Employee Relations Policy, Yolo County Resolution No. 72-75; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Article V thereof, a petition for establishment of a Department Head's Unit was filed with the Clerk of this Board on July 16th, 1979; and

WHEREAS, said petition was set for hearing, and notice thereof was given in the manner prescribed by Resolution No. 72-75 and law, and came on regularly for hearing before this Board at a public hearing held at 10 o'clock a.m. on August 14, 1979, and

WHEREAS, said petition was accompanied by proof of approval by more than a majority of the employees in the classifications set forth in the petition;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, ORDERED AND FOUND as follows:

1. The foregoing recitals are true and correct.

2. The proceedings hereto have been taken in accordance with the provisions of Article V of said Resolution No. 72-75, and law. The petition does not seek certification of a qualified employee organization as a recognized employee organization under Article VI of Resolution No. 72-75. The petition is accompanied by written proof satisfactory to this Board of Supervisors that

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P.O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

it is approved by at least a majority of the employees in the proposed unit and the unit established herein.

3. The unit proposed by the petition and ordered herein is hereby found and ordered to be appropriate.

4. The following classifications located in the following departments are hereby ordered excluded from the Management and Confidential Unit, and included in the unit established by this Minute Order:

CLASSIFICATION	DEPARTMENT
Agricultural Commissioner and Sealer of Weights & Measures	Agriculture
Community Development Director	Building Inspection & Planning
County Librarian	Library
County Probation Officer	Probation
Director of General Services	General Services
Director of Personnel	Personnel
Director of Public Works	Public Works
Director of Social Services	Social Services
Health Agency Administrator	Health & Hospital
Public Defender	Public Defender
Assistant County Administrative Officer	County Administrative Officer
Principal Administrative Analyst	County Administrative Officer
Senior Administrative Analyst	County Administrative Officer
Administrative Analyst II	County Administrative Officer
Administrative Analyst I	County Administrative Officer

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO. (916) 666-8211

Chief Deputy County Counsel County Counsel

Senior Deputy County Counsel County Counsel

And including all classifications established hereafter in any department determined by the Board of Supervisors to be a department head or agency head classification.

5. The unit herein established shall be known as the Department Heads and Confidential Unit.

6. This order is based upon the findings that the Unit is appropriate in that:

a. It establishes the largest feasible group of departments heads, agency heads, County administrative staff,

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

/ / /

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

and attorney staff having a community of interest consisting of exercising and making recommendations as to the exercising the powers of non-elected appointing authority.

b. Such inclusions are based on the past history of employee relations among County employees, in that:

1) Such history has demonstrated the advisability of separating from other representation units employees possessing department head or agency head status. Such history includes serving as appointing power authority and otherwise representing more directly the employer's interest in employer-employee relations.

2) Such history has demonstrated a close community of interest between appointing authorities and staff of the County Administrative Office and that of the County Counsel's office as to counseling the exercise of appointing power authority and in representing the employer's interest in employer-employee relations. This history demonstrates a closer community of interest between County Administrative Officer and County Counsel staff and appointing authorities than between those two staffs and the remaining classifications in the Management and Confidential Unit.

3) Establishment of this Unit ends a division of the

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

County Counsel's attorney staff between two units. The County Counsel and Attorney I, II and III classifications heretofore have been excluded from the Management and Confidential Unit; whereas the classifications of Chief Deputy County Counsel and Senior Attorney have been included in that Unit.

4) Establishment of this unit ends a similar division of the County Administrative Officer's professional staff.

c. There is a similarity among the duties, responsibilities, wages and working conditions of the members of the unit established hereby, in that all represent the employer's interest as department heads or agency heads in employer-employee relations.

7. Establishment of this unit does not divide a classification among two or more units and establishment has no such effect on the existing classification structure.

8. The petition herein does not seek partial decertification; on the contrary, it seeks establishment of a representation unit, and the mere fact the unit formation petition includes classifications in an existing unit does not bar use of the unit formation procedure. The organizational notice requirement of that procedure contemplates such an overlapping petition.

9. This minute order shall be effective as of July 1, 1979. That date is the commencement of the current fiscal year.

10. Until further order is made by this Board of Supervisors,

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

the Management Benefit Package shall be applicable to employees in the Department Head and Confidential Unit.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 14th day of August, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Black.

COUNTY OF YOLO, a political subdivision of the State of California

By: Betsy C. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

8-21-79

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

By: Pete E. Lucas
Deputy

(SEAL)

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

RESOLUTION NO. 79-120

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Board of Supervisors amends Resolution 79-118 dated August 14, 1979 to read as follows:

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Board of Supervisors since early 1960 has continually supported the construction of Route 84 extension from Route 80, Jefferson Boulevard, in the West Sacramento area to Route 16 near Kegle Drive in the Broderick area, and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Board of Supervisors has formerly agreed to assume maintenance of certain portions of State Sign Route 16 from Elkhorn Bridge on Interstate 5 to its intersection with 3rd Street in the Town of Broderick at the western approach to the "I" Street Bridge, and

WHEREAS, the Sacramento Regional Area Planning Commission has included the construction of said Route 84 in its current Transportation Improvement Plan (TIP);

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors reaffirms its position to accept for maintainance that portion of State Route 16 from Elkhorn Bridge on I-5 to 3rd Street in Broderick excluding any portion of the "I" Street Bridge upon relinquishment by the California Department of Transportation after completion of the Route 84 Extension from Jefferson Boulevard in West Sacramento to Route 16 and Kegle Drive in Broderick, CA .

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 14th day of August, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

Absent: None.

Betsy C. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FILED

AUG 14 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Debra Corley Kirkland
DEPUTY

RESOLUTION NO. 79-120

cont'

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

By _____, Deputy

(SEAL)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

_____,
Chief Deputy
COUNTY COUNSEL

Amended by Resolution No. 79-124

FILED

AUG 30 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By Debra Carlock Kirkland Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-121

(Resolution Confirming Water and Sewer Service Charges, El Macero County Service Area)

WHEREAS, pursuant to the authority conferred upon the County by California Government Code Section 25210.77a to fix and collect charges for all extended miscellaneous services now or hereafter provided by the El Macero County Service area, specifically including, but not limited to, water, sewer, drainage, street, and highway sweeping and street and highway lighting , the Board of Supervisors has caused to be prepared a written report which shall contain a description of each parcel of real property receiving extended sewer and water service in the El Macero County Service Area and the amount of charge for each parcel for the year 1979/80 computed in conformity with the procedure set forth in Chapter 8 of Title 3 of the Yolo County Code, as amended on the 3rd day of October, 1978; and

WHEREAS, upon the filing of such report, the Clerk fixed the time, date and place for hearing thereon and for filing objections or protests thereto and caused to be published notice of such hearing as provided in Government Code Section 6066, prior to the date set for hearing, in a newspaper of general circulation printed and published in the County; and

WHEREAS, at the time, date and place stated in the notice this Board of Supervisors heard and considered all objections and protests to the report; and

WHEREAS, the owner of Parcels 33-250-32-1, 33-250-41-1, 33-252-11-1, 33-043-01-1 and 33-250-18-1 protested the total number of charges placed on their properties; and

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors now desires to make its determination upon each charge as described in the report and to confirm that report;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, ORDERED AND FOUND by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows:

1. Each of the foregoing recitals is true and correct.

2. This Board of Supervisors hereby adopts the El Macero County Service Area 1979-80 report of Extended Miscellaneous charges on file with the Clerk of this Board and attached hereto as Exhibit "A", which Exhibit "A" is hereby incorporated by reference as if set forth at length herein , and this Board of Supervisors hereby confirms that report and the description of each parcel of real property set forth therein and the amount of the charge specified for each parcel for such year, except for the parcels designated above for which a protest was made,

3. IT IS HEREBY FOUND that each parcel set forth in said amended report is a parcel lying within the El Macero County Service Area for which extended services are available and that each such parcel is a parcel of real property receiving said services in El Macero County Service Area.

4. IT IS ORDERED that the charges set forth in said report of service charges as confirmed herein shall be collected at the same time and in the same manner as ordinary County Ad Valorem Property Taxes are collected and as provided in Chapter 8 of Title 3 of the Yolo County Code, as amended on the 3rd day of October, 1978.

5. IT IS ORDERED that this Public Hearing be continued until 10:00 A.M. August 21, 1979 to allow the Public Works Director to investigate the protest and report his findings at the time designated above.

6. The Clerk is hereby ordered to forward a certified copy of this Resolution to the Auditor-Controller and the Treasurer-Tax Collector of the County.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 14th day of August, 1979, by the following vote:

///

///

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None

ABSENT: None.

Betsy A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

BY _____,
DEPUTY

APPROVED AS TO FORM

_____, Sen Dep
COUNTY COUNSEL,

EXHIBIT "A"

EL MACERO COUNTY SERVICE AREA
1979-80 REPORT OF
WATER AND SEWER SERVICE CHARGES

In accordance with the provisions of Section 25210.77a of the Government Code, this report has been prepared for the establishment of water and sewer service charges for payment of a portion of the water and sewer services within the El Macero County Service Area.

Listed are all of the properties described by use of the Assessor's parcel number and the appropriate service charge to be levied against said parcel for the fiscal year of 1979-80.

Respectfully submitted,

Lloyd H. Roberts

LLOYD H. ROBERTS,
Director of Public Works

EL MACERO COMMUNITY SERVICE AREA SPECIAL SEWER AND WATER CHARGES

(KEY CODE 81)

CODE AREA	PARCEL	AMOUNT
61-010	33-083-03-1	$ 96.00
	33-083-04-1	"
	33-083-05-1	"
	33-083-06-1	"
	33-083-07-1	"
	33-083-08-1	"
61-010	33-250-32-1	$ 96.00
	33-250-41-1	$384.00
61-010	33-341-01-1	$ 96.00
	33-341-02-1	"
	33-341-03-1	"
	33-341-04-1	"
	33-341-05-1	"
	33-341-06-1	"
	33-341-07-1	"
	33-341-08-1	"
	33-341-09-1	"
	33-341-10-1	"
	33-341-11-1	"
	33-341-12-1	"
	33-341-13-1	"
	33-341-14-1	"
	33-341-15-1	"
	33-342-01-1	$96.00
	33-343-01-1	$96.00
	33-343-02-1	"
	33-343-05-1	"
	33-343-06-1	"
	33-343-07-1	"
	33-343-08-1	"
	33-343-09-1	"
	33-343-10-1	"
	33-343-11-1	"
	33-343-12-1	"
	33-343-13-1	"
	33-343-14-1	"
	33-343-15-1	"
	33-343-16-1	"
	33-343-18-1	"
	33-343-19-1	"
	33-351-01-1	"
	33-351-05-1	"
	33-351-06-1	"
	33-351-07-1	"
	33-351-18-1	"
	33-351-09-1	"
	33-351-10-1	"
	33-351-11-1	"
	33-351-14-1	"
	33-351-15-1	"

CODE AREA	PARCEL	AMOUNT
61-010	33-352-01-1	$96.00
	33-352-02-1	"
	33-352-03-1	"
	33-352-04-1	"
	33-352-05-1	"
	33-352-06-1	"
	33-352-07-1	
	33-352-08-1	"
	33-352-09-1	"
	33-352-10-1	"
	33-352-11-1	"
	33-353-01-1	$96.00
	33-460-01-1	"
	33-460-02-1	"
	33-460-03-1	"
	33-460-04-1	"
	33-460-05-1	"
	33-460-06-1	"
	33-460-07-1	"
	33-460-08-1	"
	33-460-11-1	"
	33-460-12-1	"
	33-460-13-1	"
	33-460-18-1	$96.00
	33-460-19-1	"
	33-460-20-1	"
	33-460-21-1	"
	33-460-22-1	"
	33-460-23-1	"
	33-460-24-1	"
	33-460-25-1	"
	33-460-26-1	"
	33-571-01-1	"
	33-571-02-1	"
	33-571-03-1	"
	33-571-04-1	"
	33-571-05-1	"
	33-571-06-1	"
	33-571-97-1	"
	33-571-08-1	"
	33-571-09-1	"
	33-571-10-1	"
	33-571-11-1	"
	33-571-12-1	"
	33-571-13-1	"
	33-571-14-1	"
	33-571-15 1	"
	33-571-16-1	"
	33-571-17-1	"
	33-571-18-1	"
	33-571-19-1	"

CODE AREA	PARCEL	AMOUNT
61-010	33-572-02-1	$ 96.00
	33-572-03-1	"
	33-572-04-1	"
	33-572-05-1	"
	33-572-06-1	"
	33-572-07-1	"
	33-572-08-1	"
	33-572-09-1	"
	33-572-10-1	"
	33-572-11-1	"
	33-572-12-1	"
	33-572-13-1	"
	33-572-14-1	"
	33-572-15-1	"
	33-572-16-1	"
	33-581-01-1	"
	33-581-02-1	"
	33-581-03-1	"
	33-581-04-1	"
	33-581-05-1	"
	33-581-06-1	"
	33-581-07-1	"
	33-581-08-1	"
	33-581-09-1	"
	33-581-10-1	"
	33-581-11-1	"
	33-582-01-1	"
	33-582-02-1	"
	33-582-03-1	"
	33-582-04-1	"
	33-582-05-1	"
	33-582-06-1	"
	33-582-07-1	"
	33-582-08-1	"
	33-582-09-1	"
	33-582-10-1	"
	33-582-11-1	"
61-010	33-590-01-1	$ 24.00
	33-590-02-1	"
	33-590-03-1	"
	33-590-04-1	"
	33-590-05-1	"
	33-590-06-1	"
	33-590-07-1	"
	33-590-08-1	"
	33-590-09-1	"
	33-590-10-1	"
	33-590-11-1	"
	33-590-12-1	"
	33-590-13-1	"
	33-590-14-1	"
	33-590-15-1	"

CODE AREA	PARCEL	AMOUNT
61-010	33-590-15-1	$ 24.00
	33-590-17-1	"
	33-590-18-1	"
	33-590-19-1	"
	33-590-20-1	"
	33-590-21-1	"
	33-590-22-1	"
	33-590-23-1	"
	33-590-24-1	"
	33-590-25-1	"
	33-590-26-1	"
	33-590-27-1	"
	33-590-28-1	"
	33-590-29-1	"
	33-590-30-1	"
	33-590-31-1	"
	33-590-32-1	"
	33-590-33-1	"
	33-590-34-1	"
61-010	33-600-01-1	"
	33-600-02-1	"
	33-600-03-1	"
	33-600-04-1	"
	33-600-05-1	"
	33-600-06-1	"
	33-600-07-1	"
	33-600-08-1	"
	33-600-09-1	"
	33-600-10-1	"
	33-600-11-1	"
	33-600-12-1	"
	33-600-13-1	"
	33-600-14-1	"
	33-600-15-1	"
	33-600-16-1	"
	33-600-17-1	"
	33-600-18-1	"
	33-600-19-1	"
	33-600-20-1	"
	33-610-01-1	"
	33-610-02-1	"
	33-610-03-1	"
	33-610-03-1	"
	33-610-04-1	"
	33-610-05-1	"
	33-610-06-1	"
	33-610-07-1	"
	33-610-08-1	"
	33-610-09-1	"
	33-610-10-1	"
	33-610-11-1	"
	33-610-12-1	"
	33-610-13-1	"

CODE AREA	PARCEL	AMOUNT
61-010	33-610-14-1	$ 24.00
	33-610-15-1	"
	33-610-16-1	"
	33-610-17-1	"
	33-610-18-1	"
	33-610-19-1	"
	33-610-20-1	"
	33-610-21-1	"
	33-610-22-1	"
	33-610-23-1	"
	33-610-24-1	"
	33-610-25-1	"
	33-610-26-1	"
	33-610-27-1	"
	33-610-28-1	"
	33-610-29-1	"
	33-610-30-1	"
	33-610-31-1	"
	33-610-32-1	"
	33-620-01-1	"
	33-620-02-1	"
	33-620-03-1	"
	33-620-04-1	"
	33-620-05-1	"
	33-620-06-1	"
	33-620-07-1	"
	33-620-08-1	"
	33-620-09-1	"
	33-620-10-1	"
	33-620-11-1	"
	33-620-12-1	"
	33-620-13-1	"
	33-620-14-1	"
	33-620-15-1	"
61-010	TOTAL	$15,984
61-012	33-360-01-1	$ 96.00
	33-360-02-1	"
	33-360-03-1	"
	33-360-04-1	"
	33-360-09-1	"
	33-360-10-1	"
	33-360-11-1	"
	33-360-12-1	"
	33-360-13-1	"
	33-360-14-1	"
	33-360-15-1	"
	33-360-16-1	"
	33-360-17-1	"
	33-360-26-1	"
	33-360-27-1	"
	33-360-28-1	"
61-012	33-360-29-1	$ 96.00
	33-360-30-1	"
	33-360-31-1	"
	33-360-32-1	"
	33-360-33-1	"
	33-360-34-1	"
	33-360-35-1	"
	33-360-36-1	"
	33-360-37-1	"
	33-360-40-1	"
	33-360-41-1	"
	33-360-42-1	"
	33-360-43-1	"
	33-360-44-1	"
	33-360-45-1	"
	33-360-46-1	"
	33-360-47-1	"
	33-360-48-1	"
	33-360-49-1	"
	33-360-50-1	"
	33-360-51-1	"
	33-360-52-1	"
61-012	TOTAL	$3,648
61-019	33-041-01-1	$ 96.00
	33-041-02-1	"
	33-041-03-1	"
	33-041-04-1	"
	33-041-05-1	"
	33-041-06-1	"
	33-041-07-1	"
	33-041-08-1	"
	33-041-09-1	"
	33-041-10-1	"
	33-041-11-1	"
	33-041-12-1	"
	33-041-13-1	"
	33-041-14-1	"
	33-041-15-1	"
	33-041-16-1	"
	33-041-17-1	"
	33-041-18-1	"
	33-041-19-1	"
	33-041-20-1	"
	33-042-01-1	"
	33-042-02-1	"
	33-042-03-1	"
	33-042-04-1	"
	33-042-05-1	"
	33-042-06-1	"
	33-043-01-1	"
	33-051-01-1	"

CODE AREA	PARCEL	AMOUNT
61-019	33-051-02-1	$ 96.00
	33-051-03-1	"
	33-051-04-1	"
	33-051-05-1	"
	33-051-06-1	"
	33-051-07-1	"
	33-051-08-1	
	33-051-09-1	"
	33-051-10-1	"
	33-051-11-1	"
	33-051-12-1	"
	33-051-13-1	"
	33-051-14-1	"
	33-051-15-1	"
	33-051-16-1	"
	33-051-17-1	"
	33-051-18-1	"
	33-052-01-1	"
	33-052-02-1	"
	33-052-03-1	"
	33-052-04-1	"
	33-052-05-1	"
	33-052-06-1	"
	33-052-07-1	"
	33-052-08-1	"
	33-052-09-1	"
	33-052-10-1	"
	33-053-01-1	"
	33-053-02-1	"
	33-053-03-1	"
	33-053-04-1	"
	33-053-05-1	"
	33-053-06-1	"
	33-053-07-1	"
	33-053-08-1	"
	33-053-09-1	"
	33-053-10-1	"
	33-053-11-1	"
	33-053-12-1	"
	33-053-13-1	
	33-053-14-1	"
	33-053-15-1	"
	33-053-16-1	"
	33-053-17-1	"
	33-053-18-1	"
	33-053-19-1	"
	33-053-20-1	"
	33-061-01-1	$ 96.00
	33-061-02-1	"
	33-061-03-1	"
	33-061-04-1	"
	33-061-05-1	"
	33-061-06-1	"
	33-061-07-1	"
	33-061-08-1	"

CODE AREA	PARCEL	AMOUNT
61-019	33-061-09-1	$ 96.00
	33-061-10-1	"
	33-061-11-1	"
	33-061-12-1	
	33-061-13-1	"
	33-061-14-1	"
	33-062-01-1	"
	33-062-02-1	
	33-062-03-1	"
	33-062-04-1	"
	33-062-05-1	"
	33-062-06-1	"
	33-062-07-1	"
	33-062-08-1	"
	33-062-09-1	"
	33-062-10-1	"
	33-063-01-1	$ 96.00
	33-071-01-1	"
	33-071-02-1	"
	33-071-03-1	"
	33-071-04-1	"
	33-071-05-1	"
	33-071-06-1	"
	33-071-07-1	"
	33-071-08-1	"
	33-071-09-1	"
	33-071-10-1	"
	33-071-11-1	"
	33-071-12-1	"
	33-071-13-1	"
	33-071-14-1	"
	33-072-01-1	"
	33-072-02-1	"
	33-072-03-1	"
	33-072-04-1	"
	33-072-05-1	"
	33-072-06-1	"
	33-072-07-1	"
	33-072-08-1	"
	33-072-09-1	"
	33-072-10-1	"
	33-072-14-1	"
	33-072-15-1	"
	33-072-16-1	"
	33-072-17-1	"
	33-072-18-1	"
	33-072-19-1	"
	33-072-20-1	"
	33-072-21-1	"
	33-072-22-1	"
	33-081-01-1	"
	33-082-04-1	"

CODE AREA	PARCEL	AMOUNT	AREA CODE	PARCEL	AMOUNT
01-019	33-082-05-1	$ 96.00			
	33-082-06-1	"			
	33-082-07-1	"			
	33-082-08-1	"			
	33-082-09-1	"			
	33-082-10-1	"			
	33-082-12-1	"			
	33-082-13-1	"			
	33-091-01-1	"			
	33-091-02-1	"			
	33-091-03-1	"			
	33-091-04-1	"			
	33-091-05-1				
	33-091-06-1	"			
	33-091-07-1	"			
	33-091-08-1	"			
	33-091-09-1	"			
	33-091-10-1	"			
	33-091-11-1	"			
	33-091-13-1	"			
	33-092-01-1	"			
	33-092-02-1	"			
	33-092-03-1	"			
	33-092-04-1	"			
	33-092-05-1	"			
	33-092-06-1	"			
	33-092-07-1	"			
	33-092-08-1	"			
	33-092-09-1	"			
	33-092-10-1	"			
	33-092-11-1	"			
	33-092-12-1	"			
	33-092-13-1	"			
	33-092-14-1	"			
	33-092-15-1	"			
	33-092-16-1				
	33-092-17-1	"			
	33-092-18-1	"			
	33-092-19-1	"			
	33-250-18-1	$384.00			
C/A 61-019	Total	$17,088.00			
61-021	33-044-01-1	$ 96.00			
	Total	$ 96.00			
	GRAND TOTAL	$36,816.00			

EL MACERO COMMUNITY SERVICE AREA
"OWNER TABULATION"

CODE AREA	ASSESSOR NUMBER	LOT	NAME & ADDRESS
61-010	33-083-03	Par. 6	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-083-04	Par. 3	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-083-05	Par. 5	Martino, Henry D. 2732 Bidwell, No. 2 Davis, Ca 95616
	33-083-06	Par. 4	Tamburo, Stephen S. & Emily W. 3203 County Club Dr. El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-083-07	Par. 2	Siebold, Barbara A.I. 1005 Mace Blvd. Davis, Ca 95616
	33-083-08	Par. 1	Anderson, Ray V. & Juanita F. P. O. Box 333 Davis, Ca 95616
	33-250-32	Par. "G"	El Macero Country Club Box 5 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-250-41	Par. 1	El Macero Country Club Box 5 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-341-01	207	Mayhew, William & Marianne 3584 El Macero Court El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-341-02	206	McCormack, Duncan C. III & Bev 3580 El Macero Court El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-341-03	205	Novelli, Elmo E. Jr. & Nancy M. P. O. Box 2548 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-341-04	204	Reitz, Eugene O. & Joan I. P. O. Box 3203 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-341-05	203	Keslin, Michael H. & Donna S. 3568 El Macero Court El Macero, Ca 95618

CODE AREA	ASSESSOR NUMBER	LOT	NAME & ADDRESS
61-010	33-341-06	202	Monson, John P. & Margaret C. P. O. Box 2498 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-341-07	201	Sun Chi & Chong Chang 3560 S. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-341-08	200	Bennick, Seymour Ex & Rosemary c/o Great American Builders, Inc. P. O. Box 28096 Sacramento, Ca 95828
	33-341-09	199	Yamada, Henry Y. & Kumiko P. O. Box 2484 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-341-10	198	Arhelger, Robert E. & Marion D. Arhelger, Robert M. P. O. Box 3052 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-341-11	197	Gulyassy, Paul F. & June M. P. O. Box 3063 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-341-12	196	Swartz, Russell P. & Adelaide A. 3466 S. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-341-13	195	Elfen, Robert W. & Kathryn T. P. O. Box 3142 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-341-14	194	Osak, Joseph S. & Lydia 3458 S. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-341-15	193	Guyer, J. Paul & Judith M. P. O. Box 1322 Sacramento, Ca 95806
	33-342-01	192	Byrd, Kenneth P. & Peggy J. 3471 Clubhouse Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-343-01	176	Houston, Homer T. & Catherine G. 17738 Los Almos Street Fountain Valley, Ca 92708
	33-343-02	177	Montgomery, Gerald & Irene 3573 S. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618

CODE AREA	ASSESSOR NUMBER	LOT	NAME & ADDRESS
61-010	33-343-05	180	Matolo, Nathaniel M. & Karen M. 3555 S. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-343-06	181	Rivera, Ronald P. & Lynn L. 3551 S. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-343-07	182	Bartholomew, Raymond K. & Norma L. 3479 S. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-343-08	183	Powell, Kent D. & Margaret J. 3475 S. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-343-09	184	Gilley, Curtiss W. & Sandra E. 3471 S. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-343-10	185	Efken, Sieg F. 3467 S. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-343-11	186	Shreck, Murle C. & E. B. 3463 S. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-343-12	187	Domich, Michael R. 3459 S. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-343-13	188	Anderson, Ray V. & Juanita F. P. O. Box 333 Davis, Ca 95616
	33-343-14	189	Million, Raymond W. & Charlotte 3451 S. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-343-15	190	Louis, Gregory D. & Ora B. 21st Support Command ACS Engineering & Housing APO New York 09325
	33-343-16	191	Thompson, Dan C. & Sherry A. 3371 S. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-343-18	Portion of 178	Tanaka, Ronald T. & Carol Ann P. O. Box 3132 El Macero, Ca 95618

CODE AREA	ASSESSOR NUMBER	LOT	NAME & ADDRESS
61-010	33-343-19	179 & portion of 178	May, Fred F. & June M. P. O. Box 2536 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-351-01	228	Stowell, Robert E. & Eva Mae 3000 El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-351-05	224	Unger, Dean F. & Margaret Z. 700 Alhambra Boulevard Sacramento, Ca 95816
	33-351-06	223	Pitalo, John E. & Mary P. O. Box 507 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-351-07	222	Johnson, James M. & Dorothy D. P. O. Box 2475 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-351-08	221	Dubach, Merrill & Peggy Lee P. O. Box 2037 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-351-09	220	Wilson, James S. & Marilyn M. 3639 E. Easter Avenue Littletown, Colorado 80122
	33-351-10	219	Youmans, Richard T. & Karen L. P. O. Box 3241 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-351-11	218	Wolfe, Stephen L. & Betty M. 3250 Clubhouse Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-351-14	225 & portion of 226	Barber, A. & Bonita J. P. O. Box 180 Woodland, Ca 95695
	33-351-15	227 & portion of 226	Denardo, Gerald L. & Sally J. 3362 Clubhouse Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-352-01	208	Glauz, Robert P. O. Box 2555 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-352-02	209	Tholke, George R. & Hedy 3359 Clubhouse Drive El Macero, Ca 95618

CODE AREA	ASSESSOR NUMBER	LOT	NAME & ADDRESS
61-010	33-352-03	210	Cory, George L. & Shelba D. 3355 Clubhouse Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-352-04	211	Bernstein, Leslie & Elaine 3351 Clubhouse Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-352-05	212	Wegner, Kenneth D. & Lynne C. P. O. Box 2481 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-352-06	213	Andrews, Neil C. & Carla F. Box 3007 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-352-07	214	Chatterjee, Satya N. & Patricia 3267 Clubhouse Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-352-08	215	Snow, Kenneth W. & Phyllis J. 3263 Clubhouse Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-352-09	216	Lowrey, George H. & Patricia P. O. Box 3091 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-352-10	217	Sisson, Homer H. & Kathleen H. P. O. Box 2565 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-352-11	NAPOTS	El Macero Country Club, Inc. P. O. Box 2005 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-353-01	229	Sams, Donald E. & Helen S. 3451 Greenview Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-460-01	230	Eberly, Donald L. & Ellen M. P. O. Box 2488 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-460-02	231	Rever, Robert W. & Doris S. P. O. Box 2499 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-460-03	232	Decker, Ralph E. & Janet P. P. O. Box 3123 El Macero, Ca 95618

CODE AREA	ASSESSOR NUMBER	LOT	NAME & ADDRESS
61-010	33-460-04	233	Lincoln, James G. & Lynnette A. 510 Bercut Drive, Suite L Sacramento, Ca 95814
	33-460-05	234	Osborne, John R. & Olivia P. O. Box 3042 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-460-06	235	Westfall, Ronald H. & Carol J. P. O. Box 3174 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-460-07	236	Lincoln, James G. & Lynnette A. 510 Bercut Drive, Suite L Sacramento, Ca 95814
	33-460-08	237	Brooks, Bruce D. & Betty J. P. O. Box 6506 Sacramento, Ca 95860
	33-460-11	240	Cole, Kenneth L. & Angela P.P. P. O. Box 538 - Crawford P. O. Singapore 7
	33-460-12	241	Same as 33-460-11
	33-460-13	242	English, Justin G. & Patricia N. P. O. Box 2063 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-460-18	247	Montgomery, Gerald R. & Irene 3573 S. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-460-19	248	Bowlsbey, Glen A. & Sally L. 3482 Greenview Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-460-20	249	Horrigan, Bruce E. & Doris M. 3539 Country Club Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-460-21	250	Lamont, John J. & Gene D. P. O. Box 3196 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-460-22	251	Gill, Robert C. & Muriel B. 915 Sievers Way Dixon, Ca 95620

CODE AREA	ASSESSOR NUMBER	LOT	NAME & ADDRESS
61-010	33-460-23	Lot C of resurvey Lot Split	Youmans, Julian R. & Nancy N. P. O. Box 3167 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-460-24	Lot B of resurvey Lot Split	Thompson, Ray & Donna M. P. O. Box 3211 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-460-25	Lot A of resurvey Lot Split	Padilla, Edward R. & Andrea A. 9908 Glen Olden Drive Potomac, Md. 20854
	33-460-26	238 & 239	Fortini, Glen E. & Sandra P. P. O. Box 3168 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-571-01	307	Kirkpatrick, James R. & Norma J. 3358 S. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-571-02	306	Childs-Ferrarini Const. Co. P. O. Box 461 Davis, Ca 95616
	33-571-03	305	Glazer, Gerald & Diane M. 3334 S. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-571-04	304	Kraus, Charles P. & Lorraine P. O. Box 3044 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-571-05	303	Lincoln, Chester H. & Ann M. 510 Bercut Drive, Suite L Sacramento, Ca 95814
	33-571-06	302	Sheikholeslam, Mahmood & Shahla 10385 Melissa Court Cupertino, Ca 95014
	33-571-07	301	Thompson, Burton T. & June M. 3290 S. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-571-08	300	Bertero, Alfred V. & Joanne L. 612 Francisco Place Davis, Ca 95616
	33-571-09	299	Zaret, Harris & Cheril P. O. Box 3197 El Macero, Ca 95618

CODE AREA	ASSESSOR NUMBER	LOT	NAME & ADDRESS
61-010	33-571-10	298	Broward Construction, Inc. 509 Del Oro Davis, Ca 95616
	33-571-11	297	Gutierrez, Joseph A. & Ruby 3222 S. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-571-12	296	McKinney, James E. & Stacy, Anne 3218 S. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-571-13	295	Frediani, Lawrence E., Jr. & Linda P. O. Box 2539 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-571-14	294	Partain, Charles A. & Joan C. 3228 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-571-15	293	Della Rose, R. J. 48 Walnut Lane Davis, Ca 95616
	33-571-16	292	Dougherty, William P. & Carol D. 750 Second Street Woodland, Ca 95695
	33-571-17	291	Lincoln, Chester H. & Ann M 510 Bercut Drive, Suite L Sacramento, Ca 95814
	33-571-18	290	Jack Monson - Ag. Chemicals, Inc. P. O. Box 236 Dixon, Ca 95620
	33-571-19	289	Engilis, Andrew E. & Vernie 3178 S. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-572-02	252	Baumgartner, R. L. & Sharon 3359 S. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-572-03	253	Schenck, Willard W. & Mary A. 3347 S. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-572-04	254	Hornbuckle, Phil & Bonnie 305 Greenwood Drive Woodland, Ca 95695

CODE AREA	ASSESSOR NUMBER	LOT	NAME & ADDRESS
61-010	33-572-05	255	Wilson, Brooks W. & Helen M. 5010 Glide Drive, No. 5 Davis, Ca 95616
	33-572-06	256	Holcroft, James W. & Alicia E. 3311 S. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-572-07	257	Silver, John M. & Darlene H. 3303 S. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-572-08	258	Loomis, Joe S. & Lois F. 3293 S. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-572-09	259	Lincoln, Chester H. & Ann M. 510 Bercut Drive, Suite L Sacramento, Ca 95814
	33-572-10	260	Broward Construction, Inc. 509 Del Oro Davis, Ca 95616
	33-572-11	261	Same as 33-572-10
	33-572-12	262	Castaldo, Robert J. & Donna L. 627 Buchanan Street Davis, Ca 95616
	33-572-13	263	McElrath, John L. & Margaret P. O. B ox 2112 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-572-14	264	Epperson, David C. & Bernice 3211 S. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-572-15	265	McGowan, Raymond J. & Sonia 3191 S. El Macero El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-572-16	266	Boutin, Stephen F. & Linda T. 3177 S. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-581-01	288	Predovich, Daniel L. & Margaret E. 639 Marina Circle Davis, Ca 95616

CODE AREA	ASSESSOR NUMBER	LOT	NAME & ADDRESS
61-010	33-581-02	287	Brinck, William G. & Lois 3132 S. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-581-03	286	Muehr, Paul & June 3104 S. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-581-04	285	Anderson, Douglas T. & Rebecca T. P. O. Box 3001 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-581-05	284	Filliman, Joan L. 3020 Country Club Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-581-06	283	Bleasdell, Robert Joseph & Dixie D. 500 Citadel Drive Davis, Ca 95616
	33-581-07	282	Filliman, Joan L. 3020 Country Club Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-581-08	281	Anderson, Ray V. & Juanita F. P. O. Box 333 Davis, Ca 95616
	33-581-09	280	Tatum, William West, Jr. P. O. Box 2082 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-581-10	279	Dewitt, Jacob W. & Mary B. 3024 S. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-581-11	278	Willis, Donald E. & Annette S. P. O. Box 2568 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-582-01	267	Stephens, Amos R. & Margaret P. 3165 S. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-582-02	268	Casa Bella Builders, Inc. 627 Buchanan Street Davis, Ca 95616
	33-582-03	269	Steuben, Gene E. & Louise A. 1308 Meadow Road West Sacramento, Ca 95691

CODE AREA	ASSESSOR NUMBER	LOT	NAME & ADDRESS
61-010	33-582-04	270	Childs, Gary P. & Barbara M P. O. Box 3113 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-582-05	271	Ragsdale, John T. & Susan C. P. O. Box 3101 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-582-06	272	Eichert, Bill S. & Jeane R. 3075 S. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-582-07	273	Walton, A Bruce, Jr. 2106 El Camino Avenue Sacramento, Ca 95821
	33-582-08	274	Castillo, Homero & Audrey G. 3049 S. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-582-09	275	Frago, Robert & Barbara 602 Commons Drive Sacramento, Ca 95825
	33-582-10	276	Giannoni, Anthony R. & Cynthia M. 3025 S. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-582-11	277	Meeks, Phillip R. & Marilyn J. P. O. Box 3104 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-590-01	343	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-590-02	344	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-590-03	345	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-590-04	346	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-590-05	347	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618

CODE AREA	ASSESSOR NUMBER	LOT	NAME & ADDRESS
61-010	33-590-06	348	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-590-07	349	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-590-08	350	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-590-09	351	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-590-10	352	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-590-11	353	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-590-12	354	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-590-13	355	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-590-14	356	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-590-15	357	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-590-16	358	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-590-17	359	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-590-18	360	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618

CODE AREA	ASSESSOR NUMBER	LOT	NAME & ADDRESS
61-010	33-590-19	361	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-590-20	362	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-590-21	363	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-590-22	364	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-590-23	365	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-590-24	366	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-590-25	367	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-590-26	368	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-590-27	369	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-590-28	370	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-590-29	371	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-590-30	372	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-590-31	373	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618

CODE AREA	ASSESSOR NUMBER	LOT	NAME & ADDRESS
61-010	33-590-32	374	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-590-33	375	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-590-34	376	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-600-01	333	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-600-02	334	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-600-03	335	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-600-04	336	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-600-05	337	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-600-06	338	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-600-07	339	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-600-08	340	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-600-09	341	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-600-10	342	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618

CODE AREA	ASSESSOR NUMBER	LOT	NAME & ADDRESS
61-010	33-600-11	377	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-600-12	378	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-600-13	379	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-600-14	380	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-600-15	381	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-600-16	382	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-600-17	383	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-600-18	384	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-600-19	385	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-600-20	386	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-610-01	323	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-610-02	324	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-610-03	325	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618

CODE AREA	ASSESSOR NUMBER	LOT	NAME & ADDRESS
61-010	33-610-04	326	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-610-05	327	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-610-06	328	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-610-07	329	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-610-08	330	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-610-09	331	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-610-10	332	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-610-11	387	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-610-12	388	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-610-13	389	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-610-14	390	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-610-15	391	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-610-16	392	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618

CODE AREA	ASSESSOR NUMBER	LOT	NAME & ADDRESS
61-010	33-610-17	393	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-610-18	394	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-610-19	395	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-610-20	396	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-610-21	397	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-610-22	398	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-610-23	399	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-610-24	400	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-610-25	401	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-610-26	402	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-610-27	403	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-610-28	404	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-610-29	405	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618

CODE AREA	ASSESSOR NUMBER	LOT	NAME & ADDRESS
61-010	33-610-30	406	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-610-31	407	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-610-32	408	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-620-01	308	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-620-02	309	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-620-03	310	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-620-04	311	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-620-05	312	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-620-06	313	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-620-07	314	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-620-08	315	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-620-09	316	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-620-10	317	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618

CODE AREA	ASSESSOR NUMBER	LOT	NAME & ADDRESS
61-010	33-620-11	318	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-620-12	319	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-620-13	320	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-620-14	321	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-620-15	322	Mace Properties, Inc. P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
61-012	33-360-01	37	Coffey, Betty M. P. O. Box 2114 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-360-02	36	Rivera, John & Angelina L. P. O. Box 2486 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-360-03	35	Anderson, Robert H. 2954 Garden Court El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-360-04	34	Minder, Thomas G., et al 2952 Garden Court El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-360-09	29	Pleines, Frederick & Joyce 2938 Garden Court El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-360-10	28	Anderson, Gail M. P. O. Box 2492 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-360-11	27	King, C. R. Lee 2934 Garden Court El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-360-12	26	Armstrong, Evelyn J. P. O. Box 3034 El Macero, Ca 95618

CODE AREA	ASSESSOR NUMBER	LOT	NAME & ADDRESS
61-012	33-360-13	25	Geer, Orvil G. & Betty W. P. O. Box 3166 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-360-14	24	Williams, Madelyn C. P. O. Box 2133 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-360-15	23	Gausemel, Arthur N. & Hazel L. P. O. Box 3182 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-360-16	22	Barovetto, Silvio L. & Madelyn A. P. O. Box 3198 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-360-17	21	Nesbit, Reed M. & Mable W. 2920 Garden Court El Mavero, Ca 95618
	33-360-26	12	Kramer, Donald J. & Yvonne A. 2921 Garden Court El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-360-27	11	Nelson, Stewart V. & Sandra S. P. O. Box 2467 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-360-28	10	Beck, Ruth B. P. O. Box 391 Bloomington, Indiana 47401
	33-360-29	9	MacNiven, Alexander F. & Mildred E. P. O. Box AA Davis, Ca 95616
	33-360-30	8	Olcott, Harold S. & Bernice R. P. O. Box 2062 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-360-31	7	Boyd, James & Phyllis A. 2933 Garden Court El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-360-32	6	Kramer, Donald J. & Yvonne A. 2937 Garden Court El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-360-33	5	Gilson, William P. & Shirley P. O. Box 3033 El Macero, Ca 95618

CODE AREA	ASSESSOR NUMBER	LOT	NAME & ADDRESS
61-012	33-360-34	4	Bachtold, Harold E. & Louise M. 2941 Garden Court El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-360-35	3	Dubach, Merrill L. & Peggy Lee 2943 Garden Court El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-360-36	2	Giedt, Warren H. & Leta 2945 Garden Court El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-360-37	1	Montfort, Harry L., Trustee P. O. Box 2495 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-360-40	A	Montfort, Harry L., et al c/o T. Minder P. O. Box 332 Davis, Ca 95616
	33-360-41	33A	Adams, Winfred W. & Alice C. P. O. Box 3204 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-360-42	32A	Parker, H. K. & Marion E. P. O. Box 3021 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-360-43	31A	Hunt, Richard W. & Jacqueline P. O. Box 3122 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-360-44	30A	Gill, Gerald G. & Mary E. 2942 Garden Court El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-360-45	20A	Barrow, Ronald E. 2901 Garden Court El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-360-46	19A	Reynolds, W. Raymond & Ann Box 3141 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-360-47	18A	Talbott, John W. & Shirlee 2905 Garden Court El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-360-48	17A	Baker, Donald A. & Kristen B. 2907 Garden Court El Macero, Ca 95618

CODE AREA	ASSESSOR NUMBER	LOT	NAME & ADDRESS
61-012	33-360-49	16A	Akesson, Norman B. & Margaret P. O. Box 2004 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-360-50	15A	Mays, Cynthia J. P. O. Box 3194 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-360-51	14A	Pacific Stnadard Life Ins., Co. c/o E. L. Fickle P. O. Box 1796 Sacramento, Ca 95808
	33-360-52	13A	Craver, Charles B. & Kathleen P. O. Box 3154 El Macero, Ca 95618
61-019	33-041-01	1	Robertson, Richard R. & Florence E. P. O. Box 2535 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-041-02	2	Lopes, Ronald E. & Susan M. 3536 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-041-03	3	Winter, Dennis J. & Linda K. 3532 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-041-04	4	Taylor, N. A. & Cheryl L. P. O. Box 3111 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-041-05	5	Loomis, Roger D. & Patricia C. 3524 El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-041-06	6	Arcangel, Henry C. & Erlinda B. 3520 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-041-07	7	Jackura, Kenneth A. & Kenneth A. 3516 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-041-08	8	Young, W. Stanley & Colleen 3512 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-041-09	9	Brant, Albert W. & Verna M. 3508 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618

CODE AREA	ASSESSOR NUMBER	LOT	NAME & ADDRESS
61-019	33-041-10	10	Hansen, Sigurd & Carolyn R. 3504 El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-041-11	11	Harris, Fred & Louise A. P. O. Box 3047 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-041-12	12	Frazier, John Carl Jr. & Elna H. 3424 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, A 95618
	33-041-13	13	Bickel, Edward G. & Alice H. 3420 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-041-14	14	Rodato, Bernice D. P. O. Box 3065 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-041-15	15	Dehayes, Arthur J. & Carolyn 3412 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-041-16	16	Sherman, Dennis R. & Cheryl P. O. Box 3172 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-041-17	17	Watson, David W. & Nancy H. 3404 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-041-18	18	Miller, Paul F. & Peggy Jean P. O. Box 31 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-041-19	19	Beall, Walter A. & Sharon G. P. O. Box 3195 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-041-20	20	Tobin, William F. & Patricia J. P. O. Box 65 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-042-01	99	Horrigan, Bruce E. & Doris M. 3539 Country Club Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-042-02	98	Gualco, Eugene M. & Marjorie N. 3537 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618

CODE AREA	ASSESSOR NUMBER	LOT	NAME & ADDRESS
61-019	33-042-03	97	Beckus, Robert J. & Margaret J. P. O. Box 2113 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-042-04	96	Dier, Edward L. & Rita E. P. O. Box 2022 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-042-05	95	Needham, Thomas & Marilyn P. O. Box 2052 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-042-06	94	Uriarte, Daniel & Alice P. O. Box 2064 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-043 01	A	El Macero Golf Club, Inc. P. O. Box 5 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-051-01	21	Dobie, John B. & Lois V. P. O. Box 3064 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-051-02	22	Gubel, Robert A. & Jeanette R. P. O. Box 2552 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-051-03	23	Walker, James D. & Betty A. 3312 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-051-04	24	Fowler, William M. & Eileen N. 3308 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-051-05	25	Rose, Ellis W. & Anne V. P. O. Box 504 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-051-06	26	Hamett, Richard M. & Norma F. P. O. Box 2094 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-051-07	27	Partain, Charles A. & Joan C. 3228 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-051-08	28	Bettencourt, Clarence T. & Mary Mella P. O. Box 3062 El Macero, Ca 95618

CODE AREA	ASSESSOR NUMBER	LOT	NAME & ADDRESS
61-019	33-051-09	29	Danko, Frank & June P. O. Box 2511 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-051-10	30	Danko & Wetherbee Cabinets 3722 West Pacific Avenue Sacramento, Ca 95820
	33-051-11	31	Berry, Ellis O. & Betty H. 3212 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-051-12	32	Hickman, George W. & Dorothy A. 3208 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-051-13	33	Rogers, Eugene L. Jr. & Virginia P. O. Box 2514 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-051-14	34	Michael, Sarah J. 3200 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-051-15	35	Rehg, Kenneth W. & Marion E. 3128 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-051-16	36	Johnson, G. E. & Morrell, J. R., Tr 3124 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-051-17	37	Hanssmann, Dennett & Joan 3120 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-051-18	38	Wegner, Kenneth D. & Lynne C. 3116 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-052-01	75	Kovacic, Charles & Francis 3315 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-052-02	74	Holt, Edwin E. & Margery A. 3307 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-052-03	73	Maha, Douglas A. & Jacqulin M. 3301 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618

CODE AREA	ASSESSOR NUMBER	LOT	NAME & ADDRESS
61-019	33-052-04	72	Smith, Cloren E. & Antonia A. 3227 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-052-05	71	Gass, James J. & Carlene V. 1409 Glenwood Drive Modesto, Ca 95350
	33-052-06	80	Herring, Rodney C. & Jeanne T. 3224 Middle Golf Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-052-07	79	Hatton, Peter L. & Betty L. 3228 Middle Golf Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-052-08	78	Eitel, Robert H. & Margaret 3300 Middle Golf Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-052-09	77	Hart, Robert A. & Dorothy K. 3308 Middle Golf Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-052-10	76	Cook, Gale & Helen B. P. O. Box 2134 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-053-01	93	Schmick, William A. & Janice O. P. O. Box 3093 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-053-02	92	Goodlin, Robert C. & Velma S. P. O. Box 3225 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-053-03	91	Martin, Charles A. & Marjorie 3313 Middle Golf Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-053-04	90	Brandt, Harry & Muriel R. 3309 Middle Golf Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-053-05	89	The Fluro-Ted Co., Inc. 604 - 3rd Street Davis, Ca 95616
	33-053-06	88	Kelley, James H. & Kenneth G. P. O. Box 3226 El Macero, Ca 95618

CODE AREA	ASSESSOR NUMBER	LOT	NAME & ADDRESS
61-019	33-053-07	87	Willis, Annette S. & Delponte, G. F. P. O. Box 2568 El Macero, Ca 95616
	33-053-08	86	Filliman, Joan Lear P. O. Box 2074 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-053-09	85	Wilson, Edgar F. & Roberta Z. P. O. Box 532 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-053-10	84	Christensen, Paul P. O. Box 2523 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-053-11	83	Martin, Charles A. & Marjorie M. 3312 Middle Golf Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-053-12	82	May, Richard A. & Carol B. Trustee of Richard & Carol May Family 3223 Middle Golf Drive, Box 2121 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-053-13	81	Marsh, George L. & Gertrude E. P. O. Box 2111 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-053-14	70	Valente, George & Lena E. 3215 El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-053-15	69	Same as 33-053-14
	33-053-16	68	Treaster, Miles J. & Jean E. P. O. Box 2014 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-05317	67	Pehrson, George F. & Ellen D. 3201 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-053-18	66	Borhani, Nemat O. & Patricia A. 3127 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-053-19	65	Muller, Dayle G. & Dorothy E. P. O. Box 93 Davis, Ca 95616

CODE AREA	ASSESSOR NUMBER	LOT	NAME & ADDRESS
61-019	33-053-20	64	Ingebretsen, Karl H. & Lucelle 3117 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-061-01	39	Hutton, Desmond E. & Mary E. P. O. Box 2559 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-061-02	40	Bobby, Charles B. & Elsie P. O. Box 2126 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-061-03	41	Kimbell, Earl S. & Nell S. P. O. Box 3122 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-061 04	42	Sylvester, Joseph A. & Barbara R. 3100 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-061-05	43	Lane, Benjamin & Sylvia 3028 El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-061-06	44	Amendola, Louis J. & Jane L. 3024 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-061-07	45	Dullum, Neil C. & Linda J. 3020 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-061-08	46	Gibbson, Charles T. & Julia M. 3016 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-061-09	47	Gage, John G. & Ada M. 3012 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-061-10	48	Lopez, Guillermo & Delfina M. 3008 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-061-11	49	Curtiss, Philip H. & Eleanor M. Nortrop Box 694 APO New York 09616
	33-061-12	50	Stowell, Robert E. & Eva Mae 3000 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618

CODE AREA	ASSESSOR NUMBER	LOT	NAME & ADDRESS
61-019	33-061-13	51	Wolfman, Earl F. Jr. & Lois J. 2928 El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-061-14	52	Sigerseth, Charles J. & Francesca C. 2924 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-062-01	63	Lohse, Carleton L. & Susan S. 3111 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-062-02	62	Hume, Gene D. & Sali M. 3105 El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-062-03	61	Snow, Gordon F. & Winifred C. 3101 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-062-04	60	Duffy, Marie Francies 3027 El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-062-05	59	Schrade, Jack & Margaret E. P. O. Box 2095 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-062-06	58	Roefs, Violet I. 3017 El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-062-07	57	Shinkle, Solon P. & Elsie 3015 El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-062-08	56	Walton, Stanley V. Jr. & Madelyn Jones 3011 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-062-09	55	Hurley, Edward J. 3005 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-062-10	54	Tatum, William West, Jr. 3001 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-063-1	53	Rich, J. L. & Maude L. Trustee of John L. Rich Family P. O. Box 513 El Macero, Ca 95618

CODE AREA	ASSESSOR NUMBER	LOT	NAME & ADDRESS
61-019	33-071-01	100	Younglove, Carl & Bernice P. O. Box 36 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-071-02	121	Sham, Enez D. 3520 Lakeview Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-071-03	122	Heinrich, John W. & Ernestine L. 3261 San Simeon Court Reno, Nevada 89509
	33-071-04	123	Corfee, Allen W. & Barbara 3512 Lakeview Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-071-05	124	Crane, Julian C. & Elizabeth D. 3508 Lakeview Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-071-06	125	Mrak, Emil M. & Vera G., as Trustees 602 Cordova Place Davis, Ca 95616
	33-071-07	126	Lamoree, Harold E. & Evelyn G. P. O. Box 132 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-071-08	127	Hubbell, Bruce E. & Alice B. P. O. Box 36 Davis, Ca 95616
	33-071-09	128	Sheneman, Jack M. & Hielkje B. 3424 Lakeview Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-071-10	129	Trelford, John D. & Mary A. 3420 Lakeview Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-071-11	130	Chappell, Grant F. & Lois J. 3416 Lakeview Circle El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-071-12	131	Thurn, Richard L. & Sharel Cl. P. O. Box 561 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-071-13	132	Tupper, C. John & Mary H. 3408 Lakeview Drive El Macero, Ca 95618

CODE AREA	ASSESSOR NUMBER	LOT	NAME & ADDRESS
61-019	33-071-14	133	Spilman, Carl E. & Helen E. 3404 Lakeview Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-072-01	101	Binning, Greta H. 3521 Country Club Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-072-02	120	Addison, Elwood S. & Merrie E. 3508 Country Club Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-072-03	119	Seidler, Nancy B. P. O. Box 2091 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-072-04	118	Lorenz, O. A. & Olive C. P. O. Box 541 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-072-05	117	Maes, Lawrence A. & Avis E. P. O. Box 2471 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-072-06	116	Loupe, James R. & Marion R. 3421 Lakeview Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-072-07	115	Turgeon, Judith L. & Waring, Dennis W. 3417 Lakeview Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-072-08	114	Castaldo, Rob ert J. & Donna L. 627 Buchanan Street Davis, Ca 95616
	33-072-09	113	Lamoree, H. E. Jr. & Leonor C. 3409 Lakeview Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-072-10	112	Smith, Douglas N. & Elayne A. 3405 Lakeview Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-072-14	108	Turner, George O. & Marjorie J. P. O. Box 2041 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-072-15	107	Simmons, John B. Jr. & Mary B. P. O. Box 67 El Macero, Ca 95618

CODE AREA	ASSESSOR NUMBER	LOT	NAME & ADDRESS
61-019	33-072-16	106	Hoff, James E. & Kate J. P. O. Box 2558 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-072-17	105	Heatly, Roy F. & Maxine W. P. O. Box 2497 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-072-18	104	Grimes, W. R. & Roberta 3432 Country Club Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-072-19	103	Seery, Gerard E. & Catherine E. P. O. Box 3165 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-072 20	102	Addison, Elwood S. & Marrie E. 3508 Country Club Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-072-21	111 & Part of 110	Caudle, Jack F. & Arlene M. 3404 Country Club Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-072-22	109 & Part of 110	Smernes, Anthony Jr. & Judy S. 3410 Country Club Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-081-01	134	Lyon, William L. Jr. & Karine S. 3400 Country Club Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-082-04	138	Harter, Alvin E. & Edna F. 3212 Country Club Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-082-05	139	Schweigert, Bernard S. & Alta G. 3208 Country Club Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-082-06	140	Hughes, Alma A. P. O. Box 144 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-082-07	141	Blake, John Jr. & June M. 3200 Country Club Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-082-08	142	McCormack, Duncan C. & Ruth I. P. O. Box 3026 El Macero, Ca 95618

CODE AREA	ASSESSOR NUMBER	LOT	NAME & ADDRESS
61-019	33-082-09	143	Stein, Raymond O. Jr & Mary E. P. O. Box 3085 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-082-10	144	Lawrence, Robert B. & Particia A. 3116 Country Club Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-082-12	135 & Portion of 136	Mace, C. Freland & Anna Lee P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-082-13	137 & Portion of 136	Raventos, Antoline & Gray, Anne P. P. O. Box 3136 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-091-01	145	Liggett, Frank P Ellenor M. P. O. Box 3031 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-091-02	146	Crown Zellerbach Corporation 1 Bush Street, Room 1704 San Francisco, Ca 94104
	33-091-03	147	Anderson, John B. 3100 Country Club Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-091-04	148	Same as 33-091-03
	33-091-05	149	Van Blitter, John & Tsui Siu P. O. Box 2456 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-091-06	150	Spoto, Henry R. & Lenore R. 3002 Catalina Drive Davis, Ca 95616
	33-091-07	151	Dykstra, Daniel J. & Lilly S. P. O. Box 524 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-091-08	152	Lear, Marjorie M. 3020 Country Club Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-091-09	153	Little, James Kenneth & Elaine S. 3536 N. El Macero Drive El Macero, Ca 95618

CODE AREA	ASSESSOR NUMBER	LOT	NAME & ADDRESS
61-019	33-091-10	154	Peterson, Thomas A. & Joanna 3012 Country Club Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-091-11	155	Getzen, Lindsay C. & Edith S. 3008 Country Club Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-091-13	156 & Napots	Stephens, Roger B. P. O. Box 160267 Sacramento, Ca 95816
	33-092-01	175	Richards, Alfred J. & Theresa M. 3111 Country Club Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-092-02	174	Marton, John W. & Evelyn M. P. O. Box 3228 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-092-03	173	Tate, Robert Jr. & Mancy 2757 Tenth Avenue Sacramento, Ca 95816
	33-092-04	172	Flowers, William H. & Betty D. 3027 Country Club Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-092-05	171	Wetzel, John R. & Clairdine P. P. O. Box 1325 Woodland, Ca 95695
	33-092-06	170	Meyer, John D. & Norma E. 3017 Country Club Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-092-07	169	Mason, Dean T. & Maureen O. 3015 Country Club Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-092-08	168	Glock, Robert W. & Miream R. 3011 Country Club Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-092-09	167	Vaughan, Cye George & Mayme P. O. B ox 518 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-092-10	166	Phipps, Lewis E. & Ester L. P. O. Box 3092 El Macero, Ca 95618

CODE AREA	ASSESSOR NUMBER	LOT	NAME & ADDRESS
61-019	33-092-11	165	Blemen, Bruce M. & Joanne B. P. O. Box 482 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-092-12	164	Uhrhammer, James L. & Sandra 2943 Country Club Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-092-13	163	Ault, Joseph E. & Renee J. P. O. Box 2517 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-092-14	162	Claassen, Carl E. & Theresa L. 2937 Country Club Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-092-15	161	Porter, Donald R. & Mildred W. P. O. Box 6 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-092-16	160	Gamble, Clifford N. Jr. & Judy 2933 Country Club Drive El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-092-17	159	Lear, Bert & Anita M. 2931 Country Club Circle El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-092-18	158	Dublin, Arthur B. & Kathryn G. P. O. Box 2011 El Macero, Ca 95618
	33-092-19	157	Brownson, Robert H. & Carol A. 2417 Trant Lake Drive Virginia Beach, Va 23454
	33-250-18	Por. of Par. B	El Macero Golf Club P. O. Box 5 El Macero, Ca 95618
61-021	33-044-01	Por. of Par. B	Mace, C. Freland, et al P. O. Box 35 El Macero, Ca 95618

BK

RESOLUTION NO. 79-122

(Resolution of Acceptance for Parcel Map No. 2914 for Del Pac Properties.)

WHEREAS, the final tracing for Parcel Map No. 2914 has been prepared and presented to the Board of Supervisors for approval; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission considered and approved a Negative Declaration of Environmental Impact for the proposed project, and this Board has considered and approved said Negative Declaration; and

WHEREAS, the tentative map for Parcel Map No. 2914 has been approved by said Planning Commission; and

WHEREAS, said map contemplates creation of two parcels in the Planned Development (PD-5) Zone, of Yolo County; and

WHEREAS, the final tracing of said Parcel Map has been signed by all necessary parties pursuant to the provisions of the Government Code of the State of California; and

WHEREAS, said map in all respects complies with the provisions of the State Subdivision Map Act and of the Local Ordinances.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows: (1) Each of the foregoing recitals is true and correct. (2) After consideration of the Negative Declaration of Environmental Impact for the proposed project, this Board finds that the project as proposed and approved will not have a significant effect on the environment and the Negative Declaration on file is hereby approved by this Board. (3) This Board hereby finds that the proposed subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvements, is consistent with general plan adopted for the County of Yolo. (4) This Board hereby approves Parcel Map No. 2914 as submitted, and instructs the Clerk to prepare subject map for transmittal to the County Recorder for filing.

///

FILED

AUG 15 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By Barbara A. Rodgers

Deputy

///

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Clerk of this Board hereby is authorized and ordered to file a Notice of Determination upon this project, with a copy of the Negative Declaration attached, said notice to include the decision of this Board to approve the proposed project, the finding of this Board that the proposed project will not have a significant effect on the environment, and a statement that no environmental impact report has been prepared, all pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 14th day of August, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

Betsy A. Marchand

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

By Pete E Lucas, Deputy

(SEAL)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

, Deputy

COUNTY COUNSEL

FILED
AUG 15 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Barbara Rodgers
Deputy

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION

NO. 79-43

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21152 and Yolo County Code Section 10-1.910 that the County of Yolo acting by its decision-making officer or body has made the following determination whether to approve or disapprove a project as follows:

1. The decision-making officer or body is the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California.

2. The project is described as follows: Request for approval of Parcel Map No. 2914 which divides an existing 2.862 acre parcel into two parcels of 1.880 and 0.982 acres in the Planned Development (PD-5) Zone. Project is located on the northeast corner of the intersection of Starboard Drive and Beacon Blvd. in the West Sacramento area. Yolo County Planning Commission approved the tentative map for this land division on July 5, 1979, and all conditions of approval have been complied with.

3. The project was approved on August 14, 1979, by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 79-122, accepting Parcel Map No. 2914 for Del Pac Properties based upon the following findings: The proposed subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvements, is consistent with general plan adopted for the County of Yolo.

4. No environmental impact report has been prepared, pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act.

Dated: August 15, 1979.

YOLO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

PETER McNAMEE, COUNTY CLERK
Ex-Officio Clerk of the Board
of Supervisors

BY Barbara Rodgers Deputy

NEGATIVE DECLARATION
OF ENVIRONMENTAL SIGNIFICANCE
No. 79-61

The Yolo County Planning Commission has reviewed all available information on the environmental effect of the project described as

request for tentative parcel map to divide an existing 2.86 acre parcel into one .98 acre parcel and one 1.88 acre parcel located on the northeast corner of the intersection of Starboard Drive and Beacon Drive, West Sacramento, in the Planned Development (PD-5) Zone

Assessor's Parcel No. 9-645-13

Tentative Parcel Map #2914

The Planning Commission has determined that:

1. The project described is one that would ordinarily be expected to have a significant effect on the environment, and

2. The project will have no significant adverse effect on the environment due to circumstances peculiar to the specific project.

An Environmental Impact Report will not be required.

Said determination was made at a Public Hearing held on July 5, 1979 in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act and Title 10 of the Yolo County Code. Appeals from this determination may be made within fifteen (15) days of said action by filing a letter of appeal with the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors as prescribed for appeals from decisions of the Board of Zoning Adjustment.

Robert A. Peterson

Robert A. Peterson
Director of Planning
Secretary, Yolo County Planning Commission

FILED
JUL 19 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Barbara Rodgers
DEPUTY

FILED

DEC 22 1980

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By PETE E. LUCAS
DEPUTY

RESOLUTION NO. 79-123

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, is authorized by Government Code Section 25261 to create one or more enterprise fund which account for services designated in regulations adopted by the State Controller and which are furnished to the general public and are financed primarily by charges for such services; and

WHEREAS such service is furnished by the Mini-Trans operation of the Public Works Department; and

WHEREAS, such service comprises a transit system which is designated as an eligible activity for an enterprise fund by regulations of the State Controller set forth in 2 California Administrative Code Section 925(b); and

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors desires to establish such an enterprise fund

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, that there is hereby established the Transit Enterprise Fund for the County Mini-Trans Bus System.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 16th day of August, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds & Marchand

NOES: None

ABSENT: None.

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

by: Pete E. Lucas
Deputy

(Seal)

Tuesday J. Thompson
CHAIRMAN, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

DEC 22 1980

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

Book

FILED

AUG 30 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By Debra Conley Kirkland

RESOLUTION NO. 79-124

(Resolution Amending Resolution No. 79-121)

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Board of Supervisors held a hearing, as provided in Government Code Section 6066, to provide for the filing of objections or protest of the Board setting service charges for extended sewer and water services in the El Macero County Service Area pursuant to the authority conferred upon the County by California Government Code Section 25210.77a and,

WHEREAS, the owner of parcels 33-250-32-1, 33-250-41-1, 33-252-11-1, 33-043-01-1 and 33-250-18-1 protested the total number of charges places on their properties and,

WHEREAS, the Board adopted the El Macero County Service Area 1979-80 report of Extended Miscellaneous Charges except the above designated parcels, and

WHEREAS, the Board continued the Public Hearing until 10:00 A.M. August 21, 1979 to allow the County Public Works Department to investigate the protest, and

WHEREAS, the Public Works Director has reported that he has investigated the charges proposed on the above designated parcels and indicates that parcels 33-250-1 and 33-252-11-1 are in fact a part of the golf course and should be consolidated with parcel number 33-250-41-1 who's charge should continue at four-times the regular charge

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, ORDERED AND FOUND by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows:

1. Each of the foregoing recitals is true and correct.

2. This Board of Supervisors amends Resolution No. 79-121 to include within the adopted El Macero County Service Area 1979-80 report of Extended Miscellaneous Charges to include parcels 33-250-41-1, 33-043-01 -1 and 33-250-18-1 as originally shown and excludes parcels 33-250-32-1 and

33-252-11-1.

3. All Findings and Orders included in Resolution No. 79-121 shall apply to the above included parcels.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 21st day of August, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: None.

* Betsy A. Marchand

BETSY A. MARCHAND

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS,
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

By Peter McNamee, Deputy

APPROVED AS TO FORM

* [signature], Pem Dep.

COUNTY COUNSEL,

BK

FILED

SEP - 4 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-125

(Resolution Reducing the Revolving Fund For Library and Bookmobile for the Purpose of Making Change and Increasing the Revolving Fund for Library and Bookmobile for the Purpose of Making Minor Purchases)

WHEREAS, Government Code Section 29320, et seq., authorizes the Board of Supervisors to establish a revolving fund for the use of any person in charage of any office of the County; and

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors exercised such authority by Resolution No. 74-137 to establish a revolving fund for the office of the County Librarian limited to the purpose of making change and has made various orders in connection with the revolving fund thereby established; and

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors desires to reduce the revolving fund for Library and Bookmobile for the purpose of making change to SIXTY ($60.00) DOLLARS; and

WHEREAS, by Resolution No. 74-136, this Board of Supervisors exercised such authority by establishing a revolving fund for the office of the County Librarian for the purpose of making minor purchases in the amount of THIRTY-FIVE ($35.00) DOLLARS; and

WHEREAS, this Board of Supervisors desires to increase the amount of the revolving fund for the Library and Bookmobile for the purpose of making minor purposes to SIXTY-FIVE ($65.00) DOLLARS;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, ORDERED, AND FOUND as follows:

1. Each of the foregoing recitals is true and correct.

2. The amount of the revolving fund for Library and Bookmobile

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

for the purpose of making change is hereby reduced to the sum of SIXTY ($60.00) DOLLARS and the County Librarian is hereby ordered to deposit in the County Treasury such sums as are necessary to reduce said revolving fund to the sum of SIXTY ($60.00) DOLLARS.

3. The amount of the revolving fund for the office of the County Librarian of the County of Yolo for Library and Bookmobile for the purpose of making minor purchases is hereby increased to the sum of SIXTY-FIVE ($65.00) DOLLARS.

4. The Auditor of the County of Yolo is hereby authorized and directed to draw his warrant in favor of the County Librarian of the County of Yolo so as to increase the amount held by the County Librarian to SIXTY-FIVE ($65.00) DOLLARS after the provision of this resolution for providing a bond have been complied with and the Treasurer of the County of Yolo is hereby authorized and directed to honor said warrant when presented for payment.

4. The County Librarian is hereby ordered to file with the Clerk of this Board of Supervisors either a bond executed by herself as principle and by an admitted surety insurer, or cause endorsement to be added to her official bond or the County blanket bond maintained in lieu thereof, either in an amount equal to that of the revolving fund as established by this resolution. The bond or endorsement shall be conditioned upon the faithful administration of the fund and the willingness and ability of the principle to account for and pay over the fund upon demand of the Board of Supervisors at any time.

5. Said revolving fund shall be replenished only by demand made

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

upon the County for reimbursement of the fund in the same manner as other demands are made, and shall be supported by receipts for any expenditure in excess of ONE ($1.00) DOLLAR setting forth date, purpose of the expenditure, and the amount expended. All sums received in satisfaction of the demand shall be returned to the revolving fund.

6. Upon the demand of the Auditor or the Board of Supervisors, the County Librarian shall give an account of the revolving fund for the office of the County Librarian of the County of Yolo.

7. The Clerk of this Board shall transmit certified copies of this resolution to the County Auditor and the County Treasurer.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 28th day of August, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Edmonds

Betsey C. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
SEP 4 1979

ATTEST:

PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

By: Peter E. Lucas
Deputy

(SEAL)

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P.O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. (916) 666-8211

Book

RESOLUTION NO. 79-126

RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FIXING THE TIME AND PLACE OF HEARING OF OBJECTIONS TO OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE ASSESSMENT ROLL PREPARED IN 1979, FOR RECLAMATION DISTRICT NO. 811

JOHN M. LUTHER, GEORGE H. MURPHY and HERB NIEDERBERGER, Assessment Valuation Commissioners, heretofore appointed by this Board of Supervisors to prepare an Operation and Maintenance Assessment Roll for Reclamation District No. 811 in accordance with the provisions of Section 51324 of the Water Code of the State of California, having filed herein an Operation and Maintenance Assessment Roll prepared in accordance with said Section 51324;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Tuesday, the 25th day of September, 1979, at the hour of 10:00 o'clock A.M., in the Chambers of this Board of Supervisors in the County Courthouse, 725 Court Street, City of Woodland, County of Yolo, State of California, be, and the same are hereby fixed as the time and place when and where the Board of Supervisors will meet for the purpose of hearing written objections to said Operation and Maintenance Assessment Roll.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors give due and legal notice of said hearing by publication of said notice once a week for two successive weeks before the day of the hearing in The Daily Democrat, a newspaper of general circulation printed and published in the County of Yolo, State of California.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 28th day of August, 1979, by the following vote of the Board of Supervisors, to-wit:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Edmonds.

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, County Clerk
By: Nita E. Lucas
Deputy Clerk

Betsy C. Marchand
Chairman of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California

SEP 4 1979

APPROVED AS TO FORM

County Counsel of Yolo County.

AUG 2 81979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ DEPUTY

RESOLUTION NO. 79-127

(Resolution of Acceptance for Parcel Map No. 2915 for Port Sacramento Land Co.)

WHEREAS, the final tracing for Parcel Map No. 2915 has been prepared and presented to the Board of Supervisors for approval and acceptance; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission previously considered and approved an Environmental Impact Report for the Port area of West Sacramento, which discussed the environmental impacts of this Project and other Subdivisions within the Project area and said Planning Commission has determined that no subsequent E.I.R. need be prepared, pursuant to Title 14, Section 15067 of the California Administrative Code; said Environmental Impact Report demonstrates that the proposed project will have a significant effect on the environment and has been presented to and considered by this Board; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission approved the tentative map for Parcel Map No. 2915 on June 6, 1979; and

WHEREAS, said map creates 2 Lots from an existing single lot in the Heavy Industrial (M-2) Zone of Yolo County; and

WHEREAS, the final map of Parcel Map No. 2915 has been signed by all necessary Parties, pursuant to the provisions of the Government Code of the State of California; and

WHEREAS, said map complies with the Provisions of the State Subdivision Map Act and of the local ordinances.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Yolo County as follows: (1) Each of the foregoing recitals is true and correct; (2) This Board, after consideration of the Environmental Impact Report previously filed upon this proposed project and the project area, hereby adopts and approves the final Environmental Impact Report, and finds that said final EIR has been completed in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act and State Guidelines, and finds that the project will have a significant effect

upon the environment. However, the Environmental Impact Report on said Project identifies several mitigation measures which are hereby found by this Board to be appropriate and feasible, and ordered to be incorporated into this Project; (3) This Board hereby finds that the proposed subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvement, is consistent with general plan adopted for the East Yolo area of the County of Yolo; (4) This Board hereby approves Parcel Map No. 2915 for Port Sacramento Land Company as submitted and instructs the Clerk to prepare Parcel Map No. 2915 for transmittal to the County Recorder for filing.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Clerk of this Board hereby is authorized and directed to file a Notice of Determination upon the proposed project; said notice shall include the decision of this Board to approve the project; and the finding of this Board that the proposed porject will have a significant effect upon the environment. Said notice shall also include the statement that mitigation measures identified in said Environmental Impact Report are incorporated in said Project as stated herein above. Said notice also shall include the statement that the Environmental Impact Report considered and approved by this Board is the West Sacramento Port Center EIR previously adopted by the Planning Commission, which discussed this Project and others in the East Yolo Area, and no subsequent environmental impact report is required pursuant to Title 14, Section 15067 of the California Administrative Code and said EIR has been prepared pursuant to and is consistent with the California Environmental Quality Act and State Guidelines adopted pursuant thereto.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 28th day of August, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Edmonds.

Robert A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, CLERK
By Pete E Lucas, Deputy

(Seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:
, Deputy

(County Counsel)

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION

NO. 79-45

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN pursuant to Public Resources Code § 21152 and County Code § 10-1.910 that the County of Yolo acting by its decision ; officer or body has made the following determination whether to approve sapprove a project as follows:

1. The decision-making officer or body is the Yolo County Board of visors of the County of Yolo, State of California.

2. The project is described as follows: Request for approval of a Map No. 2915, which divides an existing 6.808 acre parcel into two ls, one 4.179 acre parcel and one 2.629 acre parcel in the Port Sacra- Industrial Park. The project is located on the North side of Seaport vard, West of Enterprise Boulevard, West Sacramento in the Heavy trial (M-2) Zone. The Planning Commission approved the tentative map arcel map no. 2915 in June 6, 1979.

3. The project was approved on August 28, 1979, by the Board of visors by Resolution No. 79-127, accepting Parcel Map No. 2915 for Sacramento Land Co. based upon the following findings: 1- The proposed vision, together with the provisions for its design and improvement, is stent with the General Plan adopted for the East Yolo area of Yolo County; oject will have a significant effect on the environment; 3- Mitigation es identified in EIR are incorporated in said project; 4- EIR considered pproved the the Board of Supervisors is the West Sacramento Port Center eviously adopted by the Planning Commission, which discussed this t and thers in the East Yolo Area, and no subsequent EIR is required nt to Title 14, Section 15067 of the California Administrative Code and IR has been prepared pursuant to and is consistent with the California nmental Quality Act and State Guidelines adopted pursuant thereto.

DATED: September 7, 1979

YOLO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

PETER McNAMEE, COUNTY CLERK
Ex-Officio Clerk of the
Board of Supervisors

BY Debra Conley Kirkland
Deputy

FILED

SEP 7 - 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Debra Conley Kirkland
DEPUTY

FILED

AUG 28 1979

PETER VAN AMEE, Clerk

By _____ DEPUTY

RESOLUTION NO. 79-128

(RESOLUTION OF ACCEPTANCE FOR SUBDIVISION MAP NO. 2845 FOR PORT SACRAMENTO LAND COMPANY)

WHEREAS, the final tracing for Subdivision Map No. 2845 has been prepared and presented to the Board of Supervisors for approval and acceptance; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission previously considered and approved an Environmental Impact Report for the Port Area of West Sacramento, which discussed the environmental impacts of this Project and other Subdivisions within the Project area; and said Planning Commission has determined that no subsequent E.I.R. need be prepared, pursuant to Title 14, Section 15067 of the California Administrative Code; said Environmental Impact Report demonstrates that the proposed project will have a significant effect on the environment and has been presented to and considered by this Board; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission approved the tenative map for Subdivision No. 2845 on November 1, 1978; and

WHEREAS, said map creates 14 Lots in the Heavy Industrial (M-2) Zone of Yolo County; and

WHEREAS, the final map of Subdivision No. 2845 has been signed by all necessary Parties, pursuant to the provisions of the Government Code of the State of California; and

WHEREAS, said map complies with the Provisions of the State Subdivision Map Act and of the local ordinances.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Yolo County as follows: (1) Each of the foregoing recitals is true and correct; (2) This Board, after consideration of the Environmental Impact Report previously filed upon this proposed project and the project area, hereby adopts and approves the final Environmental Impact Report, and finds that said final EIR has been completed in compliance with the California Environmental Quality

Act and State Guidelines, and finds that the project will have a significant effect upon the environment. However, the Environmental Impact Report on said Project identifies several mitigation measures which are hereby found by this Board to be appropriate and feasible, and ordered to be incorporated into this project; (3) This Board hereby finds that the proposed subdivisions, together with the provisions for its design and improvement, is consistent with general plan adopted for the East Yolo area of the County of Yolo; (4) This Board hereby approves said map for Subdivision No. 2845 Port Sacramento Land Company as submitted and instructs the Clerk to prepare the map of Subdivision No. 2845 for transmittal to the County Recorder for filing. (5) This Board hereby accepts on behalf of the public the easements and rights of ways, all as provided for and indicated on said final map.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED (1) that this Board approved Agreement No. 79-330 the Subdivision Improvement Agreement for said Subdivision No. 2845, and authorized Chairman of the Board to sign said agreement, (2) The Clerk of this Board hereby is authorized and directed to file a Notice of Determination upon the proposed project; said notice shall include the decision of this Board to approve the project; and the finding of this Board that the proposed project will have a significant effect upon the environment. Said notice shall also include the statement that mitigation measures identified in said Environmental Impact Report are incorporated in said Project as stated herein above. Said notice also shall include the statement that the Environmental Impact Report considered and approved by this Board is the West Sacramento Port Center EIR previously adopted by the Planning Commission, which discussed this Project and others in the East Yolo Area and no subsequent environmental impact report is required, pursuant to Title 14, Section 15067 of the California Administrative Code and said EIR has been prepared pursuant to and is consistent with

///

///

the California Environmental Quality Act and State Guidelines adopted pursuant thereto.

PASSED, APPROVED AND ADOPTED this 28th day of August, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Edmonds.

Betty A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, CLERK
By Peter E Lucas, Deputy
(Seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

, Deputy
County Counsel

FILED

SEP 7 - 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Debra Conley Kirkland
DEPUTY

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION

NO. 79-46

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN pursuant to Public Resources Code § 21152 and Yolo County Code § 10-1.910 that the County of Yolo acting by its decision making officer or body has made the following determination whether to approve or disapprove a project as follows:

1. The decision-making officer or body is the Yolo County Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California.

2. The project is described as follows: Request for approval of Subdivision No. 2845, which is located in West Sacramento south of Industrial Boulevard and creates 14 lots along both sides of Parkway Boulevard in the Heavy Industrial (M-2) Zone. The Planning Commission approved the tentative map for Subdivision No. 2845 on November 1, 1978.

3. The project was approved on August 28, 1979, by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 79-128, accepting Subdivision Map No. 2845 for Port Sacramento Land Company based upon the following findings: 1- The Board of Supervisors hereby adopts and approves the final EIR, and finds that said final EIR has been completed in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act & State Guidelines, and finds that the project will have a significant effect upon the environment. 2- EIR on said project identifies several mitigation measures which are hereby found by this Board to be appropriate and feasible, and ordered to be incorporated into this project; 3- EIR considered and approved by this Board is the West Sacramento Port Center EIR previously adopted by the Planning Commission, which discussed this project and others in the East Yolo Area and no subsequent EIR is required.

DATED: September 7, 1979

YOLO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

PETER McNAMEE, COUNTY CLERK
Ex-Officio Clerk of the
Board of Supervisors

BY Debra Conley Kirkland
Deputy

FILED
AUG 22 1979
PETER Mc[illegible]MBE, Clerk
By [illegible] DEPUTY

Book

Item 30 (D)

RESOLUTION NO. 79-129

(Resolution of Acceptance for Subdivision No.2659
Pacific Rose Industrial Park Unit No. 1)

WHEREAS, the Final Subdivision Map for Subdivision No. 2659, has been presented to the Board for approval and acceptance; and

WHEREAS, said map has been signed by all necessary parties; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission has considered and approved an Environmental Impact Report for the proposed project, with a finding that the proposed project will have a significant effect upon the environment, and said Environmental Impact Report has been presented to and considered by this Board; and

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Planning Commission approved the tentative map of Subdivision 2659 on March 16, 1977 and approved an extension of time for eighteen months on April 19, 1978; and

WHEREAS, the improvements have been assured and the map in all respects complies with the provisions of the State Subdivision Map Act and of the local ordinances,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo as follows: (1) This Board, after consideration of the Environmental Impact Report filed upon the proposed project, hereby concurs with the Yolo County Planning Commission's findings, adopts and approves the final Environmental Impact Report, and finds that said final EIR has been completed in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act and State Guidelines, and finds that the project will have a significant effect upon the environment.

The Subdivision will be constructed on prime agricultural land which is currently in production, and use of said prime agricultural land for the purposes of industrial development will result in a significant effect on the environment. However, the Board hereby finds that mitigating factors identi-

fied in the EIR warrant approval of the project, notwithstanding said significant effect.

The Environmental Impact Report on said project identifies several mitigation measures, which are hereby found by this Board to be appropriate and feasible, and ordered by this Board to be incorporated into said project: (2) this Board hereby finds that the proposed subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvement, is consistent with general plan adopted for the East Yolo area of the County of Yolo, (3) this Board hereby approves said map for Subdivision No. 2659, Pacific Rose Industrial Park Unit No. 1, (4) this Board hereby accepts on behalf of the public the easements and rights of way all as provided for and indicated on said final map.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED (1) that this Board approved Agreement No. 79-331 the Subdivision Improvement Agreement for said Subdivision No. 2659, Pacific Rose Industrial Park Unit No. 1, and authorized the Chairman of the Board to sign said agreement. (2) The Clerk of this Board hereby is authorized and directed to file a Notice of Determination upon the proposed project; said notice shall include the decision of this Board to approve the project, and the finding of this Board that the proposed project will have a significant effect upon the environment. Said notice also shall include the statement of overriding consideration set forth hereinabove, and the mitigation measures incorporated into the project, as stated hereinabove. Said Notice also shall include the statement that the Environmental Impact Report considered and approved by this Board has been prepared pursuant to and is consistant with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act and State Guidelines adopted pursuant thereto.

///

///

///

///

///

///

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 28th day of August, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Edmonds.

Bobby A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, CLERK
BY Pete E. Lucas
Deputy

(seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

County Counsel

FILED

SEP 7 - 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Debra Conley Kirkland
DEPUTY

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION

NO. 79-47

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN pursuant to Public Resources Code § 21152 and Yolo County Code § 10-1.910 that the County of Yolo acting by its decision making officer or body has made the following determination whether to approve or disapprove a project as follows:

1. The decision-making officer or body is the Yolo County Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California.

2. The project is described as follows: Request for approval of Subdivision No. 2659. The proposed project consisting of 12 lots in the Heavy Industrial Zone (M-1) is the first unit of a proposed 34 lot Industrial Subdivision. The first unit is located on the South side of Reed Avenue, West of I-880 and north of the Southern Pacific Railroad, West of Bryte. The Planning Commission approved the tentative map for Subdivision No. 2659 on March 16, 1977.

3. The project was approved on August 28, 1979 by the Board of Supervisors by Resolution No. 79-129, accepting Subdivision No. 2659 for Pacific Rose Industrial Park Unit No. 1, based upon the following findings:
1- The Board of Supervisors hereby adopts and approves the final EIR;
2- Said project will have significant effect on the environment;
3- The Board hereby finds that mitigating factors indentified in the EIR warrant approval of the project, notwithstanding said significant effect;
4- The Board hereby finds that the proposed subdivision, together with the provisions for its design and improvement, is consistent with the general plan adopted for the East Yolo area of the County of Yolo.

DATED: September 7, 1979

YOLO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

PETER McNAMEE, COUNTY CLERK
Ex-Officio Clerk of the
Board of Supervisors

BY Debra Conley Kirkland
Deputy

FILED
AUG 28 1979
PETER J. McNAMEL, Clerk
By _____ DEPUTY

(1)
Item 31

RESOLUTION NO. 79-130

(Resolution Expanding the Yolo County Manpower Advisory Council)

WHEREAS, the Yolo County Employment and Training Agency desires to offer a comprehensive program of manpower services in Yolo County; and

WHEREAS, the Comprehensive Employment and Training Act Amendments of 1978 requires the existance of a manpower planning council;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the Yolo County Board of Supervisors as Prime Sponsor of the Yolo County program that the Manpower Advisory Council be amended as follows:

1. Established Advisory Board.

There is already established by Resolution No. 78-183 an advisory board known as the Manpower Advisory and Planning Council (herein referred to as the MAPC).

2. Authority and Responsibility.

The Manpower Advisory and Planning Council shall have the authority and responsibility as set forth in 20 CFR §676.7 including the authority and responsibility to advise and make recommendations to the Governing Board of this agency as follows:

A. To advise the prime sponsor in the setting of basic goals, policies and procedures for its programs, including the Master Plan and the Annual Plans for all Titles under the CETA Act.

B. To provide for continuing analysis of needs for employment and related services.

C. To monitor and provide for objective evaluation

for all plans under 2. A. above.

D. The MAPC will consider the recommendations of the Yolo County Youth Advisory Council (YCYAC) and the Private Industry Council (PIC) in making recommendations as to matters upon which the Agency is required to utilize these Councils in the context of the MAPC's overall recommending responsibility.

3. Membership.

Membership shall be amended to consist of not less than fifteen (15) rotating members appointed by the Board of Supervisors as the Governing Body of the Prime Sponsor. Individuals appointed shall include representatives of the eligible population, community-based organizations, the State Employment Security Agency (SESA), veterans organizations, handicapped individuals, vocational education agencies, public assistance agencies, other education and training agencies and institutions, business, organized labor, employees not represented by organized labor, and agricultural employers and workers. Persons representative of other interested groups may also be appointed. All members shall be residents of Yolo County.

4. Membership: Terms.

A. Length: The term of membership shall be for three (3) years. No member may serve more than two (2) consectutive full terms without at least a one (1) year break in service before becoming eligible for reappointment.

B. Initial Terms: Initial terms shall commence on February 1, 1979. Thereafter, all terms shall commence on February 1 and end on January 31. Length of terms for the initial appointees shall be for a period of one (1) year for five (5) of the members,

two (2) years for five (5) of the members, and three (3) years for five (5) of the members. Members shall draw lots to determine length of initial term. The one and two year terms shall not be counted as one of the two consecutive full terms in 4. A. above.

C. Vacancies:

a. Persons appointed to fill a vacancy will serve for the remainder of the current term of the member being replaced and may then be reappointed for two (2) additional terms.

b. A vacancy shall exist if any person ceases to possess the qualifications for which the appointment is made.

c. The Governing Board may terminate the membership for any person who has three unexcused absences from scheduled meeting or who, in the opinion of the Board, is not adequately performing the duties for which the member was appointed.

D. Resignations: Resignations shall be accomplished by letter or written documentation from the member or the MAPC submitted to the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors.

5. Membership: Organization.

The officers of the MAPC shall be Chairman and Vice-chairman, and such other officers as the MAPC may deem necessary. Officers shall be elected by members of the MAPC from among their number. The Chairman shall be appointed by the Governing Board, which shall consider the recommendation of the MAPC. Any vacancy in any office shall be filled for the unexpired portion of the term of office.

6. Membership: Quorum.

A majority of the members of the MAPC shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business by the MAPC.

7. Staff.

The MAPC, inclusive of its subcommittees, will receive professional, technical, and clerical staff support from the Prime Sponsor staff. The Manpower Administrator of the Prime Sponsor shall appoint appropriate liaison personnel to provide the staff support.

8. Meetings.

The MAPC shall meet at least ten times annually at such times and places as shall be determined by rule adopted by the MAPC. Special meetings may be called by the Chairman or in the absence of the Chairman, by the Vice-chairman, or any two (2) members upon giving to each member not less than twenty-four (24) hours' notice by mail.

9. Conflict of Interest.

No member of the MAPC shall cast a vote on any matter under deliberation by the Council which has direct bearing on services to be provided by that member or any organization with which that member is associated.

10. Absences.

Any member of the MAPC who is absent from any three consecutive regular meetings of the MAPC within one year shall vacate his or her membership on the Council upon a determination by the Governing Board after recommendation from the MAPC that such absence was not for due cause.

11. Subcommittees.

The MAPC shall appoint subcommittees as it deems necessary to facilitate its over-all decision-making and recommendation process, including a Youth Advisory Council, an Adult Advisory Committee, and a Task Force.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 28th day of August, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Edmonds.

Bobby A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE
BY Peter E Lucas
Deputy
(SEAL)

APPROVED AS TO FORM
CHARLES R. MACK
COUNTY COUNSEL
By
DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL

Book

FILED

AUG 28 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By Peter E. Lucas

Deputy

RESOLUTION NO. 79-131

(Resolution Adopting 1979-80 Budget)

WHEREAS, the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, has heretofore held public hearings as directed by law with respect to the Budget of the County of Yolo, Federal Revenue Sharing, and Road District 1 and Road District 2 of the County of Yolo, for the Fiscal Year 1979-80, and the several officers and heads of Departments of the County of Yolo have appeared before said Board, and also the public and taxpayers of the County of Yolo have been heard by said Board of Supervisors, all as provided by law; and

WHEREAS, said Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo has carefully considered all of the matters and things presented by those appearing before said Board, and are fully advised in the premises;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Budget of the County of Yolo, the budgets of the Road District 1 and Road District 2 of the County of Yolo and the budgets of the Yolo General Hospital, Sanitation Landfill, MiniTransit, and Aviation Enterprise Funds for the Fiscal Year 1979-80 are hereby adopted in the form attached hereto as Exhibit "A" entitled "PROPOSED BUDGET DOCUMENT" as amended by Schedule marked Exhibit "B", all of said attachments being made a part hereof by reference as though determined to be the budgets of the County of Yolo, Road District 1 and Road District 2 of the County of Yolo, and the Yolo General Hospital, Sanitation Landfill, MiniTransit, and

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

Aviation Enterprise Funds for the Fiscal Year 1979-80, and there is hereby appropriated for the use of the several offices, departments, services, and institutions of the County of Yolo, the several sums of money as shown therein as major objects; provided, however, that the Board of Supervisors may authorize the transfer of funds between major objects; and further provided, however, that no funds shall be transferred between subobjects of expenditure appropriations unless a request therefor has been approved by the County Administrative Officer; and further provided, however, that this Board hereby declares that it is not now possible to make the exact determinations of property tax revenues required by Statute and that certain of those computations require determination by agencies independent of the County of Yolo, but that it is necessary now to adopt a final budget to enable the County to undertake the programs budgeted for this fiscal year, and that the Budget adopted hereby is based on estimated computations of property tax revenues made pursuant to Statutes 1979, Chapter 282, and that this Board reserves the right to modify the Budget adopted hereby in accordance with actual computations of property tax revenues when completed by the Auditor-Controller.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 28th day of August, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Thompson, Marchand.

NOES: None.

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

ABSENT: Edmonds.

Bob A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, CLERK

By: Peter McNamee
Deputy

(SEAL)

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

GENERAL FUND

	PROPOSED BUDGET	FINAL BUDGET	INCREASE (DECREASE)	PERCENT CHANGE
MEANS OF FINANCING:				
Available Fund Balance	$ 4,200,000	$ 4,951,555	$ 751,555	17.9%
Property Taxes (Sec./Unsec.)	5,874,000	6,856,569	982,569	16.7%
Exemptions:				
1. Homeowners	0	381,303	381,303	100.0%
2. Bus.Inventory	0	247,858	247,858	100.0%
Revenue Sharing	811,290	0	(811,290)	(100.0%)
State Prop.13 Aid	2,237,932	626,168	(1,611,764)	(72.0%)
Non-Dept. Revenue	5,540,759	5,687,292	146,533	2.6%
Department Revenue	16,458,957	20,124,476	3.665,519	22.3%
TOTAL FINANCING	$35,122,938	$38,875,221	$ 3,752,283	10.7%
DEPARTMENT APPROP:	$33,357,579	$35,785,006	$ 2,427,427	7.3%
Contingencies	1,765,359	2,090,215	324,856	18.4%
Reserves	0	1,000,000	1,000,000	100.0%
TOTAL APPROPRIATIONS	$35,122,938	$38,875,221	$ 3,752,283	10.7%
BALANCED BUDGET	0	0	0	0

EXHIBIT-B

RESOLUTION NO. 79-131

AVIATION FUND

	PROPOSED BUDGET	FINAL BUDGET	INCREASE (DECREASE)	PERCENT CHANGE
MEANS OF FINANCING:				
Available Fund Balance	$ 10,195	$ 10,081	$ (114)	(1.1%)
Department Revenues	5,000	5,000	0	
TOTAL FINANCING	$ 15,195	$ 15,081	$ (114)	(.7%)
Airport	$ 15,195	$ 15,081	$ (114)	(.7%)
BALANCED BUDGET	0	0	0	0

FISH & GAME FUND

	PROPOSED BUDGET	FINAL BUDGET	INCREASE (DECREASE)	PERCENT CHANGE
MEANS OF FINANCING:				
Available Fund Balance	$ 11,327	$ 12,342	$ 1,015	8.9%
Department Revenues	5,000	5,000	0	0
TOTAL FINANCING	$ 16,327	$ 17,342	$ 1,015	6.2%
Fish & Game	$ 16,327	$ 17,342	$ 1,015	6.2%
BALANCED BUDGET	0	0	0	0

ACO FUND

	PROPOSED BUDGET	FINAL BUDGET	INCREASE (DECREASE)	PERCENT CHANGE
MEANS OF FINANCING:				
Available Fund Balance	$ 715,464	$ 709,207	$ (6,257)	.8%
Property Taxes	288,798	267,117	(21,681)	(7.5%)
Exemptions:				
1. Homeowners	0	15,245	15,245	100.0%
2. Bus.Inventory	0	14,102	14,102	100.0%
Revenue Sharing	1,100,111	1,911,401	811,290	73.7%
Non-Dept.Revenue	0	0	0	0
Department Revenue	407,524	454,936	47,412	11.6%
TOTAL FINANCING	$ 2,511,897	$ 3,372,008	$ 860,111	34.2%
ACO Projects	$ 2,511,897	$ 3,372,008	$ 860,111	34.2%
BALANCED BUDGET	0	0	0	0

ROAD FUND

	PROPOSED BUDGET	FINAL BUDGET	INCREASE (DECREASE)	PERCENT CHANGE
MEANS OF FINANCING:				
Available Fund Balance	$ 395,469	$ 470,055	$ 74,586	18.8%
Interfund Transfers:				
1. Road Dist. I	90,000	156,511	66,511	73.9%
2. Road Dist. II	215,000	365,845	150,845	70.1%
Department Revenues	110,030	110,030	0	0
Non-Dept. Revenues	1,867,565	1,667,000	(200,565)	10.7%
TOTAL FINANCING	$ 2,678,064	$ 2,769,441	$ 91,377	3.4%
Road	$ 2,653,858	$ 2,654,441	$ 583	0%
Contingencies	24,206	115,000	90,794	375.0%
TOTAL	$ 2,678,064	$ 2,769,441	$ 91,377	3.4%
BALANCED BUDGET	0	0	0	0

LIBRARY FUND

	PROPOSED BUDGET	FINAL BUDGET	INCREASE (DECREASE)	PERCENT CHANGE
MEANS OF FINANCING:				
Available Fund Balance	$ 107,729	$ 51,773	$ (55,956)	(51.9%)
Property Taxes	298,031	283,465	(14,566)	(4.9%)
Exemptions:				
1. Homeowners	0	17,917	17,917	100.0%
2. Bus.Inventory	0	17,131	17,131	100.0%
Spec.District Aid	220,096	227,000	6,904	3.1%
Department Revenue	244,368	118,583	(125,785)	(51.5%)
Non-Dept. Revenue	13,899	18,101	4,202	30.2%
TOTAL FINANCING	$ 884,123	$ 733,970	$ (150,153)	(17.0%)
Library	$ 869,123	$ 701,331	$ (167,792)	(19.3%)
Contingencies	15,000	32,639	17,639	117.6%
TOTAL APPROPRIATIONS	$ 884,123	$ 733,970	$ (150,153)	(17.0%)
BALANCED BUDGET	0	0	0	0

ROAD DISTRICT #1

MEANS OF FINANCING:	PROPOSED BUDGET	FINAL BUDGET	INCREASE (DECREASE)	PERCENT CHANGE
Available Fund Balance	$ (90,000)	$ (156,511)	$ 66,511	73.9%
Property Taxes	90,000	79,165	(10,835)	(12.0%)
Exemptions:				
1. Homeowners	0	5,216	5,216	100.0%
2. Bus.Inventory	0	5,619	5,619	100.0%
Spec.District Aid	0	66,511	66,511	100.0%
Department Revenue	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FINANCING	$ 0	$ 0	$ 0	0
Road District #1	$ 0	$ 0	$ 0	0%
BALANCED BUDGET	0	0	0	0

ROAD DISTRICT #2

	PROPOSED BUDGET	FINAL BUDGET	INCREASE (DECREASE)	PERCENT CHANGE
MEANS OF FINANCING:				
Available Fund Balance	$ (215,000)	$ (365,845)	$ 150,845	70.1%
Property Taxes	215,000	191,954	(23,046)	(10.7%)
Exemptions:				
1. Homeowners	0	11,894	11,894	100.0%
2. Bus.Inventory	0	11,152	11,152	100.0%
Spec.District Aid	0	150,845	150,845	100.0%
Department Revenue	0	0	0	0
TOTAL FINANCING	$ 0	$ 0	$ 0	0
Road District #2	$ 0	$ 0	$ 0	0%
BALANCED BUDGET	0	0	0	0

HOSPITAL ENTERPRISE

	PROPOSED BUDGET	FINAL BUDGET	INCREASE (DECREASE)	PERCENT CHANGE
MEANS OF FINANCING:				
Department Revenue	$ 3,935,040	$ 4,019,815	$ 84,775	2.1%
TOTAL FINANCING	$ 3,935,040	$ 4,019,815	$ 84,775	2.1%
Hospital	$ 3,935,040	$ 4,019,815	$ 84 775	2.1%
BALANCED BUDGET	0	0	0	0

AVIATION ENTERPRISE

	PROPOSED BUDGET	FINAL BUDGET	INCREASE (DECREASE)	PERCENT CHANGE
MEANS OF FINANCING:				
Available Fund Balance	$ 10,325	$ 11,433	$ 1,108	10.7%
Department Revenues	6,924	6,924	0	0
TOTAL FINANCING	$ 17,249	$ 18,357	$ 1,108	6.4%
Aviation	$ 17,249	$ 18,357	$ 1,108	6.4%
BALANCED BUDGET	0	0	0	0

LANDFILL ENTERPRISE

	PROPOSED BUDGET	FINAL BUDGET	INCREASE (DECREASE)	PERCENT CHANGE
MEANS OF FINANCING:				
Department Revenue	$ 529,000	$ 530,500	$ 1,500	.3%
TOTAL FINANCING	$ 529,000	$ 530,500	$ 1,500	.3%
Landfill	$ 529,000	$ 530,500	$ 1,500	.3%
BALANCED BUDGET	0	0	0	0

MINI-TRANSIT ENTERPRISE

	PROPOSED BUDGET	FINAL BUDGET	INCREASE (DECREASE)	PERCENT CHANGE
MEANS OF FINANCING:				
Department Revenue	$ 0	$ 42,000	$ 42,000	100.0%
TOTAL FINANCING	$ 0	$ 42,000	$ 42,000	100.0%
Mini-Transit	$ 0	$ 42,000	$ 42,000	100.0%
BALANCED BUDGET	0	0	0	0

FILED

SEP - 4 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By _____ DEPUTY

RESOLUTION NO. 79-132

(Resolution Calling for Consolidation of Elections)

WHEREAS, on August 8, 1979, the Esparto Community Services District by Resolution requested that its general district election be consolidated with the November 6, 1979 special statewide election; and

WHEREAS, on August 1, 1979, the Cacheville Service District by Resolution requested that its general district election be consolidated with the November 6, 1979 special statewide election; and

WHEREAS, on August 7, 1979, the North Delta Water Agency by Resolution requested that its general district election be consolidated with the November 6, 1979 special statewide election; and

WHEREAS, on August 23, 1979, the Knights Landing Services District by Resolution requested that its general district election be consolidated with the November 6, 1979 special statewide election; and

WHEREAS, on August 16, 1979, the East Yolo Community Services District by Resolution requested that its general district election be consolidated with the November 6, 1979 special statewide election; and

WHEREAS, on August 1, 1979, the Madison Service District by Resolution requested that its general district election be consolidated with the November 6, 1979 special statewide election; and

WHEREAS, on July 16, 1979 the Colusa County Board of Education by Resolution requested that its board member election to be held on November 6, 1979 be consolidated with other school elections to be held in the same area on the same date; and

/ / / / /

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

WHEREAS, on July 19, 1979 the Pierce Joint Unified School District by Resolution requested that its governing board member election be consolidated with the Yuba Community College District and the Colusa County Board of Education elections to be held on November 6, 1979; and

WHEREAS, on August 2, 1979, the Yolo County Superintendent of Schools requested that the governing board member elections for the Yolo County Board of Education, the Davis Joint Unified School District, the Woodland Joint Unified School District, the Esparto Unified School District, the Winters Joint Unified School District and the Washington Unified School District be consolidated with the November 6th, 1979 special statewide election; and

WHEREAS, the Solano Community College District will hold its governing board member election on November 6, 1979, and by law it must be consolidated with the special statewide election; and

WHEREAS, the River Delta Unified School District has ordered its governing board member election to be held on November 6, 1979, and has, by letter, requested the Board of Supervisors to consolidate the election with the November 6, 1979 special statewide election; and

WHEREAS, the Los Rios Community College district by resolution requested that its governing board member election be consolidated with the November 6, 1979 special statewide election; and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the laws of the State of California, all of the elections mentioned above are required to be consolidated with the November 6, 1979 special statewide election as to all precincts which are the same for such elections.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT HEREBY RESOLVED, ORDERED AND DECLARED AS FOLLOWS:

/ / / / /

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

1. That each and all of the foregoing recitals are true and correct.

2. That all of the elections mentioned above are hereby consolidated with the special statewide election which will be held in the County of Yolo State of California, on Tuesday, the 6th of November, 1979 as to all precincts which are the same for any such elections. All of the foregoing elections hereby consolidated shall be held in the manner provided for the special statewide election with which they are consolidated, and within the territory affected by the consolidation, precincts, polling places, hours of election, and voting booths shall, in every case, be the same, and there shall be only one set of election officers in each of the precincts. Said precincts, polling places, hours of election, and precinct board members shall be the same as for the special statewide election within the territory affected by the consolidation.

3. The elections shall be conducted pursuant to the Elections Code and all other applicable laws of the State of California.

4. The County Clerk shall be, and he hereby is authorized and directed to canvass the results of said elections within the jurisdiction of Yolo County, and to certify the results of said canvass of said elections at the time and in the manner provided by law.

5. The County Clerk of the County of Yolo is hereby directed to procure all supplies that may be necessary to properly and lawfully conduct said elections.

6. Each of the agencies conducting elections as specified above, shall pay to the County of Yolo the costs of said elections hereby ordered consolidated, as determined by the Yolo County Clerk.

/ / / / /

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California, this 4th day of September, 1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES None.

ABSENT: Thompson.

Betsy A. Marchand
CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
by Rita C. Lucas
Deputy

(SEAL)

OFFICE OF THE
COUNTY COUNSEL
COUNTY OF YOLO
P. O. BOX 127
WOODLAND, CA 95695
TEL. NO.: (916) 666-8211

(1)
Item 14

Book

RESOLUTION NO. 79-133

RESOLUTION OF INTENTION TO APPROVE AN AMENDMENT TO CONTRACT BETWEEN THE BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION OF THE PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM AND THE

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
OF THE
COUNTY OF YOLO

FILED
SEP - 7 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By Peter E. Lucas
Deputy

WHEREAS, the Public Employees' Retirement Law permits the participation of public agencies and their employees in the Public Employees' Retirement System by the execution of a contract, and sets forth the procedure by which said public agencies may elect to subject themselves and their employees to amendments to said Law; and

WHEREAS, one of the steps in the procedure to amend this contract is the adoption by the legislative body of the public agency of a resolution giving notice of its intention to approve an amendment to said contract, which resolution shall contain a summary of the change proposed in said contract; and

WHEREAS, the following is a statement of the proposed change:

To provide Section 21222.85 (Special 3% - 15% increase to pre-1-1-74 retirees), and Section 21222.86 (Special 1% - 7% increase to pre-7-1-74 retirees).

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the governing body of the above agency gives, and it does hereby give notice of intention to approve an amendment to the contract between the said governing body and the Board of Administration of thhe Public Employees' Retirement System, a copy of said amendment being attached hereto, as an "Exhibit" and by this reference made a part hereof.

PASSED AND ADOPTED This 4th day of September, by the following vote of the Board of Supervisors, to wit:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Edmonds, Marchand.
NOES: None.
ABSENT: Thompson.

BY X Betty C. Marchand
(NAME)
CHAIRMAN, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS.
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA
SEP - 6 1979
(TITLE)

APPROVED AS TO FORM
CHARLES R. MACK
COUNTY COUNSEL
Robert Rest
DEPUTY COUNTY COUNSEL

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, COUNTY CLERK
By Peter E. Lucas Deputy

Ret. Form 122

AMENDMENT TO CONTRACT BETWEEN THE
BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION
PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM
AND THE
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
OF THE
COUNTY OF YOLO

EXHIBIT ONLY

The Board of Administration, Public Employees' Retirement System, hereinafter referred to as Board, and the governing body of above public agency, hereinafter referred to as Public Agency, having entered into a contract under date of November 5, 1959, effective January 1, 1960, and as amended effective January 1, 1961, February 1, 1964, January 1, 1971, November 1, 1973, December 1, 1975, January 1, 1977, and June 21, 1978, which provides for participation of Public Agency in said System, Board and Public Agency hereby agree as follows:

A. Paragraphs 1 through 11 are hereby stricken from said contract as executed effective June 21, 1978, and hereby replaced by the following paragraphs numbered 1 through 11 inclusive:

1. All words and terms used herein which are defined in the Public Employees' Retirement Law shall have the meaning as defined therein unless otherwise specifically provided. "Normal retirement age" shall mean age 60 for local miscellaneous and age 55 for local safety members, except those local safety members continuing under provisions of this contract prior to this amendment in 1971.

2. Public Agency shall participate in the Public Employees' Retirement System from and after January 1, 1960 making its employees as hereinafter provided, members of said System subject to all provisions of the Public Employees' Retirement Law except such as apply only on election of a contracting agency and are not provided for herein and to all amendments to said Law hereafter enacted except such as by express provisions thereof apply only on the election of contracting agencies.

3. Employees of Public Agency in the following classes shall become members of said Retirement System except such in each such class as are excluded by law or this agreement:

   a. County Peace Officers (herein referred to as local safety members);

   b. Employees other than local safety members (herein referred to as local miscellaneous members).

   In addition to the classes of employees excluded from membership by said Retirement Law, the following classes of employees shall not become members of said Retirement System:

"EXHIBIT"

PERSONS HIRED ON OR ATER NOVEMBER 1, 1973 WHO ARE EMPLOYED ON A TEMPORARY AND/OR SEASONAL BASIS IF IT IS A CONDITION OF EMPLOYMENT AT THE TIME OF HIRE THAT SAID EMPLOYMENT NOT EXCEED SIX MONTHS.

4. The fraction of final compensation to be provided for each year of credited prior and current service as a local miscellaneous member shall be determined in accordance with Section 21251.13 of said Retirement Law subject to the reduction provided therein for Federal Social Security (Modified 2% at age 60).

5. The fraction of final compensation to be provided for each year of credited prior and current service as a legal safety member shall be determined in accordance with Section 21252.5 for members who elected to stay on this formula effective January 1, 1971 (1-1/4% at age 60).

6. The fraction of final compensation to be provided for each year of credited prior and current service as a local safety member shall be determined in accordance with Section 21252.1 subject to the reduction for Federal Social Security as provided in Section 21251.45 of said Retirement Law (Modified one-half pay at ag 55).

7. The following additional provisions of the Public Employees' Retirement Law which apply only upon election of a contracting agency shall apply to the Public Agency and its employees:

   a. Section 20952.5 (Age 50 voluntary retirement) for local safety members only.

   b. Section 20930.3 (Military service credit) as defined in Chapter 1437, Statutes of 1974.

   c. Section 21222.6 (Special 15% increase for certain local miscellaneous members).

   d. Section 20983.6 (Waiver of age 70 retirement) for local miscellaneous members only.

   e. Section 21222.85 (Special 3%-15% increase to pre-1-1-74 retirees).

   f. Section 21222.86 (Special 1%-7% increase to pre-7-1-74 retirees).

8. Public Agency, in accordance with Section 20740, Government Code, ceased to be an "employer" for purposes of Chapter 6 of the Public Employees' Retirement Law effective on December 1, 1975. Accumulated contributions of Public Agency as of the aforementioned date shall be fixed and determined as provided in Section 20759, Government Code, and accumulated contributions as of the aforementioned date and contributions thereafter made shall be held by the Board as provided in Section 20759, Government Code.

9. Public Agency shall contribute to said Retirement System as follows:

   a. With respect to miscellaneous members, the agency shall contribute the following percentages of monthly salaries earned as miscellaneous members of said Retirement System:

      (1) 1.136 percent until June 30, 2000 on account of the liability for prior service benefits.

      (2) 11.012 percent on account of the liability for current service benefits.

   b. With respect to local safety members, the agency shall contribute the following percentages of monthly salaries earned as local safety members of said Retirement System:

      (1) 1.204 percent until June 30, 2001 on account of the liability for prior service benefits.

      (2) 10.144 percent on account of the liability for current service benefits.

   c. A reasonable amount per annum, as fixed by the Board to cover the costs of administering said System as it affects the employees of Public Agency, not including the costs of special valuations or of the periodic investigation and valuations required by law.

   d. A reasonable amount as fixed by the Board, payable in one installment as the occasions arise, to cover the costs of special valuations on account of employees of Public Agency, and costs of the periodic investigation and valuations required by law.

10. Contributions required of Public Agency and its employees shall be subject to adjustment by Board on account of amendments to the Public Employees' Retirement Law, and on account of the experience under the Retirement System as determined by the periodic investigation and valuation required by said Retirement Law.

11. Contributions required of Public Agency and its employees shall be paid by Public Agency to the Retirement System within thirty days after the end of the period to which said contributions refer or as may be prescribed by Board regulation. If more or less than the correct amount of contributions is paid for any period, proper adjustment shall be made in connection with subsequent remittances, or adjustments on account of errors in contributions required of any employee may be made by direct cash payments between the employee and the Board. Payments by Public Agency to Board may be made in the form of warrants, bank checks, bank drafts, certified checks, money orders or cash.

B. This amendment shall be attached to said contract and shall be effective on the _____ day of _____, 19__.

Witness our hands the _____ day of _____, 19__.

BOARD OF ADMINISTRATION
PUBLIC EMPLOYEES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM

BY _____
Carl J. Blechinger, Executive Officer

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
OF THE
COUNTY OF YOLO

BY _____
Presiding Officer

Approved as to form:

_____
Tom Aceituno, PERS Legal Office

Attest:

_____
Clerk

PERS CON-702

RESOLUTION NO. 79-134

Book

RESOLUTION OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF THE COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FIXING THE TIME AND PLACE OF HEARING OF OBJECTIONS TO THE ASSESSMENT VALUATION LIST PREPARED FOR KNIGHTS LANDING RIDGE DRAINAGE DISTRICT

FILED
SEP 10 1979
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk
By PETE E LUCAS
DEPUTY

JOHN LUTHER, JOHN WRIGHT and KENNETH LERCH, Assessment Commissioners, heretofore appointed by the State Reclamation Board to prepare an Assessment Valuation List for Knights Landing Ridge Drainage District in accordance with the provisions of Section 7-3/4 of Chapter 152, Statutes of 1939, having filed herein an Assessment Valuation List prepared in accordance with said Section;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Tuesday, the 2nd day of October, 1979, at the hour of 10:00 o'clock A. M., and the Chambers of this Board of Supervisors in the County Courthouse, 725 Court Street, City of Woodland, County of Yolo, State of California, be, and the same are hereby, fixed as the time and place when and where the Board of Supervisors will meet for the purpose of hearing written objections to said Assessment Valuation List.

Approved as to Form
Dated SEP 10 1979
County Counsel of Yolo County

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Board of Supervisors give due and legal notice of said hearing by publication of said notice once a week for two successive weeks before the day of the hearing in The Daily Democrat, a newspaper of general circulation printed and published in the County of Yolo, State of California, and in the Colusa Sun Herald, a newspaper of general circulation printed and published in the County of Colusa, State of California.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 4th day of September, 1979, by the following vote of the Board of Supervisors, to wit:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Edmonds, Marchand.
NOES: None.
ABSENT: Thompson.

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, County Clerk
By Pete E Lucas
Deputy Clerk

Betty A. Marchand
Chairman of the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, State of California

FILED

SEP - 4 1979

PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By [illegible] DEPUTY

RESOLUTION NO. 79-135

(Resolution Authorizing Destruction of Nonjudicial Public Records, et cetera by the Department of Public Works)

WHEREAS, Section 26205.1 of the Government Code of the State of California authorizes destruction on nonjudicial public records, instruments, documents, books and papers; and

WHEREAS, the Department of Public Works has custody of nonjudicial records, documents, instruments, books and papers, a list of which is attached hereto marked Exhibit "A" and made a part hereof as though set forth in full; and

WHEREAS, said Department of Public Works, may cause such nonjudicial items as listed in said Exhibit "A" to be destroyed pursuant to the provisisions of Section 26205.1 and resolution by the Yolo County Board of Supervisors authorizing such destruction of records,

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo authorizes the Department of Public Works to destroy such records described herein pursuant to Section 26205.1 of the Government Code of the State of California.

PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Board of Supervisors of the County of Yolo, Sbate of California, this 4th day of September ,1979, by the following vote:

AYES: Black, DeMars, Edmonds, Marchand.

NOES: None.

ABSENT: Thompson.

Peter C. Marchand

CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF YOLO, STATE OF CALIFORNIA

ATTEST:
PETER McNAMEE, Clerk

By [illegible], Deputy

(Seal)

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Elizabeth A. Hall

County Counsel

EXHIBIT "A"

Destruction of Files

1. California Highway Patrol Traffic Collision Reports that are more than one year old provided there is no litigation pending on the accident covered by the report.

2. Absence Requests that are more than three years old.

3. Gas and Oil Reports that are more than three years old.

4. Computer printouts of cost data that are more than ten years old.